

Finding Needles in Exponential Haystacks

Joel Spencer

Eurandom
January 6, 2014

Erdős Magic:

*If a random object has a positive probability of being good then a good object **MUST** exist*

Modern Erdős Magic:

*If a randomized algorithm has a positive probability of producing a good object then a good object **MUST** exist*

Working with Paul Erdős was like taking a walk in the hills. Every time when I thought that we had achieved our goal and deserved a rest, Paul pointed to the top of another hill and off we would go.
– Fan Chung

PART I

The Lovász Local Lemma

k -SAT

Boolean x_1, \dots, x_n . y_s is x_s or $\overline{x_s}$

Clause $C = y_{i_1} \vee \dots \vee y_{i_k}$

k -SAT instance: $\bigwedge_{\alpha \in I} C_\alpha$

C_α, C_β overlap if common y_j .

Assume: Each C_α overlaps $\leq d$ C_β

Assume: $ed2^{-k} \leq 1$

LLL: Then satisfiable.

k -SAT

Fix d, k (e.g.: $k = 5, d = 10$) but let $n \rightarrow \infty$

Where is the satisfying assignment?

Original Proof: Can't Find it

MOSER: I can find it!

FIX-IT!

Moser's **FIX-IT** Algorithm

FIX-IT I Randomly assign $x_j \leftarrow \{t, f\}$

FIX-IT!

Moser's **FIX-IT** Algorithm

FIX-IT I Randomly assign $x_j \leftarrow \{t, f\}$

FIX-IT II WHILE some clause $C_\alpha \leftarrow f$

FIX-IT!

Moser's **FIX-IT** Algorithm

FIX-IT I Randomly assign $x_j \leftarrow \{t, f\}$

FIX-IT II WHILE some clause $C_\alpha \leftarrow f$

FIX-IT IIIa Select *one* bad clause C_α

FIX-IT!

Moser's **FIX-IT** Algorithm

FIX-IT I Randomly assign $x_j \leftarrow \{t, f\}$

FIX-IT II WHILE some clause $C_\alpha \leftarrow f$

FIX-IT IIIa Select *one* bad clause C_α

FIX-IT IIIb Randomly Reassign $x_j \leftarrow \{t, f\}$ for all x_j in C_α

The LOG

LOG - Clauses reassigned in order **FIX-IT IIIb** applies

TLOG = length of LOG. ($= \infty$ if no stop)

Modern Erdős Magic: $E[TLOG] < \infty$ implies satisfiable *and* a good ¹ algorithm

Example: Variables 12345678. Clauses $A : 123$, $B : 234$, $C : 345$,
 $D : 456$, $E : 567$, $F : 678$. LOG = ADCFECBF

¹with $E[TLOG]$ reasonable

Let's Play Tetris!

$s = ADCFECBF$: **A**DCFECBF

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A	A	A	-	-	-	-	-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Let's Play Tetris!

$s = ADCFECBF$: ADCFECBF

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A	A	A	D	D	D	-	-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Let's Play Tetris!

$s = ADCFECBF$: **A****D****C**FECBF

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	C	C	C	-	-	-
A	A	A	D	D	D	-	-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Let's Play Tetris!

$s = ADCFECBF$: **A****D****C****F**ECBF

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	C	C	C	F	F	F
A	A	A	D	D	D	-	-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Let's Play Tetris!

$s = ADCFECBF$: **A****D****C****F****E****C****B****F**

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-
-	-	C	C	C	F	F	F
A	A	A	D	D	D	-	-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Let's Play Tetris!

$s = ADCFECBF$: **A****D****C****F****E****C****B****F**

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	C	C	C	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-
-	-	C	C	C	F	F	F
A	A	A	D	D	D	-	-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Let's Play Tetris!

$s = ADCFECBF$: **ADCFEC****B**F

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B	B	B	-	-	-	-
-	-	C	C	C	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-
-	-	C	C	C	F	F	F
A	A	A	D	D	D	-	-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Let's Play Tetris!

$s = ADCFECBF$: **ADCFECBF**

-	-	-	-	-	F	F	F
-	B	B	B	-	-	-	-
-	-	C	C	C	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-
-	-	C	C	C	F	F	F
A	A	A	D	D	D	-	-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Let's Play Tetris!

