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Abstract

We consider an $M/G/1$ queue in which an arriving customer doesn’t enter the system whenever its virtual waiting time, i.e., the amount of work seen upon arrival, is larger than a certain random patience time. We determine the busy period distribution for various choices of the patience time distribution. The main cases under consideration are exponential patience and a discrete patience distribution.

1 Introduction

Impatience is a very natural and important concept in queueing models. There is a wide range of situations in which customers may become impatient when they do not receive service fast enough. One may think of customers at call centers, or of customers representing perishable goods, like blood samples which wait to be tested and become obsolete after a certain due date.

Most of the attention in the literature on queueing models with impatience has focused on queue length and waiting time distributions, with relatively little attention for the busy period distribution. This important performance measure has been studied by Subba Rao [14] for the $M/G/1+M$ model, where the notation ”$+M$” indicates exponential patience, and in [8] for the $M/G/1$ model with restricted accessibility: a customer is fully (or partially) rejected if the workload at his arrival is below a certain fixed threshold. See [6] for the $M/M/1 + D$ case, and [7] for several variants of the $M/M/1 + D$ and $M/M/1 + M$ cases.
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A pioneering paper on queueing models with impatience is [3]; it studies the $M/M/s + D$ model for the case that impatience refers to the waiting time, and the $M/M/1 + D$ model for the case that the impatience refers to the sojourn time. In [1, 2] necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the virtual waiting time distribution in the $G/G/1 + G$ were obtained. The latter distribution is subsequently obtained for $M/G/1 + M$ and $M/G/1 + E_k$. Finch [4] has derived the waiting time distribution in the $G/M/1 + D$ queue. Stanford [11] relates the waiting time distribution of the (successful) customers and the workload seen by an arbitrary arrival in $G/G/1 + G$. See Stanford [12] for a brief literature review, and [5] for an approximation for the waiting time distribution in $M/G/N + G$ and several additional references on multiserver queues with impatience.

The present paper focuses on the busy period distribution for a single server queue with impatience. We consider the $M/G/1 + G$ model, in which the patience refers to the waiting (not sojourn) time of the arriving customer. We first derive an integral equation for the distribution of the busy period length, conditional on the initial workload in the system being $v$. We are able to solve this equation in the case of exponential patience, for a large class of service time distributions. We thus obtain the Laplace Stieltjes transform (LST) of the distribution of the length of a busy period that starts with some workload $v$. Integration w.r.t. the service time distribution gives the transform of the unconditional busy period length. In the case of a discrete patience distribution, we follow another approach which is based on transform methods, the Wald martingale and stopping times. Again the LST of the busy period distribution is obtained.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains a model description, and the derivation of the integral equation for the distribution of the busy period length, conditional on the initial workload in the system being $v$. In Section 3 we exploit this integral equation to obtain the busy period distribution for the case of exponential patience, the service time being either Hyperexponential or Erlang distributed. Sections 4, 5 and 6 are devoted to the case of a discrete patience distribution. Section 4 contains the preparations. Section 5
considers exponential service times, while Section 6 allows generally distributed service times.

2 An integral equation

Model description

Let \( \{V(t), t \geq 0\} \) denote the virtual waiting time (the load) of an \( M/G/1 \) queue with arrival intensity \( \lambda \). The \( n \)th customer arrives with a vector of two random variables \((X_n, U_n)\), where \( X_n \) is the length of service required, and \( U_n \) is the patience time. We assume that \((X_1, X_2, \ldots)\) and \((U_1, U_2, \ldots)\) are two independent sequences of i.i.d. random variables. The common distribution function of the \( X_i \) \((U_i)\) is called \( F \) \((G)\); for simplicity we assume that they have densities \( f \) and \( g \), respectively. Let \( V(t) \) be the virtual waiting process. If the \( n \)th customer arrives at time \( t \), he sees the workload \( V(t-) \) in front of him and joins the queue if and only if \( V(t-) \leq U_n \); in this case \( V(t) = V(t-) + X_n \) while if \( V(t-) > U_n \) we have \( V(t) = V(t-) \).

We are interested in the distribution of the length, \( B \), of the busy period in this \( M/G/1 \) queue with customer impatience. First we note that \( P(B < \infty) = 1 \) if \( E(X_1) < \infty \). To see this, consider the workload \( W_n \) just before the arrival of the \( n \)th customer. This embedded sequence is Markovian and satisfies the recursion

\[
W_{n+1} = [W_n + X_n I(U_n > W_n) - Y_n]_+, \]

where \( Y_n \) denotes the \((\exp(\lambda)\)-distributed) time between the arrivals of the \( n \)th and the \((n + 1)\)st customer and \( I(A) \) is the indicator function of \( A \). We have, by dominated convergence,

\[
E(W_{n+1} - W_n | W_n = w) = E(\max[X_1 I(U_1 > w) - Y_1, -w]) \to -1/\lambda, \quad \text{as } w \to \infty.
\]

