$\begin{array}{c} {\rm EURANDOM\; PREPRINT\; SERIES} \\ 2010\text{-}053 \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Computationally efficient algorithms for} \\ \text{statistical image processing.} \\ \text{Implementation in } R \end{array}$

Mikhail Langovoy and Olaf Wittich ISSN 1389-2355

Computationally efficient algorithms for statistical image processing. Implementation in R

Mikhail Langovoy

Mikhail Langovoy, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, EURANDOM, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB, Eindhoven, The Netherlands e-mail: langovoy@eurandom.tue.nl Phone: (+31) (40) 247 - 8113 Fax: (+31) (40) 247 - 8190 and

Olaf Wittich *

Olaf Wittich, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven and EURANDOM, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB, Eindhoven, The Netherlands e-mail: o.wittich@tue.nl
Phone: (+31) (40) 247 - 2499

Abstract: In the series of our earlier papers on the subject, we proposed a novel statistical hypothesis testing method for detection of objects in noisy images. The method uses results from percolation theory and random graph theory. We developed algorithms that allowed to detect objects of unknown shapes in the presence of nonparametric noise of unknown level and of unknown distribution. No boundary shape constraints were imposed on the objects, only a weak bulk condition for the object's interior was required. Our algorithms have linear complexity and exponential accuracy. In the present paper, we describe an implementation of our nonparametric hypothesis testing method. We provide a program that can be used for statistical experiments in image processing. This program is written in the statistical programming language R.

Keywords and phrases: Image analysis, signal detection, image reconstruction, percolation, noisy image, unsupervised machine learning, spatial statistics.

1. Introduction

Assume we observe a noisy digital image on a screen of $N \times N$ pixels. Object detection and image reconstruction for noisy images are two of the cornerstone problems in image analysis. In the series of papers Langovoy and Wittich (2009a), Davies et al. (2009), Langovoy and Wittich (2009b) and Langovoy and Wittich (2010), we proposed a new efficient technique for quick detection of objects in noisy images. Our approach uses mathematical percolation theory.

Detection of objects in noisy images is the most basic problem of image analysis. Indeed, when one looks at a noisy image, the first question to ask is whether there is any object at all. This is also a primary question of interest in such

^{*}Corresponding author.

diverse fields as, for example, cancer detection (Ricci-Vitiani et al. (2007)), automated urban analysis (Negri et al. (2006)), detection of cracks in buried pipes (Sinha and Fieguth (2006)), and other possible applications in astronomy, electron microscopy and neurology. Moreover, if there is just a random noise in the picture, it doesn't make sense to run computationally intensive procedures for image reconstruction for this particular picture. Surprisingly, the vast majority of image analysis methods, both in statistics and in engineering, skip this stage and start immediately with image reconstruction.

The crucial difference of our method is that we do not impose any shape or smoothness assumptions on the boundary of the object. This permits the detection of nonsmooth, irregular or disconnected objects in noisy images, under very mild assumptions on the object's interior. This is especially suitable, for example, if one has to detect a highly irregular non-convex object in a noisy image. This is usually the case, for example, in the aforementioned fields of automated urban analysis, cancer detection and detection of cracks in materials. Although our detection procedure works for regular images as well, it is precisely the class of irregular images with unknown shape where our method can be very advantageous.

We approached the object detection problem as a hypothesis testing problem within the class of statistical inverse problems in spatial statistics. We were able to extend our approach to the nonparametric case of unknown noise density, and this density was not assumed smooth or even continuous. It is even possible that the noise distribution doesn't have a density or that the noise distribution is heavy-tailed.

We have shown that there is a deep connection between the spatial structure chosen for the discretisation of the image, the type of the noise distribution on the image and statistical properties of object detection. These results seem to be of independent interest for the field of spatial statistics.

In our previous papers, we considered the case of square lattices in Langovoy and Wittich (2009a) and Langovoy and Wittich (2009b), triangular lattices in Davies et al. (2009) and Langovoy and Wittich (2010) and even the case of general periodic lattices in Langovoy and Wittich (2010). In all those cases, we proved that our detection algorithms have linear complexity in terms of the number of pixels on the screen. These procedures are not only asymptotically consistent, but on top of that they have accuracy that grows exponentially with the "number of pixels" in the object of detection. All of the detection algorithms have a built-in data-driven stopping rule, so there is no need in human assistance to stop an algorithm at an appropriate step.

In view of the above, our method can be viewed as an unsupervised learning method, in the language of machine learning. This makes our results valuable for the field of machine learning as well. Indeed, we do not only propose an unsupervised method, but also prove the method's consistency and even go as far as to prove the rates of convergence.