$s = ADCFECBF$

-	-	-	-	-	F	F	F
-	B	B	B	-	-	-	-
-	-	C	C	C	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-
-	-	C	C	C	F	F	F
A	A	A	D	D	D	-	-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

The Pyramid $Pyr(s) = ADCFEF$ is support of last:

-	-	-	-	-	F	F	F
-	-	-	-	E	E	E	-
-	-	C	C	C	F	F	F
A	A	A	D	D	D	-	-

Analysis

Pyramids of prefixes of LOG distinct.

ADCFECBF: A; D; ADC;
DF;ADCFE;ADCFEC;ADCFECB;ADCFEF

$$E[TLOG] = \sum_s \Pr[s \text{ pyramid of prefix }]$$

Preprocess Randomness

Each x_j chooses countably many assignments.

Probability $X_1 \cdots X_t$ is pyramid of prefix is $\leq (2^{-k})^t$

-	-	C	C	C	-	-	-
A	A	A	-	-	-	-	-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

A, C false with **different coinflips!**

An Interesting Algebra

X, Y commute if no overlap.

Tetris same if and only if equal in algebra

$$ADC = DAC$$

-	-	C	C	C	-	-	-
A	A	A	D	D	D	-	-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

ADC, DAC use **same** coinflips.

Algebraic Combinatorics

Property KNUTH: $\sum_s (2^{-k})^{\text{length}(s)} < \infty$

(sum over pyramids s in algebra)

$$E[TLOG] \leq \sum_s (2^{-k})^{\text{length}(s)}$$

Modern Erdős Magic: [KNUTH] implies satisfiability *and* **FIX-IT** takes “time” at most sum.

Algebraic Combinatorics: When does [KNUTH] hold?

Partial Answer: if $ed2^{-k} \leq 1$

A Prescient Adversary

FIX-IT I Randomly assign $x_j \leftarrow \{t, f\}$

FIX-IT II WHILE some clause $C_\alpha \leftarrow f$

FIX-IT IIIa Select *one* bad clause C_α

FIX-IT IIIb Randomly Reassign $x_j \leftarrow \{t, f\}$ for all x_j in C_α

Each x_j selects countably many t, f .
Adversary **knows** coinflips in advance
Still can't stop **FIX-IT** from halting!

PART II

Eliminating Outliers

Six Standard Deviations Suffice

$$S_1, \dots, S_n \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$$

$$\chi : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{-1, +1\} = \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$$

$$\chi(S) := \sum_{j \in S} \chi(j), \text{disc}(S) = |\chi(S)| = |\text{red} - \text{blue}|$$

Theorem (JS/1985): There exists χ

$$\text{disc}(S_i) \leq 6\sqrt{n} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Six Standard Deviations Suffice

$$S_1, \dots, S_n \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$$

$$\chi : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{-1, +1\} = \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$$

$$\chi(S) := \sum_{j \in S} \chi(j), \text{disc}(S) = |\chi(S)| = |\text{red} - \text{blue}|$$

Theorem (JS/1985): There *exists* χ

$$\text{disc}(S_i) \leq 6\sqrt{n} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Conjecture (JS/1986-2009) You can't find χ in polynomial time.

Six Standard Deviations Suffice

$$S_1, \dots, S_n \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$$

$$\chi : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{-1, +1\} = \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$$

$$\chi(S) := \sum_{j \in S} \chi(j), \text{disc}(S) = |\chi(S)| = |\text{red} - \text{blue}|$$

Theorem (JS/1985): There exists χ

$$\text{disc}(S_i) \leq 6\sqrt{n} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Conjecture (JS/1986-2009) You can't find χ in polynomial time.

Theorem (Bansal/2010): Yes I can!

Theorem (Lovett, Meka/2012): We can too!

A Vector Formulation

$$\vec{r}_i \in R^n, 1 \leq i \leq n, |\vec{r}_i|_\infty \leq 1$$

Initial $\vec{z} \in [-1, +1]^n$ (e.g.: $\vec{z} = \vec{0}$.)

Theorem: There exists $\vec{x} \in \{-1, +1\}^n$ with

$$|\vec{r}_i \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{z})| \leq K\sqrt{n}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

A Vector Formulation

$$\vec{r}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, 1 \leq i \leq n, |\vec{r}_i|_\infty \leq 1$$

Initial $\vec{z} \in [-1, +1]^n$ (e.g.: $\vec{z} = \vec{0}$.)