Hence, there is a \( w_0 > 0 \) such that \( E(W_{n+1} - W_n | W_n = w) \leq -1/2\lambda \) for all \( w \geq w_0 \). It follows that sequence \( W_n \) almost surely enters and leaves the interval \([0, w_0]\) infinitely often, and at any of these visits there is a positive probability that the system will get idle before the workload will again exceed \( w_0 \). Therefore, \( P(B < \infty) = 1 \).
We now derive an integral equation for the distribution of the busy period duration $B$, initiated by some workload $v$. Let

$$P(t, v) = P(B > t|V(0) = v), \quad t \geq 0, \; v > 0.$$  

We follow an approach of Perry et al. [9], starting from the following renewal equation:

$$P(t, v) = e^{-\lambda t} I(v > t) + \lambda \int_0^{\min(t,v)} e^{-\lambda s} \bar{G}(v-s) \int_0^\infty P(t-s, v-s+x) f(x) \, dx \, ds + \lambda \int_0^{\min(t,v)} e^{-\lambda s} G(v-s) P(t-s, v-s) \, ds,$$  

(2.1)

where $\bar{G} = 1 - G$. Using Banach’s fixed point theorem one can uniformly approximate the function $(t, v) \mapsto P(t, v)$ on $[0, T] \times (0, \infty)$ for arbitrary $T > 0$. Let $\mathcal{B}_T$ be the Banach space of all measurable and bounded real-valued functions on $[0, T] \times (0, \infty)$, endowed with the supremum norm $\| \cdot \|_\infty$, and define an operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{B}_T \to \mathcal{B}_T$ by

$$(\mathcal{A}h)(t, v) = e^{-\lambda t} I(v > t) + \lambda \int_0^{\min(t,v)} e^{-\lambda s} \bar{G}(v-s) \int_0^\infty h(t-s, v-s+x) f(x) \, dx \, ds + \lambda \int_0^{\min(t,v)} e^{-\lambda s} G(v-s) h(t-s, v-s) \, ds,$$  

(2.2)

for $h \in \mathcal{B}_T$ and $(t, v) \in [0, T] \times (0, \infty)$. A simple calculation shows that for any $h, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{B}_T$ and $(t, v) \in [0, T] \times (0, \infty)$,

$$| (\mathcal{A}h)(t, v) - (\mathcal{A}\tilde{h})(t, v) | \leq \lambda \int_0^{\min(t,v)} e^{-\lambda s} ||h - \tilde{h}||_\infty \, ds \leq (1 - e^{-\lambda T}) ||h - \tilde{h}||_\infty,$$

yielding

$$|| \mathcal{A}h - \mathcal{A}\tilde{h} ||_\infty \leq (1 - e^{-\lambda T}) ||h - \tilde{h}||_\infty.$$  

Thus $\mathcal{A}$ is a contraction on $\mathcal{B}_T$ whose unique fixed point is the function $P(t, v), [0, T] \times (0, \infty)$, and for every initial function $h_0 \in \mathcal{B}_T$ the sequence defined recursively by $h_{n+1} = \mathcal{A}h_n, n \geq 0$, converges uniformly to the fixed point at a geometric rate.
One can obtain more explicit results in many important special cases by transforming (2.1) into an integro-differential equation as follows. Introducing the Laplace transform

\[ P^* (\theta, v) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} P(t, v) \, dt, \]

it follows after some manipulations that

\[ P^* (\theta, v) = \frac{1}{\lambda + \theta} (1 - e^{-(\lambda + \theta)v}) + \lambda e^{-(\lambda + \theta)v} \left[ \int_0^v \bar{G}(z) e^{(\lambda + \theta)z} \int_z^\infty f(y - z) P^*(\theta, y) \, dy \, dz \right] + \int_0^v G(z) e^{(\lambda + \theta)z} P^*(\theta, z) \, dz \].

Differentiation with respect to \(v\) yields an integro-differential equation for \(P^*(\theta, \cdot)\):

\[ \frac{d}{dv} P^*(\theta, v) = e^{-(\lambda + \theta)v} - (\lambda + \theta) [P^*(\theta, v) - \frac{1}{\lambda + \theta} (1 - e^{-(\lambda + \theta)v})] + \lambda e^{-(\lambda + \theta)v} \left[ \bar{G}(v) e^{(\lambda + \theta)v} \int_v^\infty f(y - v) P^*(\theta, y) \, dy \right] + G(v) e^{(\lambda + \theta)v} P^*(\theta, v) \]

\[ = - (\lambda + \theta) P^*(\theta, v) + 1 + \lambda \bar{G}(v) \int_v^\infty f(y - v) P^*(\theta, y) \, dy \]

\[ + \lambda G(v) P^*(\theta, v). \]  

3 Exponential patience

In this section we exploit the integral equation (2.4) to derive the busy period distribution in the case of exponential patience. We shall consider the following service time distributions:

Hyperexponential (Case a), Erlang (Case b) and finally exponential (Case c). From the analysis of Cases a and b, it is not difficult to figure out how more general combinations of Hyperexponential and Erlang service time distributions can be handled.