In this paper, we describe an algorithmic implementation of our nonparametric hypothesis testing / image processing method. We also provide an actual program that was used for our research at the initial stages. This program is written in the statistical programming language R. It is known that, as a programming language, R is rather slow. At present, we have implemented our algorithms in C++, and they run substantially faster. However, R is a free and convenient language for statistical programming, since R has many advanced

statistical procedures already built-in. This language also has convenient tools for generating random variables of various types, therefore R is suitable for statistical experiments with our method.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2.1 describes some basic methods for converting, storing and processing images in R. Our implementation of lattice neighborhood structures is given in Section 2.2. The Depth First Search algorithm and its R-implementation for image analysis are described in Section 3. In Section 4 we explain how the fast Newman-Ziff algorithm from discrete algorithmic probability can be effectively used in our image analysis method. Subsection 4 describes the theory behind the Newman-Ziff approach, while Subsection 4.1 introduces our modification of this algorithm, suitable for percolation probabilities that are inhomogeneous over the lattice. This modification allows for finer simulation studies for any given finite screen size, and this is particularly useful for small images. Subsections 4.2 and 4.3 are devoted to R-implementations of the Newman-Ziff algorithm and the modified Newman-Ziff algorithms respectively.

2. General remarks about image processing in R

2.1. Converting, storing, and processing images

Image processing in R uses the package rimage which allows to convert an actual picture (in .jpg-format) to an R-object called imagematrix. In the sequel, we store images as *vectors* of grey-values where the entries of the vector are intensities of the corresponding pixels going through the image row by row. The vectors are manipulated and converted to imagematrices which can finally be displayed.

```
## SOME CLUSTER MACROS
#
## use only with "library(rimage)"

## Some functions converting data structures
#
# the functions convert vectors in matrices and imagematrices;
# they work properly only if the components are either 0 or 1
#
## Function: Image to Matrix (img2mat)

img2mat <- function(img)
{
mat <- matrix(img,nrow(img),ncol(img))
return(mat)
}

## Function: Matrix to Image (mat2img)

mat2img <- function(mat)
{</pre>
```

```
img <- imagematrix(mat)</pre>
return(img)
## Function: Matrix to Vector (mat2vec)
mat2vec <- function(mat)</pre>
vec <- as.vector(mat)</pre>
return(vec)
## Function: Vector to Matrix (vec2mat)
vec2mat <- function(vec,n,m)</pre>
mat <- matrix(vec,n,m)</pre>
return(mat)
The following code just provides you with an example of generating a graphical
representation of site percolation on a lattice:
## EXAMPLE: Percolation
\# simulates site? percolation on an n x n lattice
# with parameter 0 \le p \le 1
perco <- function(p,n)</pre>
v \leftarrow rbinom(n*n,1,p)
ma <- vec2mat(v,n)</pre>
im <- mat2img(ma)</pre>
return(im)
```

2.2. Neighborhood structures

For the percolation cluster analysis, it is important to implement the topology of the lattice, i.e. the neighborhood structure. Since the image - i.e. the lattice site intensities - are stored as a vector, the neighborhood structures are stored as list-objects. Assigned to every position in the vector (i.e. every *site*, the list-index) there is a vector of other vector positions, i.e. the indices of the neighboring sites. These lists depend on the size of the rectangular grid and have to be computed only once. Below we give an implementation for the standard cases of 4-, 6-, and 8-neighborhoods on a rectangular grid.

```
###### Function: Adjacency Matrix (adj_mat)
#
#
# the function generates a list of all neighbors
# of points in a flat rectangular grid consisting of
imsart-generic ver. 2007/04/13 file: Statistical_Image_Analysis_in_R.tex date: December 21, 2010
```

