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## Belief updating

A very basic task in artificial intelligence is belief updating. In the framework of probability theory it says:

- Hypothesis variable $A$ with prior distribution $P(A)$.
- Case specific knowledge: $e=\{B=\beta, \ldots, C=\gamma\}$
- What is $P(A \mid e)$ ?

In AI, the approach to probabilities is that of subjective probabilities.

## Conditional probabilities

Every probability is conditioned on a context. For example, if we throw a dice:

$$
" P(\{\text { six }\})=\frac{1}{6} "=" P(\text { six } \mid \text { symmetric dice })=\frac{1}{6} " .
$$

In general, if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are events and $P(\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{B})=x$, then:
"In the context of $\mathcal{B}$ we have that $P(\mathcal{A})=x$ "
Note: It is not "whenever $\mathcal{B}$ we have $P(\mathcal{A})=x$ ", but rather: if $\mathcal{B}$, and everything else known is irrelevant to $\mathcal{A}$, then $P(\mathcal{A})=x$.

## Basic probability calculus

Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be events.
The fundamental rule: $P(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B})=P(\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{B}) P(\mathcal{B})$.
The conditioned fundamental rule: $P(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{C})=P(\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C}) P(\mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{C})$.
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Conditional independence: $P(\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C})=P(\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{C})$
In that case $P(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{C})=P(\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{C}) \cdot P(\mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{C})$.

## Probability calculus for variables

$A$ is a variable with states $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} ; B$ is a variable with states $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$.
$P(A)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a probability distribution $; x_{i} \geq 0 ; \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=1$.
$P(A \mid B)$ is a $n \times m$ table containing the numbers $P\left(a_{i} \mid b_{j}\right)$.

$$
\text { Note: } \sum_{A} P\left(A \mid b_{j}\right)=1 \text { for all } b_{j} \text {. }
$$


$P(A, B)$ is a $n \times m$ table too; $\sum_{A, B} P(A, B)=1$.

|  |  | $B$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $b_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $b_{3}$ |
| $A$ | $a_{1}$ | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
|  | $a_{2}$ | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.08 |

## The fundamental rule for variables

$P(A \mid B) P(B): n \times m$ multiplications $P\left(a_{i} \mid b_{j}\right) P\left(b_{j}\right)=P\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right)$
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## Marginalization of potentials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{B}\left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 
& b_{1} & b_{2} \\
\hline a_{1} & 2 & 3 \\
a_{2} & 1 & 4
\end{array}\right)=\begin{array}{l|l}
a_{1} & 5 \\
a_{2} & 5
\end{array} \\
& \sum_{A}\left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 
& b_{1} & b_{2} \\
\hline a_{1} & 2 & 3 \\
a_{2} & 1 & 4
\end{array}\right)=\begin{array}{l|l}
b_{1} & 3 \\
b_{2} & 7
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The algebra of potentials

(i) $\operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right)=\operatorname{dom} \phi_{1} \cup \operatorname{dom} \phi_{2}$.
(ii) The commutative law: $\phi_{1} \phi_{2}=\phi_{2} \phi_{1}$.
(iii) The associative law: $\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right) \phi_{3}=\phi_{1}\left(\phi_{2} \phi_{3}\right)$.
(iv) Existence of unit: The number 1 is a potential over the empty domain, and $1 \cdot \phi=\phi$ for all potentials $\phi$. The unit potential is denoted 1 .
$\sum_{A} \phi$ is a potential over $\operatorname{dom}(\phi) \backslash\{A\}$.
(v) $\sum_{A} \sum_{B} \phi=\sum_{B} \sum_{A} \phi$.
(vi) The unit potential property: $\sum_{A} P(A \mid V)=1$.
(vii) The distributive law: If $A \notin \operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{1}\right)$, then $\sum_{A} \phi_{1} \phi_{2}=\phi_{1} \sum_{A} \phi_{2}$.
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With access to the joint distribution over $\mathcal{U}$, belief updating is mathematically a rather simple task. However, it is intractable with large $\mathcal{U}$.