If \(G(v) = 1 - e^{-\xi v}, \, v > 0\), then Formula (2.4) reduces to:

\[ \frac{d}{dv} P^*(\theta, v) = -(\lambda e^{-\xi v} + \theta) P^*(\theta, v) + \lambda e^{-\xi v} \int_v^\infty f(y - v) P^*(\theta, y) \, dy, \quad v > 0. \]  

Introduce the double Laplace transform

\[ \pi(\theta, \alpha) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t - \alpha v} P(t, v) \, dt \, dv = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha v} P^*(\theta, v) \, dv. \]
Since $P^*(\theta, 0) = 0$, we get from (3.1):

\[\alpha \pi(\theta, \alpha) = -\theta \pi(\theta, \alpha) - \lambda \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi) + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \lambda \int_{y=0}^{\infty} e^{-(\alpha+\xi)v} \int_{y=v}^{\infty} f(y-v) P^*(\theta, y) dy. \] (3.3)

We try to tackle this integral equation via the following observation. The inversion formula for Laplace transforms (cf. [15]) reads, for some positive $a$:

\[P^*(\theta, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} e^{ys} \pi(\theta, s) ds. \] (3.4)

Substitution into (3.3) gives:

\[(\alpha + \theta)\pi(\theta, \alpha) = -\lambda \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi) + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} \pi(\theta, s) \int_{v=0}^{\infty} e^{-(\alpha+\xi)v} \int_{y=v}^{\infty} f(y-v) e^{ys} dy dv ds \]

\[= -\lambda \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi) + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} \pi(\theta, s) \frac{\phi(-s)}{\alpha + \xi - s} ds, \] (3.5)

where $\phi(\cdot)$ denotes the Laplace transform of the service time density. $\pi(\theta, s)$ and $\phi(-s)$ are both well-defined on $\text{Re} s = 0$.

**Case a: $M/H_N/1 + M$.**

In the case of a hyperexponential service time density,

\[f(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \mu_i e^{-\mu_i y}, \quad p_i > 0 \forall i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i = 1, \]

(3.5) reduces to

\[(\alpha + \theta)\pi(\theta, \alpha) = -\lambda \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi) + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} \frac{\pi(\theta, s)}{\alpha + \xi - s} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_i - s} ds. \] (3.6)

The integrand has $N+1$ poles $s_0 = \alpha + \xi$, $s_i = \mu_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, all in the right half plane. So replace the integral from $a - i\infty$ to $a + i\infty$ by the integral over the closed contour consisting of a line through $a$, parallel to the imaginary axis, and the semi-circle in the right half plane with origin at $a$ and radius $R$, and let $R \to \infty$. Use Cauchy’s theorem to conclude that, following the contour in counter-clockwise direction, the integral equals minus the sum of the residues. The contribution of the integral along the semi-circle disappears for $R \to \infty$. 6
Hence (3.6) reduces to:

$$
(\alpha + \theta)\pi(\theta, \alpha) = -\lambda \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi) + \frac{1}{\alpha} - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \frac{\mu_i}{\alpha + \xi - \mu_i} \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \frac{\mu_i}{\alpha + \xi - \mu_i} \pi(\theta, \mu_i),
$$

(3.7)

or

$$
\pi(\theta, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha + \theta)} - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + \theta} (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \frac{\mu_i}{\alpha + \xi - \mu_i}) \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + \theta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \frac{\mu_i}{\alpha + \xi - \mu_i} \pi(\theta, \mu_i).
$$

(3.8)

This equation has the form

$$
\pi(\theta, \alpha) = A_1(\theta, \alpha) + A_2(\theta, \alpha) \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi),
$$

(3.9)

where

$$
A_1(\theta, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha + \theta)} + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + \theta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \frac{\mu_i}{\alpha + \xi - \mu_i} \pi(\theta, \mu_i),
$$

$$
A_2(\theta, \alpha) = -\frac{\lambda}{\alpha + \theta} (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \frac{\mu_i}{\alpha + \xi - \mu_i}).
$$

Note that for any fixed \( \theta > 0 \)

$$
A_1(\theta, \alpha) = O(1/\alpha^2) \quad \text{and} \quad A_2(\theta, \alpha) = O(1/\alpha) \quad \text{as} \quad \alpha \to \infty.
$$

(3.10)

Upon iteration of (3.9) (replacing \( \alpha \) by \( \alpha + \xi \) in the left-hand side, etc.) we obtain

$$
\pi(\theta, \alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_1(\theta, \alpha + j\xi) \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} A_2(\theta, \alpha + i\xi).
$$

(3.11)

Note that the \( j \)th term in the series in (3.11) is bounded by \((C_1/(1+j)^2) \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (C_2/(1+i)) \leq C_1 C_2^j / (j + 1)!\) for certain constants \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) depending on \( \alpha \) and \( \theta \). Therefore the convergence of this series of products is ensured. The expression contains \( N \) unknowns \( \pi(\theta, \mu_i) \).

They can be found by substituting \( \alpha = \mu_i \) into (3.11) for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), yielding \( N \) linear equations for these \( N \) unknowns.
Remark 3.1.

Recall the meaning of the double Laplace transform $\pi(\theta, \alpha)$:

$$
\pi(\theta, \alpha) = \int_{v=0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha v} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\theta t} P(B > t | V(0) = v) \, dt \, dv. \tag{3.12}
$$

Thus a weighted sum of the $\pi(\theta, \mu_i)$ yields the LST of the distribution of the length of a busy period initiated by a customer arriving in an empty system:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \mu_i \pi(\theta, \mu_i) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\theta t} P(B > t) \, dt = \frac{1 - E[e^{-\theta B}]}{\theta}. \tag{3.13}
$$

Case b: $M/E_k/1 + M$.