n:columns, m :rows; it works properly only for

for n,m > 2!

```
## 4-neighborhood
adj4mat <- function(n,m)
neighbors <- list()</pre>
## corners
                         <-c(2,n+1)
neighbors[[1]]
neighbors[[n]]
                        <-c(n-1,2*n)
                      <- c(n*(m-1),n*m -1)
neighbors[[n*m]]
neighbors[[(m-1)*n + 1]] <- c((m-1)*n + 2,(m-2)*n+1)
## boundary
# (a) above
for(i in 2:(n-1)){neighbors[[i]]<- c(i-1,i+1,i+n)}
# (b) below
for(i in ((m-1)*n+2):(n*m-1)){neighbors[[i]]<- c(i-1,i+1,i-n)}
### (c) left
for(i in 1:(m-2)){neighbors[[n*i+1]]<- c(n*(i-1)+1,n*(i+1)+1,
                                                      n*i+2)}
### (d) right
for(i in 2:(m-1)){neighbors[[n*i]]<- c(n*(i-1),n*(i+1),n*i-1)}
## interior
for(i in 1:(m-2))
{
for(j in 1:(n-2))
neighbors[[i*n + 1 + j]] <- c(i*n + j,i*n + 2 + j,(i-1)*n+1+j,
                                 (i+1)*n+1+j)
}
return(neighbors)
imsart-generic ver. 2007/04/13 file: Statistical_Image_Analysis_in_R.tex date: December 21, 2010
```

```
## 8-neighborhood
adj8mat <- function(n,m)
neighbors <- list()</pre>
## corners
neighbors[[1]]
                                                                              <-c(2,n+1,n+2)
                                                               <- c(n-1,2*n,2*n-1)
<- c(n*(m-1),n*m -1,(m-1)*n-1)
neighbors[[n]]
neighbors[[n*m]]
neighbors[[(m-1)*n + 1]] <- c((m-1)*n + 2, (m-2)*n+1, (m-2)*n+2)
## boundary
# (a) above
for(i in 2:(n-1)){neighbors[[i]]<- c(i-1,i+1,i+n,i+n-1,i+n+1)}</pre>
# (b) below
i-n-1,i-n+1)}
### (c) left
for(i in 1:(m-2)){neighbors[[n*i+1]] <- c(n*(i-1)+1,n*(i-1)+2,
                                                                                                                          n*(i+1)+1,n*(i+1)+2,n*i+2)
### (d) right
for(i in 2:(m-1)){neighbors[[n*i]]<- c(n*(i-1), n*(i-1)-1, n*(i+1), n*(
                                                                                                                                                           n*(i+1)-1,n*i-1)
## interior
for(i in 1:(m-2))
for(j in 1:(n-2))
neighbors[[i*n + 1 + j]] < c(i*n + j,i*n + 2 + j,(i-1)*n+1+j,
                           (i-1)*n+j,(i-1)*n+j+2,(i+1)*n+1+j,(i+1)*n+2+j,(i+1)*n+j)
}
}
return(neighbors)
imsart-generic ver. 2007/04/13 file: Statistical_Image_Analysis_in_R.tex date: December 21, 2010
```

```
## 6-neighborhood (triangular lattice)
adj6mat <- function(n,m)</pre>
neighbors <- list()</pre>
## corners
neighbors[[1]] \leftarrow c(2,n+1,n+2)
neighbors[[n]]
neighbors[[n*m]]
                        <-c(n-1,2*n,2*n-1)
                        <-c(n*(m-1),n*m-1)
neighbors[[(m-1)*n + 1]] <- c((m-1)*n + 2, (m-2)*n+1, (m-2)*n+2)
## boundary
# (a) above
for(i in 2:(n-1)){neighbors[[i]]<- c(i-1,i+1,i+n,i+n-1)}
# (b) below
for(i in ((m-1)*n+2):(n*m-1)){neighbors[[i]]<- c(i-1,i+1,i-n,
                                                         i-n+1)
### (c) left
for(i in 1:(m-2)){neighbors[[n*i+1]] <- c(n*(i-1)+1,n*(i-1)+2,
                                                n*(i+1)+1,n*i+2)
### (d) right
for(i in 2:(m-1)){neighbors[[n*i]]<- c(n*(i-1),n*(i+1),n*(i+1)-1,
                                            n*i-1)
## interior
for(i in 1:(m-2))
for(j in 1:(n-2))
neighbors[[i*n + 1 + j]]<- c(i*n + j,i*n + 2 + j,(i-1)*n+1+j,
                            (i-1)*n+j+2, (i+1)*n+1+j, (i+1)*n+j)
}
}
return(neighbors)
```

}

3. Tarjan's Depth First Search algorithm

In order to be able to perform statistical analysis of percolation clusters, we need an algorithm that finds those clusters in the thresholded binary image. For this purpose, we used the *Depth First Search algorithm* (Tarjan (1972)). It is remarkable that this algorithm has linear complexity, in terms of the size of the input data. In our particular problem, the algorithm finds the clusters in a number of steps that is linear with respect to the number of pixels on the screen.

The key point of the Depth First Search algorithm is the construction of a so called $spanning\ tree$ of a given cluster. Starting from a $seed\ point$ with label 1 that belongs to the cluster, we begin to explore all neighbors in an order determined by the ordering of the corresponding vector given in the list that encodes the neighborhood structure. The first neighboring site which belongs to the cluster gets label 2 and we repeat the procedure with this site. The labels are stored. Given we have arrived after some steps at a site with label n, the procedure is continued as follows

- 1. Explore the neighbors of the current site:
 - The first neighbor that is so far unlabeled and belongs to the cluster gets label n+1, we store the current site (with label n) as mother-site of the new site and we proceed with the new site as current site.
 - If there is no neighbor which is unlabeled so far and belongs to the cluster, we return to the *mother*-site (*backtracking*) as current site.
- 2. The algorithm terminates, if all neighbors of the *seed point* are explored.

The cluster is now given by all labeled sites which form a tree (i.e. the *spanning tree*) whose root is the *seed point* and the different labels display the distance of a given site to the root.

Remark on running time. Please note that the subsequent R-routines are extremely slow. A corresponding C-program called from R yields much better results.

3.1. R-Code: Depth First Search

The function that generates a spanning tree for the cluster with seed point r in a given configuration $x \in \{0,1\}^{a \times b}$ is given by

```
## Function: Spanning Tree (spanning_tree)
spanning_tree <- function(x,a,b,r)
{
###### DEPTH FIRST SEARCH
n <- a</pre>
```

```
m <- b
mother <- c()
flg <- 0
rank <- x
ngh <- adj6mat(n,m)</pre>
## starting point
start <- r
current_rnk <- 1</pre>
current_pos <- start</pre>
rank[start] <- current_rnk</pre>
###########
while(current_rnk > 0)
L <- length(ngh[[current_pos]])</pre>
i <- 1
#### check neighbors
while(i <= L)
    if(rank[ngh[[current_pos]][i]] != 0){i <- i+1}</pre>
    else{## mother (new vertex found)
           mother[ngh[[current_pos]][i]] <- current_pos</pre>
           current_pos <- ngh[[current_pos]][i]</pre>
           current_rnk \leftarrow current_rnk + 1
           rank[current_pos] <- current_rnk</pre>
           i <- L + 1
           flg <- 1 ## found something new
}
### nothing found ??
if(flg == 0)
### backtracking
current_pos <- mother[current_pos]</pre>
current_rnk <- current_rnk - 1</pre>
imsart-generic ver. 2007/04/13 file: Statistical_Image_Analysis_in_R.tex date: December 21, 2010
```

```
else
{flg <- 0}

return(rank)
}</pre>
```

The function returns a vector of zeros for points that do not belong to the cluster and numbers $1, 2, \dots$ of labels attached to the cluster points by the Depth First Search algorithm.