Theorem: There exists $\vec{x} \in \{-1, +1\}^n$ with

$$|\vec{r}_i \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{z})| \leq K\sqrt{n}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

$\vec{z} = \vec{0}$, \vec{x} random. Problem: OUTLIERS!

Phase I

Find $\vec{x} \in [-1, +1]^n$ with all least $\frac{n}{2}$ at ± 1 .

Idea: Start $\vec{x} \leftarrow \vec{z}$. Move \vec{x} in a **Controlled** Brownian Motion.

Phase I

Find $\vec{x} \in [-1, +1]^n$ with all least $\frac{n}{2}$ at ± 1 .

Idea: Start $\vec{x} \leftarrow \vec{z}$. Move \vec{x} in a **Controlled** Brownian Motion.

Technical: i frozen if $|x_i| \geq 1 - \epsilon$. Each step of distance δ

Phase I

Find $\vec{x} \in [-1, +1]^n$ with all least $\frac{n}{2}$ at ± 1 .

Idea: Start $\vec{x} \leftarrow \vec{z}$. Move \vec{x} in a **Controlled** Brownian Motion.

Technical: i frozen if $|x_i| \geq 1 - \epsilon$. Each step of distance δ

Set $L_j = [n^{-1/2} \vec{r}_j] \cdot [\vec{x} - \vec{z}]$

WANT: **ALL** $|L_j| \leq K$

Space V of **allowable** moves $\vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$

Space V of **allowable** moves $\vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$

i frozen $\Rightarrow y_i = 0$.

Space V of **allowable** moves $\vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$

i frozen $\Rightarrow y_i = 0$.

\vec{y} orthogonal to current \vec{x}

Space V of **allowable** moves $\vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$

i frozen $\Rightarrow y_i = 0$.

\vec{y} orthogonal to current \vec{x}

KEY: \vec{y} orthogonal to \vec{r}_j for j with top $\frac{n}{4} |L_j|$

The Random Move

$$d = \dim(V) \geq \frac{n}{4} - 1 \sim \frac{n}{4}.$$

Gaussian $\vec{g} = d^{-1/2}[n_1\vec{b}_1 + \dots + n_d\vec{b}_d]$, orthonormal \vec{b}_s

Move $\vec{x} \leftarrow \vec{x} + \delta\vec{g}$

Analysis

$$|\vec{x}|^2 \leftarrow |\vec{x}|^2 + \delta^2 \text{ so } T \leq n\delta^{-2}$$

$$L_j \text{ moves Gaussian, Variance } \leq \delta^2 \frac{1}{d} \leq \delta^2 \frac{4}{n}$$

Total Variance ≤ 4 . **Martingale**

$$\Pr[|L_j| \geq K] \leq 2e^{-K^2/8} \leq 0.1$$

SUCCESS: Fewer than $\frac{n}{5} j$ with $|L_j| \geq K$.

Positive Probability of **SUCCESS**

Analysis

$$|\vec{x}|^2 \leftarrow |\vec{x}|^2 + \delta^2 \text{ so } T \leq n\delta^{-2}$$

$$L_j \text{ moves Gaussian, Variance} \leq \delta^2 \frac{1}{d} \leq \delta^2 \frac{4}{n}$$

Total Variance ≤ 4 . **Martingale**

$$\Pr[|L_j| \geq K] \leq 2e^{-K^2/8} \leq 0.1$$

SUCCESS: Fewer than $\frac{n}{5} j$ with $|L_j| \geq K$.

Positive Probability of **SUCCESS**

SUCCESS implies that **ALL** $|L_j| \leq K$

Phase s

$m = 2^{1-s}n$. Start \vec{z} with $\leq m$ coordinates frozen. End \vec{x} with $\leq \frac{m}{2}$ coordinates frozen.

Effectively $|\vec{r}_j| \leq \sqrt{m}$

Would get $K\sqrt{m}$ **but** still have $n = m2^{s-1}$ vectors.

Actually get: $K\sqrt{m}\sqrt{s} = K\sqrt{n}\sqrt{s}2^{(1-s)/2}$

Converges!

Thank You!

It is six in the morning.

The house is asleep.

Nice music is playing.

I prove and conjecture.

– Paul Erdős, in letter to Vera Sós