The case of an Erlang service time density may be treated in a similar way as the Hyperexponential case, starting from (3.5). But since the present paper is methodologically oriented, aiming to explain various methods to handle the busy period problem, we prefer to show an alternative method – which we could also have applied in Case a. Substituting the Erlang-$k$ density in (3.3) and interchanging integrals, it follows that

$$
\pi(\theta, \alpha) = -\frac{\lambda}{\alpha + \theta} \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi) + \frac{1}{\alpha (\alpha + \theta)}
\begin{aligned}
&+ \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + \theta} \int_{v=0}^{\infty} e^{-(\alpha + \xi) v} \int_{y=v}^{\infty} \mu^k (y-v)^{k-1} \frac{k!}{(k-1)!} e^{-\mu(y-v)} P^*(\theta, y) \, dy \, dv \\
&= -\frac{\lambda}{\alpha + \theta} \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi) + \frac{1}{\alpha (\alpha + \theta)}
\end{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
&+ \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + \theta} \int_{v=0}^{\infty} e^{-(\alpha + \xi) v} \int_{y=v}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha z} \frac{k!}{(k-1)!} (\pi(\theta, z) - \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi)) \, dy \, dv \\
&= -\frac{\lambda}{\alpha + \theta} \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi) + \frac{1}{\alpha (\alpha + \theta)}
\end{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
&+ \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + \theta} \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi) + \frac{\mu^k}{\alpha + \theta (\mu - \xi - \alpha)} \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi)
\end{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
&+ \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + \theta} \mu^k (\xi - \alpha) \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + \theta} \mu^k (\xi - \alpha) \pi(\theta, z) \bigg|_{z=\mu}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}
$$
Notice that the structure of the resulting relation is the same as that of (3.11), except that the $\pi(\theta, \mu_i)$ terms are replaced by terms $\frac{d^i}{dz^i}\pi(\theta, z)|_{z=\mu}$. To determine $\pi(\theta, \mu)$ and those $k-1$ derivatives, one first has to iterate similarly as in (3.9), and then differentiate the resulting expression $(k-1)$ times, finally substituting $\alpha = \mu$.

**Case c: $M/M/1 + M$.**

The $M/M/1$ queue with exponential patience forms a special case of both Cases a and b. We obtain, from either (3.8) or (3.14), or directly from (3.3):

$$\pi(\theta, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha + \theta)} - \lambda \frac{\alpha + \xi}{\alpha + \theta \alpha + \xi - \mu} \pi(\theta, \alpha + \xi) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + \theta \alpha + \xi - \mu} \pi(\theta, \mu). \quad (3.15)$$

Iteration results in (3.11) with simplified iteration functions $A_1(\theta, \alpha)$ and $A_2(\theta, \alpha)$. $\pi(\theta, \mu)$ is subsequently obtained by substituting $\alpha = \mu$ in the resulting infinite sum of products. Finally, the LST of the distribution of the busy period length is obtained from $\pi(\theta, \mu)$ as discussed in Remark 3.1.

**Remark 3.2**

If we do not wish to determine $\pi(\theta, \alpha)$ but are satisfied with the busy period LST, then the following approach works well in the $M/M/1 + M$ case; see also [7]. We consider a modification of our model in which every customer does not decide about entering or leaving the system immediately upon arrival but stays in line for an $\exp(\xi)$-distributed patience time or until his service begins. This modification does not affect the busy period distribution. Let $\tau_n$ denote the time it takes to go from $n$ customers present to $n - 1$ customers present; so $\tau_1$ denotes the length of a busy period. Condition on the time until the first event: either a service completion, or a customer becoming impatient, or an arrival; in the latter case, the number of customers becomes $n + 1$ and it takes $\tau_{n+1}' + \tau_n''$ to go back to $n - 1$ customers present, where $\tau_{n+1}'$ and $\tau_n''$ have the same distribution as $\tau_{n+1}$ and $\tau_n$, respectively, and are
independent. Hence, with \( \Gamma_n(\theta) \) denoting the LST of \( \tau_n \),

\[
\Gamma_n(\theta) = \frac{\mu + (n - 1)\xi + \lambda}{\mu + (n - 1)\xi + \lambda + \theta} \left[ \frac{\mu + (n - 1)\xi + \lambda}{\mu + (n - 1)\xi + \lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{\mu + (n - 1)\xi + \lambda} \Gamma_{n+1}(\theta) \right]. \tag{3.16}
\]

Hence

\[
\Gamma_n(\theta) = \frac{\mu + (n - 1)\xi}{\mu + (n - 1)\xi + \theta + \lambda(1 - \Gamma_{n+1}(\theta))}. \tag{3.17}
\]

The busy period \( \Gamma_1(\theta) \) is thus represented in the form of continued fractions. It is worth observing that \( \Gamma_n(\theta) \) converges to 1 as \( n \to \infty \), as is to be expected because the rate going down behaves like \( (n - 1)\xi \) for large \( n \): for real \( \theta \),

\[
1 - \Gamma_n(\theta) = \frac{\theta + \lambda(1 - \Gamma_{n+1}(\theta))}{\mu + (n - 1)\xi + \theta + \lambda(1 - \Gamma_{n+1}(\theta))} \leq \frac{\theta + \lambda}{\mu + (n - 1)\xi + \theta}. \tag{3.18}
\]

So one might approximate \( \Gamma_1(\theta) \) by iterating (3.17) a finite number of times; cf. also Proposition 4.1 of [7].