4. The Newman - Ziff algorithm

The Newman - Ziff algorithm (Newman and Ziff (2000)) is an algorithm to simulate the distribution of (functions of) the size of the largest cluster in percolation theory. In our image analysis method, we use this algorithm to study the null hypothesis, to find critical cluster sizes for object detection and to estimate the power of our test. In addition, this algorithm is extremely useful for controlling the false detection rate and for studying the finite sample performance of our method.

We will explain the Newman - Ziff approach for site percolation since only minor changes have to be made to cover bond percolation as well. Let now \mathcal{G} be a finite graph with $S = |\mathcal{G}|$ sites and $0 \le p \le 1$ the probability that an individual site is active. Two sites $s, s' \in \mathcal{G}$ are neighbors if the graph contains a bond b(s, s') joining them, a subset of active sites $C \subset \mathcal{G}$ is called a (connected) cluster if any two sites in C can be connected by a chain of neighboring active sites. Thus, the notion of connectedness is determined by the configuration of bonds between sites in the graph, i.e. by the topology of \mathcal{G} .

We will now concentrate on the random variable given by the $maximum\ cluster$ size

$$M := \max\{|C| : C \subset \mathcal{G} \text{ cluster}\}. \tag{1}$$

The basic idea of the algorithm is now to split up the probabilities using the random variable

$$N := |\{s \in \mathcal{G} : s \text{ active}\}|. \tag{2}$$

given by the number of active sites. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(M=m) = \sum_{n=0}^{S} \mathbb{P}(M=m \mid N=n) \, \mathbb{P}(N=n)$$
(3)

and since the sites are active $mutually\ independent$ with probability p, the number of active sites is $binomially\ distributed$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(N=n) = \begin{pmatrix} S \\ n \end{pmatrix} p^n (1-p)^{S-n}.$$

Furthermore, the conditional probabilities $p_{mn} := \mathbb{P}(M = m \mid N = n)$ depend solely on the topology of the graph. The *activation probability* p influences the distribution of M via adjusting the number N of active bonds alone.

The Newman - Ziff algorithm is an algorithm to simulate those conditional probabilities p_{mn} . Let for fixed n denote $M_n \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ be the random variable that is distributed by p_{nm} . Then we can consider all M_n simultaneously as being generated by random permutations Π of $\{1, ..., S\}$:

We consider a permutation π as a random visiting scheme of the sites of \mathcal{G} and $\pi(1), ..., \pi(n)$ to be the first n sites that are visited. Considering these sites as active, the set $\{\pi(1), ..., \pi(n)\}$ consists of several connected clusters. We denote by $X_n(\pi)$ the size of the largest of these clusters.

Lemma 1. The distribution of $X_n(\pi)$ coincides with the one of M_n for all n.

Proof: A random permutation is given by $\mathbb{P}(\Pi = \pi) = 1/S!$, hence

$$\mathbb{P}(\Pi(1) = \pi(1), ..., \Pi(n) = \pi(n)) = \begin{pmatrix} S \\ n \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

and all possible configurations of n active sites are equi-distributed. This is the same if you choose active sites independently with probability p and consider the conditional probability having n active sites.

The advantage of this is twofold: First of all, we have to generate only one random permutation to get estimators \widehat{M}_n for all n=1,...,S, and, crucially, we can add active sites inductively according to the random visiting scheme generated by the permutation which makes it much easier and less storage consuming to keep track of the largest cluster.

Thus, one single run of the estimation procedure consists of

- 1. let $\widehat{M}_0 = 0$,
- 2. generate a random permutation,
- 3. add active sites inductively according to the random visiting scheme generated by the permutation,
- 4. after adding site n, check whether this site leads to enlargement of one or the merging of several already existing clusters,
- 5. check whether the size m of the new cluster generated by adding site n is larger than \widehat{M}_{n-1} ,
- 6. if so, let $\widehat{M}_n = m$, otherwise $\widehat{M}_n = \widehat{M}_{n-1}$,
- 7. record \widehat{M}_n , n = 1, ..., S.

For practical implementations, the active sites are recorded by assigning a label according to which cluster the site belongs (0: not active, 1: belonging to cluster 1,...). These labels have to be updated every time a site is added. This requires some work if adding of a new site results in merging several different clusters. Thus, in the course of one single run (meaning a run according to one generated random permutation), we also have to record the current label vector $L \in \{0,1,...,S\}^S$. The conditional probabilities can then be estimated by carrying out several runs of this scheme and using the strong law of large numbers

for suitably chosen indicator functions as usual. This holds for the conditional probabilities as well as for the unconditional distribution of the maximum cluster size after convoluting with the binomial distribution of the number of active sites above.