**Remark 3.3**

It easily follows from either (3.16) or (3.17) that

\[
E\tau_n = \frac{1}{\mu + (n - 1)\xi} + \frac{\lambda}{\mu + (n - 1)\xi} E\tau_{n+1}, \tag{3.19}
\]

leading to the following expression for the mean busy period:

\[
EB = E\tau_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{\mu(\mu + \xi) \cdots (\mu + k\xi)}. \tag{3.20}
\]

On the other hand, it follows from (3.11) that

\[
\pi(0, \mu) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\mu + j\xi)^2} \frac{\lambda \mu}{(j + 1)\xi} \frac{\pi(0, \mu)}{\mu + j\xi} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} A_2(0, \mu + i\xi). \tag{3.21}
\]

Hence

\[
EB = \mu \pi(0, \mu) = \frac{\mu \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\mu + j\xi)} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} A_2(0, \mu + i\xi)}{1 - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \mu}{(j + 1)\xi} \frac{1}{\mu + j\xi} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} A_2(0, \mu + i\xi)}. \tag{3.22}
\]

Here \( A_2(0, z) = -\frac{\lambda}{z} \frac{z + \xi}{z + \xi - \mu} \) and hence

\[
\prod_{i=0}^{j-1} A_2(0, \mu + i\xi) = \frac{(-\lambda/\xi)^j}{j!} \frac{\mu + j\xi}{\mu}. \tag{3.22}
\]
Substitution into (3.22) gives a second explicit series representation of $EB$:

$$EB = e^{\lambda/\xi} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda/\xi)^j}{j! \mu + j\xi}. \quad (3.23)$$

The expressions in (3.20) and (3.23) agree. It can be shown by complete induction that

$$\frac{\lambda^k}{\mu(\mu + \xi) \ldots (\mu + k\xi)} = \frac{(\lambda/\xi)^k}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} (-1)^j \frac{1}{\mu + j\xi}.$$

Summing from $k = 0$ to $\infty$ and interchanging the sums in the righthand side indeed confirms the equivalence of (3.20) and (3.23).

Remark 3.4

Our analysis of the busy period in the $M/G/1 + M$ queue differs in several respects from the analysis of Subba Rao [14]. Subba Rao considers the $M/G/1 + M$ queue with the additional feature of balking with a constant probability. If, upon arrival, a customer finds $n$ customers present, with $n \geq 1$, then it balks (i.e., leaves immediately) with a fixed probability $1 - \beta$. If it finds an empty system, it always joins the system. $\beta = 1$ obviously removes the balking feature from the model.

Using supplementary variable techniques and complex function theory, Subba Rao [14] derives an expression for the double transform of the joint distribution of number of customers served during a busy period and the length of that period, given that it starts with $i+1$ customers in the system. This expression is in the form of a quotient of double sums. Our analysis does not consider the number of customers served, and starts from a given amount of work at the beginning of the busy period (instead of a given number of customers). In [13], Subba Rao considers the combined effects of balking and customer impatience (also called reneging) for the case that the balking probability is $b_n$ if the arriving customer meets $n$ customers.

Remark 3.5

For the $M/G/1 + D$ system with deterministic impatience times the above techniques can
also be used for special choices of the service time distribution. However, in the next three sections we consider another approach which seems better suited to deal with deterministic patience and can even handle models with several patience levels.

4 A discrete patience distribution

In this section and the next two sections we assume that the patience random variables \( U_1, U_2, \ldots \) have a discrete distribution concentrated on the set \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_K\} \) with probabilities \( p_i = P\{U = v_i\}, i = 1, \ldots, K, \sum_{i=1}^{K} p_i = 1 \), where \( v_{i-1} < v_i, i = 1, \ldots, K, v_0 \equiv 0, \) and \( v_K < \infty \).

Let \( P(u) \) be the corresponding c.d.f., i.e.,

\[
P(u) = \sum_{v_i \leq u} p_i.
\]

Consider the corresponding partition of the positive orthant \( \{\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{K+1}\} \), where

\[
\mathcal{V}_i = \{(t, v) : 0 \leq t < \infty, v_{i-1} \leq v < v_i\}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, K,
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{V}_{K+1} = \{(t, v) : 0 \leq t < \infty, v_K \leq v < \infty\},
\]

where \( v_0 \equiv 0 \). Accordingly, if \( V(t) \in \mathcal{V}_1 \) all customers join the queue. If \( V(t) \in \mathcal{V}_2 \) the probability that a customer will join the queue is \( Q_1 = 1 - p_1 = 1 - P(v_1) \). Generally, if \( V(t) \in \mathcal{V}_i \ (i = 1, \ldots, K + 1) \) the probability that a customer will join the queue is \( Q_{i-1} = 1 - P(v_{i-1}) \). Notice that \( Q_0 = 1, Q_i < Q_{i-1} \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, K \) and \( Q_K = 0 \).

We observe that an arbitrary patience time distribution may be approximated by the above discrete distribution, by choosing \( K \) and the probabilities \( p_i \) such that the first moments of the patience time distribution match.

Customers arrive at the queue according to an ordinary Poisson process (OPP) with intensity \( \lambda \). Due to the strong Markov property, customers join the queue according to an OPP with intensity \( \lambda_i = \lambda Q_{i-1} \ (i = 1, \ldots, K + 1) \) during periods in which the \( \{V(t)\} \) process is in region \( \mathcal{V}_i \). Let \( \{V^{(i)}(t)\} \) designate the \( V(t) \) process in the region \( \mathcal{V}_i \ (i = 1, \ldots, K) \).
The busy period, with length $B$, starts with $V^{(i)}(0) = X_1$ and terminates as soon as $V^{(1)}(t) = 0$. If $V^{(1)}(t)$ crosses from $V_1$ to $V_2$ before hitting the value zero then a new process, $V^{(2)}(t)$ say, starts. This process will either return to $V_1$ before hitting the upper boundary of $V_2$, or will enter $V_3$ first, and so on. Our aim is to derive the Laplace-Stieltjes transform (LST) of $B$. In the next section we start with a recursive construction of this LST for an $M/M/1$ queue. We then generalize the results.

5 The busy period LST for an $M/M/1$ queue with discrete patience

5.1 Auxiliary results

The $M/M/1$ queue is based on compound Poisson process $Y(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N(t)} X_n$, where $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is an OPP with intensity $\lambda$, $0 < \lambda < \infty$, and $X_0 \equiv 0$, $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ are i.i.d. random variables having an exponential distribution, $\exp(\mu)$, where $E(X_1) = 1/\mu$. For constants $0 < \beta_1, \beta_2 < \infty$, we define the stopping variables

$$ T_L(\beta_1) = \inf\{t > 0 : Y(t) = -\beta_1 + t\}, \quad (5.1) $$

and

$$ T_U(\beta_2) = \inf\{t > 0 : Y(t) \geq \beta_2 + t\}. \quad (5.2) $$

In addition, let

$$ T(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \min\{T_L(\beta_1), T_U(\beta_2)\}, \quad (5.3) $$

and observe that

$$ P\{T(\beta_1, \beta_2) < \infty\} \geq P\{T_L(\beta_1) < \infty\} = 1. \quad (5.4) $$

We need formulae for the transforms

$$ \psi_L^*(\omega \mid \beta_1, \beta_2) = E\{e^{-\omega T_L(\beta_1)}1_{\{T_L(\beta_1) < T_U(\beta_2)\}}\} \quad (5.5) $$

and

$$ \psi_U^*(\omega \mid \beta_1, \beta_2) = E\{e^{-\omega T_U(\beta_2)}1_{\{T_L(\beta_1) > T_U(\beta_2)\}}\}. \quad (5.6) $$
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In the $M/M/1$ case, the Wald martingale [10] yields the identity

$$E\{e^{-\theta Y(T(\beta_1,\beta_2)) + \lambda T(\beta_1,\beta_2)\theta/(\mu + \theta)}\} = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.7)

for all $\theta > -\mu$. From this fundamental identity we get the formulae

$$\psi_L^*(\omega \mid \beta_1, \beta_2) = \frac{(\mu + \theta_2(\omega))e^{-\beta_2\theta_1(\omega)} - (\mu + \theta_1(\omega))e^{-\beta_2\theta_1(\omega)}}{D(\omega \mid \beta_1, \beta_2)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.8)

and

$$\psi_U^*(\omega \mid \beta_1, \beta_2) = \frac{e^{\beta_1\theta_2(\omega)} - e^{\beta_1\theta_1(\omega)}}{D(\omega \mid \beta_1, \beta_2)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.9)

where

$$\theta_{1,2}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \mu + \omega) \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(\lambda - \mu + \omega)^2 + 4\omega\mu},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.10)

and

$$D(\omega \mid \beta_1, \beta_2) = (\mu + \theta_2(\omega))e^{-\beta_2\theta_1(\omega) + \beta_1\theta_2(\omega)} - (\mu + \theta_1(\omega))e^{-\beta_2\theta_2(\omega) + \beta_1\theta_1(\omega)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.11)

### 5.2 The busy period LST when $K = 1$

The case $K = 1$ corresponds to an $M/M/1$ queue with deterministic ($v_1$) patience; see also [6] for a treatment of this case. In this case, $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ and $\lambda_2 = 0$. Let $\delta_1 = v_1$ and consider the two cases, Case 1: $X_1 < v_1$ and Case 2: $X_1 \geq v_1$.