4.1. The modified Newman - Ziff algorithm

To simulate the type II error for the case of small images, in Langovoy and Wittich (2010) we have used a modified version of the Newman - Ziff algorithm suitable for site occupation probabilities which are inhomogeneous over the lattice. The modified Newman - Ziff algorithm computes the distribution of the magnitudes of the largest clusters when the site occupation probability is inhomogeneous.

To be precise, we have a subgrid $\mathcal{G}' \subset \mathcal{G}$ where the occupation probability is p_1 , whereas it is p_2 for sites in $\mathcal{G} - \mathcal{G}'$. In that case, we have to store the largest cluster sizes for all configurations (N, M) = (n, m) of $n \leq |\mathcal{G}'|$ occupied sites in \mathcal{G}' and $m \leq |\mathcal{G}|$ occupied sites in \mathcal{G} . The probabilities of those configurations are

$$\mathbb{P}((N,M)=(n,m))=\left(\begin{array}{c}|\mathcal{G}'|\\n\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}|\mathcal{G}|\\m\end{array}\right)p_1^n(1-p_1)^{|\mathcal{G}'|-n}p_2^m(1-p_2)^{|\mathcal{G}|-m}.$$

The basic idea of the algorithm remains essentially the same. We solved the additional problem of running through all configurations by using two different visiting schemes, one for inside \mathcal{G}' and another one for outside \mathcal{G}' .

4.2. R-Code: Newman - Ziff algorithm

The implementation consists of one main function simulation which produces a list of simulated distribution functions of the maximum cluster size for a rectangular $n \times m$ -grid with six-neighborhood for different activation probabilities p (n and m, the number of runs runs and the different activation probabilities probs under consideration are specified in the header and have to be changed manually).