**Case 1: $X_1 < v_1$.** Since $V^{(1)}(0) = X_1 < v_1$, set $\beta_1 = X_1$ and $\beta_2 = \delta_1 - X_1$. Substituting these in (5.8) and (5.11) we obtain after some algebraic manipulations

$$\psi_L^*(\omega \mid X, \delta_1 - X) = \zeta_1(\omega)e^{-X\theta_2(\omega)} - \zeta_2(\omega)e^{-X\theta_1(\omega)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.12)

where

$$\zeta_1(\omega) = \frac{(\mu + \theta_2(\omega))e^{-\delta_1\theta_1(\omega)}}{(\mu + \theta_2(\omega))e^{-\delta_1\theta_1(\omega)} - (\mu + \theta_1(\omega))e^{-\delta_1\theta_2(\omega)}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.13)

and

$$\zeta_2(\omega) = \frac{(\mu + \theta_1(\omega))e^{-\delta_1\theta_2(\omega)}}{(\mu + \theta_2(\omega))e^{-\delta_1\theta_1(\omega)} - (\mu + \theta_1(\omega))e^{-\delta_1\theta_2(\omega)}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.14)

Similarly,

$$\psi_U^*(\omega \mid X, \delta_1 - X) = \frac{e^{-X\theta_1(\omega)} - e^{-X\theta_2(\omega)}}{(\mu + \theta_2(\omega))e^{-\delta_1\theta_1(\omega)} - (\mu + \theta_1(\omega))e^{-\delta_1\theta_2(\omega)}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.15)
Whenever \( V(t) \) jumps over the boundary \( v_1 \), the overshoot \( R \) is independent of \( T_U(\beta_2) \) and exponentially distributed. The sojourn time of \( V(t) \) in \( \mathcal{V}_2 \) is exactly \( R \). The LST of \( R \) is \( \frac{\mu}{\mu + \omega} \). The time interval \((0, T_U(\beta_2) + R)\) is called an initial phase. At the end of the initial phase the process \( V^{(1)}(t) \) may jump again above \( v_1 \), or may go down to zero. The times between consecutive returns to \( \mathcal{V}_1 \) are called renewal cycles. If after an initial or a renewal cycle \( V^{(1)}(t) \) hits zero, then the time interval is called terminal phase. The lengths of renewal cycles are i.i.d. random variables. Thus, the conditional LST of \( B \) given \( X_1 \) is, in Case 1,

\[
M^{(1)}(\omega \mid X_1, \delta_1) = \psi^*_L(\omega \mid X_1, \delta_1 - X_1) + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \omega}\psi_U^*(\omega \mid X_1, \delta_1 - X_1) \psi^*_L(\omega \mid \delta_1, 0) / \left[1 - \frac{\mu}{\mu + \omega}\psi_U^*(\omega \mid \delta_1, 0)\right].
\] (5.16)

**Case 2:** \( X_1 \geq v_1 \). Let \( R_1 = X_1 - v_1 \). The initial phase consists only of \( R_1 \). \( R_1 \) is independent of the following cycles. Thus, the LST when \( X_1 \geq v_1 \) is

\[
\tilde{M}^{(1)}(\omega \mid \delta_1) = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \omega} \cdot \frac{\psi^*_L(\omega \mid \delta_1, 0)}{1 - \frac{\mu}{\mu + \omega}\psi_U^*(\omega \mid \delta_1, 0)}.
\] (5.17)

Finally, the LST of \( B \), when \( K = 1 \), is

\[
M^{(1)}(\omega \mid \delta_1) = \mu \int_0^{\delta_1} e^{-\mu x} M^{(1)}(\omega \mid x, \delta_1 - x)dx + e^{-\mu v_1}\tilde{M}^{(1)}(\omega \mid \delta_1).
\] (5.18)

Notice that

\[
\mu \int_0^{\delta_1} e^{-\mu x}\psi^*_L(\omega \mid x, \delta_1 - x)dx = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \theta_2(\omega)} \zeta_1(\omega)(1 - e^{-\delta_1(\mu + \theta_2(\omega)))}) - \mu \frac{\mu}{\mu + \theta_1(\omega)} \zeta_2(\omega)(1 - e^{-\delta_1(\mu + \theta_1(\omega)))}).
\] (5.19)

Also,

\[
\mu \int_0^{\delta_1} e^{-\mu x}\psi_U^*(\omega \mid x, \delta_1 - x)dx = \frac{1}{(\mu + \theta_2(\omega))e^{-\delta_1(\theta_1(\omega))} - (\mu + \theta_1(\omega))e^{-\delta_1(\theta_2(\omega))}} \cdot \left[\frac{\mu}{\mu + \theta_1(\omega)}(1 - e^{-\delta_1(\mu + \theta_1(\omega)))}) - \mu \frac{\mu}{\mu + \theta_2(\omega)}(1 - e^{-\delta_1(\mu + \theta_2(\omega)))})\right].
\] (5.20)

### 5.3 The busy period LST when \( K = 2 \)

If \( K = 2 \) there are \( K + 1 = 3 \) regions, \( \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \) and \( \mathcal{V}_3 \). The instant \( V(t) \) enters \((\mathcal{V}_2 \cup \mathcal{V}_3)\) the process changes the intensity of arrival to \( \lambda_2 = \lambda Q_1 \) or \( \lambda_3 = 0 \). The time until the first return
to $\mathcal{V}_1$ will be called $B^{(2)}$. The LST of $B^{(2)}$ is obtained from eq. (5.18) of $M^{(1)}(\omega \mid \delta_1)$ by replacing $\delta_1$ by $\delta_2 = v_2 - v_1$, $\lambda$ by $\lambda_2$ and $v_1 = \delta_1$ by $\delta_2$. We denote this LST by $M^{(1)}_2(\omega \mid \delta_2)$.