Furthermore, we have six subroutines, given by

- 1. distribution which produces an empirical distribution function of the maximum cluster size out of the different estimators $(\widehat{M}_0,...,\widehat{M}_n)$ produced by the subsequent runs of run,
- 2. generate generates a suitable vector of binomial probabilities,
- 3. run one run of the Newman Ziff algorithm as described above, generates the estimators $(\widehat{M}_0,...,\widehat{M}_n)$,
- 4. rvsch generates the random visiting scheme,
- 5. sixngh is our way to implement the neighborhood structure (i.e. the topology of the graph),
- 6. sizeprob generates an unconditional empirical distribution function.

```
## 'simulation'
##
## uses: 'distribution'
## change 'n','m','runs','probs' manually
simulation <- function()</pre>
                  {
                 n <- 55
                 m <- 55
                 runs <- 1000
                 probs <- c(0.1,0.2,0.3,0.4,0.42,0.44,0.46,
                              0.48,0.5,0.52,0.54,0.56,0.58,
                              0.6,0.7,0.8,0.9)
                 N <- n*m
                 all_dist <- list()</pre>
                 for(PP in 1:17)
                  all_dist[[PP]] <- distribution(n,m,runs,probs[PP])</pre>
                  print(PP)
                  return(all_dist)
#########
## 'distribution' generates estimate of cdf
## uses: 'generate','sixngh','sizeprob','run'
## used by: 'simulation'
##
distribution <- function(n,m,runs,p)</pre>
N <- n*m
ngh <- sixngh(n,m)</pre>
probs <- rep(0,N)</pre>
binprob <- generate(N,p)</pre>
for(r in 1:runs)
size <- run(n,m,ngh)</pre>
sizeprob <- sizeprob(size,binprob)</pre>
imsart-generic ver. 2007/04/13 file: Statistical_Image_Analysis_in_R.tex date: December 21, 2010
```

```
probs <- probs + sizeprob</pre>
probab <- probs/runs</pre>
return(probab)
##########
## 'generate' generates vector of binomial probabilities
## input: n 'number of trials', p 'success probability'
## output: Bin(n,p) probability vector
## used by: distribution
generate <- function(n,p){outcomes <- c(0:n)</pre>
                           probs <- dbinom(outcomes,n,p)</pre>
                           return(probs)}
##########
##
## 'run' -- "one single run of the newman ziff algorithm"
## remark: the 'updating step' uses special properties of
## six-neighborhoods for the conditioning
## input: n,m 'grid rows and columns'
          ngh 'neighborhood structure, provided by 'sixngh'
## uses: 'sixngh', 'rvsch'
## output: size 'size[TT]: maximum cluster size for TT
## occupied bonds'
run <- function(n,m,ngh)</pre>
## define vector 'size' and set N 'number of edges'
size <- c()
N <- n*m
## generate VS <- rvsch(N) 'random visiting scheme'</pre>
## == random permutation of 1,...,\mathbb{N}
VS <- rvsch(N)
## initialise clus 'cluster label vector'
## 0: site not occupied, k>0: site belongs to cluster k
clus <- rep(0,N)
## T 'step number' (for a single run)
imsart-generic ver. 2007/04/13 file: Statistical_Image_Analysis_in_R.tex date: December 21, 2010
```

```
for(TT in 1:N)
    ## maximal cluster label
      maxi <- max(clus)</pre>
    ## random edge
      edge <- VS[TT]
    ## neighbors of random edge
      neig <- ngh[[edge]]</pre>
    ## all cluster labels in neighborhood of random edge,
    ## ordered by magnitude
    ## lbl = 0: unoccupied edge, lbl = k: edge belongs to
    ## cluster k
    ## remark: a moment of reflection shows that always
    ## length(labl)<=4 for the triangular lattice
      labl <- sort(unique(clus[neig]))</pre>
      L <- length(lab1)
    ## updating labels:
    ## case #1: no occupied bonds in the neighborhood
    ## case #2: random edge connects all clusters in the
    ##
                neighborhood, new label = maximum label
    if(labl[L] == 0){clus[edge] <- 1 + maxi}else</pre>
        if(L == 1){clus[edge] <- lab1[1]}else</pre>
        for(k in 2:L)
        z \leftarrow (labl[L] - labl[k])*(1-abs(sign(clus - labl[k])))
        clus <- clus + z
        clus[edge] <- labl[L]</pre>
        }
        }
        }
    ## calculate the maximum cluster size
    ## either the cluster just constructed by merging the clusters
    ## in the neighborhood of the random edge is now the largest
    ## cluster, or the largest cluster remains the same
    newlabel <- clus[edge]</pre>
    mergedsize <- length(clus[clus == newlabel])</pre>
     if(TT > 1)
     if(mergedsize > size[TT-1]){size[TT]<-mergedsize</pre>
```

```
M. Langovoy and O. Wittich/Image processing in R.
```

```
}else{size[TT]<-size[TT-1]}</pre>
     else{size[1] <- 1}
## output 'size' vector
return(size)
}
#########
##
## 'rvsch' "random visiting scheme"
##
## used by: 'run'
rvsch <- function(N){ x <- c(1:N)</pre>
                        z \leftarrow sample(x,N)
                        return(z)
#########
## 'sixngh' 6-neighborhood (triangular lattice)
##
## used by: 'run'
sixngh <- function(n,m)</pre>
neighbors <- list()</pre>
## corners
neighbors[[1]]
                           <-c(2,n+1)
neighbors[[n]]
                           <-c(n-1,2*n,2*n-1)
neighbors[[n*m]]
                           <-c(n*(m-1),n*m-1)
neighbors[[(m-1)*n + 1]] <- c((m-1)*n + 2, (m-2)*n+1, (m-2)*n+2)
## boundary
# (a) above
for(i in 2:(n-1)){neighbors[[i]]<- c(i-1,i+1,i+n,i+n-1)}</pre>
for(i in ((m-1)*n+2):(n*m-1)){neighbors[[i]]<- c(i-1,i+1,i-n,i-n+1)}
### (c) left
for(i in 1:(m-2))
\{ \texttt{neighbors}[[\texttt{n*i+1}]] \ \leftarrow \ \texttt{c(n*(i-1)+1,n*(i-1)+2,n*(i+1)+1,n*i+2)} \}
imsart-generic ver. 2007/04/13 file: Statistical_Image_Analysis_in_R.tex date: December 21, 2010
```

```
### (d) right
for(i in 2:(m-1)){neighbors[[n*i]]<- c(n*(i-1),n*(i+1),n*(i+1)-1,n*i-1)}
## interior
for(i in 1:(m-2))
for(j in 1:(n-2))
neighbors[[i*n + 1 + j]]<- c(i*n + j,i*n + 2 + j,(i-1)*n+1+j,
                             (i-1)*n+j+2,(i+1)*n+1+j,(i+1)*n+j
}
}
return(neighbors)
##########
## 'sizeprob' generates unconditional empirical cdf
##
## used by: 'distribution'
## input: k cluster size, integer
##
          'binprob' suitable binomial probabilities from 'generate'
##
          'size' vector from simulation 'run'
## output: 'estimator' vector of P(max \le k), k = 0,...,n
sizeprob <- function(size,binprob)</pre>
        estimator <- c()
        size_ext <- c(0,size)</pre>
        for(k in 1:length(size))
        ## 'indicator' determines all entries of 'size_ext'
        ## less or equal than k
        indicator \leftarrow 0.5*(1 - sign(size - k - 0.5))
        ## 'estimator' is the estimator of P(max <= k) based
        ## on the single run
        estimator[k] <- sum(c(1,indicator) * binprob)</pre>
        }
        return(estimator)
        }
```

4.3. R-Code: modified Newman - Ziff algorithm

The modified algorithm uses the functions sixngh and rvsch from the previous collection and furthermore the following code.

```
##
## 'simulation_modi'
##
## uses: 'run_modi', 'sizeprob_modi'
##
## change manually: x,y gridsize, runs number of runs, s_set subgrid,
##
                  P_in, P_out site occupation probabilities inside and
##
                  outside the subgrid
##
## output:
                  'estimate' estimated cluster size distribution
## 'simulation_modi'
##
## uses: 'run_modi', 'sizeprob_modi'
## change manually: x,y gridsize, runs number of runs, s_set subgrid,
##
                  P_in, P_out site occupation probabilities inside and
##
                  outside the subgrid
##
## output:
                  'estimate' estimated cluster size distribution
simulation_modi <- function()</pre>
## we consider fixed grid size (square grid) with 55x55 sites
x <- 55
y <- 55
n <- x*y
runs <- 100
## 's_set' is a vector giving the positions of sites belonging to the subset
## positions are given by a vector, the sites in the grid are labelled as:
##
##
   1,2,3,...,x
##
   x+1,\ldots, 2x
##
##
   (y-1)x+1...xy
##
## example: 10x10-subset left upper corner at location (20,20)
s_{st} \leftarrow c(c(1065:1074), c(1120:1129), c(1175:1184), c(1230:1239), c(1285:1294),
c(1340:1349), c(1395:1404), c(1450:1459), c(1505:1514), c(1560:1569))
ngh <- sixngh(x,y)</pre>
P in <- 0.6
```

```
P_out <- 0.4
est \leftarrow rep(0,n)
for(LL in 1:runs)
single_sim <- run_modi(x,y,s_set,ngh)</pre>
est <- est + sizeprob_modi(single_sim,P_in,P_out)</pre>
print(LL)
}
estimate <- est/runs
return(estimate)
## function "run_modi" -- 'one single run of the modified newman ziff algorithm'
## remark: the 'updating step' uses special properties of six-neighborhoods for
## the conditioning
## input: n,m 'grid rows and columns'
          ngh 'neighborhood structure, provided by 'sixngh'
##
           s_v 'positions of subset sites'
## functions used: 'sixngh', 'rvsch'
## output: size_out (list) 'size[[a]][b]: maximum cluster size for a-1 occupied bonds ins
             and b-1 occupied bonds outside the subset'
run_modi <- function(n,m,s_v,ngh)</pre>
\mbox{\tt \#\#} define vector 'size' and set \mbox{\tt M} 'number of edges in the subset' and
## N number of sites in the grid
size <- c()
M <- length(s_v)
N \leftarrow n*m
## generate VS <- rvsch(M) 'random visiting scheme of subset'
## == random permutation of 1,...,M
VS <- rvsch(M)
## initialise clus 'cluster label vector'
## 0: site not occupied, k>0: site belongs to cluster k
clus \leftarrow rep(0,N)
## initialize list of all cluster vectors
s_clus <- list()</pre>
## TT 'step number' (for a single run for the subset)
imsart-generic ver. 2007/04/13 file: Statistical_Image_Analysis_in_R.tex date: December 21, 2010
```

```
for(TT in 1:M)
    ## maximal cluster label
      maxi <- max(clus)</pre>
    ## random edge inside subset
      edge <- s_v[VS[TT]]
    ## neighbors of random edge
      neig <- ngh[[edge]]</pre>
    ## all cluster labels in neighborhood of random edge, ordered by magnitude
    ## lbl = 0: unoccupied edge, lbl = k: edge belongs to cluster k
    ## remark: a moment of reflection shows that always length(labl)<=4 for the
    ## triangular lattice
      labl <- sort(unique(clus[neig]))</pre>
      L <- length(labl)
    ## updating labels: case #1: no occupied bonds in the neighborhood
    ##
                         case #2: random edge connects all clusters in the
    ##
                                   neighborhood, new label = maximum label
    if(labl[L] == 0)\{clus[edge] <- 1 + maxi\}else
            if(L == 1){clus[edge] <- labl[1]}else</pre>
                   for(k in 2:L)
                       {
                         z \leftarrow (labl[L] - labl[k])*(1-abs(sign(clus - labl[k])))
                         clus <- clus + z
                         clus[edge] <- labl[L]</pre>
                       }
                }
        }
    ## calculate the maximum cluster size
    ## either the cluster just constructed by merging the clusters in the
    ## neighborhood of the random edge is now the largest cluster, or the
    ## largest cluster remains the same
    newlabel <- clus[edge]</pre>
    mergedsize <- length(clus[clus == newlabel])</pre>
     if(TT > 1)
     if(mergedsize > size[TT-1]){size[TT]<-mergedsize}else{size[TT]<-size[TT-1]}</pre>
     else{size[1] <- 1}
```

```
## cluster status vector 'clus' has now to be stored for all numbers of
    ## occupies sites in the subset
    s_clus[[TT]] <- clus
## output 'status' vector 's_clus' + value for 0 active subset sites, stored in
## s_clus[[M+1]], 'size' == 0
s_clus[[M+1]] <- rep(0,N)
size[M + 1] \leftarrow 0
## second part: adding active sites to the complement of the subset
## remark: the 'updating step' uses special properties of six-neighborhoods for
## the conditioning
## define vector 'o_v': complement of subset
latt <- c(1:N)
o_v <- setdiff(latt,s_v)</pre>
J <- length(o_v)</pre>
anoth_size <- c()
## generate VS <- rvsch(L) 'random visiting scheme'</pre>
## of the complement
WS <- rvsch(J)
## initialize list of all cluster sizes
s_clussize <- list()</pre>
## WW 'step number' for initial subset configuration
VV <- M+1
for(WW in 1:VV)
clus <- s_clus[[WW]]</pre>
## TT 'step number' (for a single run)
for(TT in 1:J)
    ## maximal cluster label
      maxi <- max(clus)</pre>
    ## random edge inside subset
      edge <- o_v[WS[TT]]</pre>
    ## neighbors of random edge
      neig <- ngh[[edge]]</pre>
```

```
## all cluster labels in neighborhood of random edge, ordered by magnitude
    ## lbl = 0: unoccupied edge, lbl = k: edge belongs to cluster k
    ## remark: a moment of reflection shows that always length(labl)<=4 for the
    ## triangular lattice
      labl <- sort(unique(clus[neig]))</pre>
      L <- length(labl)
    ## updating labels: case #1: no occupied bonds in the neighborhood
    ##
                          case #2: random edge connects all clusters in the
    ##
                                   neighborhood, new label = maximum label
    if(labl[L] == 0){clus[edge] <- 1 + maxi}else</pre>
             if(L == 1)\{clus[edge] \leftarrow labl[1]\}else
                   for(k in 2:L)
                          z \leftarrow (labl[L] - labl[k])*(1-abs(sign(clus - labl[k])))
                         clus <- clus + z
                          clus[edge] <- labl[L]</pre>
                       }
                 }
        }
    ## calculate the maximum cluster size
    ## either the cluster just constructed by merging the clusters in the
    ## neighborhood of the random edge is now the largest cluster, or the
    ## largest cluster remains the same
    newlabel <- clus[edge]</pre>
    mergedsize <- length(clus[clus == newlabel])</pre>
     if(TT > 1)
     if(mergedsize > anoth_size[TT-1])
     {anoth_size[TT] <- mergedsize}else{anoth_size[TT] <- anoth_size[TT-1]}
     }
     else{
          if(mergedsize > size[WW])
          {anoth_size[1] <- mergedsize}else{anoth_size[1] <- size[WW]}
    }
s_clussize[[WW]] <- anoth_size</pre>
## real output 'size_out'
size_out <- list()</pre>
size_out[[1]] \leftarrow c(0,s_clussize[[M+1]])
imsart-generic ver. 2007/04/13 file: Statistical_Image_Analysis_in_R.tex date: December 21, 2010
```

```
for(l in 1:M)
size_out[[1+1]] <- c(size[1],s_clussize[[1]])</pre>
return(size_out)
## 'sizeprob_modi' calculates an estimator for the probability of maximum
##
                     cluster sizes not to exceed a given value k
##
## input:
            'P_in', 'P_out' site occupation probabilities inside and outside
            the subset
##
            'size_out' list from simulation 'run_modi'
##
## output: 'estimator' vector of P(\max \le k), k = 0,...,n
sizeprob_modi <- function(size_out,P_in,P_out)</pre>
        indicator <- list()</pre>
        estimator <- c()
        # number of inner sites
        VV <- length(size_out)</pre>
        V <- VV - 1
        # number of outer sites
        WW <- length(size_out[[1]])</pre>
        W <- WW - 1
        # total number of sites
        NN \leftarrow V + W
        # binomial probability vectors
        out_sites <- c(0:W)
        bin_out <- dbinom(out_sites,W,P_out)</pre>
        in_sites <- c(0:V)</pre>
        bin_in <- dbinom(in_sites, V, P_out)</pre>
        for(k in 1:NN)
        estimator[k] <- 0</pre>
        for(i in 1:VV)
        ## 'indicator' determines all entries of 'size_out' les
        s or equal than k
        indicator[[i]] \leftarrow 0.5*(1 - sign(size_out[[i]] - k - 0.5))
        ## 'estimator' is the estimator of P(\max \le k) based on the single run
```

```
estimator[k] <- estimator[k] + bin_in[i] * sum(indicator[[i]]*bin_out)
}
return(estimator)
}</pre>
```

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Laurie Davies and Alessandro Di Bucchianico for helpful discussions.

References

- P. L. Davies, M. Langovoy, and O. Wittich. Randomized algorithms for statistical image analysis based on percolation theory. *Submitted*, 2009.
- M. Langovoy and O. Wittich. Detection of objects in noisy images and site percolation on square lattices. EURANDOM Report No. 2009-035. EURAN-DOM, Eindhoven, 2009a.
- M. Langovoy and O. Wittich. Robust nonparametric detection of objects in noisy images. EURANDOM Report No. 2010-??? EURANDOM, Eindhoven, 2010.
- M. A. Langovoy and O. Wittich. Detection of objects in noisy images and site percolation on square lattices. *Submitted*, 2009b.
- M. Negri, P. Gamba, G. Lisini, and F. Tupin. Junction-aware extraction and regularization of urban road networks in high-resolution sar images. *Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on*, 44(10):2962–2971, Oct. 2006. ISSN 0196-2892.
- M. E. J. Newman and R. M. Ziff. Efficient monte carlo algorithm and high-precision results for percolation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 85(19):4104–4107, Nov 2000.
- Lucia Ricci-Vitiani, Dario G. Lombardi, Emanuela Pilozzi, Mauro Biffoni, Matilde Todaro, Cesare Peschle, and Ruggero De Maria. Identification and expansion of human colon-cancer-initiating cells. *Nature*, 445(7123):111–115, Oct. 2007. ISSN 0028-0836.
- Sunil K. Sinha and Paul W. Fieguth. Automated detection of cracks in buried concrete pipe images. Automation in Construction, 15(1):58-72, 2006. ISSN 0926-5805.
- Robert Tarjan. Depth-first search and linear graph algorithms. SIAM J. Comput., 1(2):146–160, 1972. ISSN 0097-5397.