Let $X_1$ denote the service requirement of the first customer. Consider first the case where $0 < X_1 < v_1$. In this case either $V(t)$ hits zero before crossing $v_1$ or crosses $v_1$ first. If $V(t)$ crosses $v_1$ before hitting zero, the “initial phase” is the time interval from zero till the first entry back to $\mathcal{V}_1$. Renewal cycles are between consecutive re-entrances to $\mathcal{V}_1$. Thus, the conditional LST, given $X_1$, for $X_1 < v_1$, is

$$M^{(2)}(\omega \mid X_1, \delta_1) = \psi^*_L(\omega \mid X_1, \delta_1 - X_1) + \psi^*_U(\omega \mid X_1, \delta_1 - X_1)M^{(1)}_2(\omega \mid \delta_2) \cdot \psi^*_L(\omega \mid \delta_1, 0)[1 - \psi^*_U(0 \mid \delta_1, 0)M^{(1)}_2(\omega \mid \delta_2)]^{-1}. \quad (5.21)$$

On the other hand, the LST given that $\{X_1 \geq v_1\}$ is

$$\tilde{M}^{(2)}(\omega \mid \delta_1) = M^{(1)}_2(\omega \mid \delta_2)\psi^*_U(\omega, \delta_1, 0)\cdot[1 - \psi^*_U(\omega \mid \delta_1, 0)M^{(1)}_2(\omega \mid \delta_2)]^{-1}. \quad (5.22)$$

Finally, the LST of $B$ for $K = 2$ is

$$M^{(2)}(\omega \mid \delta_1) = \mu \int_0^{\delta_1} e^{-\mu x} M^{(2)}(\omega \mid x, \delta_1)dx + e^{-\mu \delta_1} \tilde{M}^{(2)}(\omega \mid \delta_1). \quad (5.23)$$

### 5.4 The busy period LST for general $K$

We start by computing the LST for the $K$-th region $\mathcal{V}_K$, according to formulae (5.16)-(5.20), in which we substitute $\lambda_K = \lambda Q_{K-1}$, $\delta_K = v_K - v_{K-1}$. We denote this LST as $M^{(1)}_K(\omega \mid \delta_K, \lambda_K)$. We then proceed to determine the LST for $\mathcal{V}_{K-1}$, namely $M^{(2)}_{K-1}(\omega \mid \delta_{K-1}, \lambda_{K-1})$, according to (5.21)-(5.23). Recursively, for $j = 2, \ldots, K$ we compute, for $X < \delta_{K+1-j}$,

$$M^{(j)}_{K+1-j}(\omega \mid X, \delta_{K+1-j}, \lambda_{K+1-j}) = \psi^*_L(\omega \mid X, \delta_{K+1-j} - X, \lambda_{K+1-j})$$

$$+ \psi^*_U(\omega \mid X, \delta_{K+1-j} - X, \lambda_{K+1-j})M^{(j-1)}_{K+2-j}(\omega \mid \delta_{K+2-j}, \lambda_{K+2-j}) \cdot \psi^*_L(\omega \mid \delta_{K+1-j}, 0, \lambda_{K+1-j})[1 - \psi^*_U(\omega \mid \delta_{K+1-j}, 0, \lambda_{K+1-j}) \cdot M^{(j-1)}_{K+2-j}(\omega \mid \delta_{K+2-j}, \lambda_{K+2-j})]^{-1}. \quad (5.24)$$
and for \( X \geq \delta_{K+1-j} \) we determine

\[
\tilde{M}^{(j)}(\omega \mid \delta_{K+1-j}, \lambda_{K+1-j}) = M^{(j-1)}_{K+2-j}(\omega \mid \delta_{K+2-j}, \lambda_{K+2-j}) \cdot 
\psi^*_L(\omega \mid \delta_{K+1-j}, 0, \lambda_{K+1-j})[1 - \psi^*_U(\omega \mid \delta_{K+1-j}, 0, \lambda_{K+1-j})]^{-1},
\]

\begin{equation}
(5.25)
\end{equation}

and

\[
M^{(j)}_{K+1-j}(\omega \mid \delta_{K+1-j}, \lambda_{K+1-j}) = \mu \int_0^{\delta_{K+1-j}} e^{-\mu x} M^{(j)}_{K+1-j}(\omega \mid x, \delta_{K+1-j} - x, \lambda_{K+1-j}) \, dx
\]

\begin{equation}
(5.26)
\end{equation}

\[
+ e^{-\mu \delta_{K+1-j}} \tilde{M}^{(j)}(\omega \mid \delta_{K+1-j}, \lambda_{K+1-j}).
\]

In the present section we added the variables \( \lambda_{K+1-j}, j = 1, \ldots, K \) into the LST functional form, to emphasize the dependence on the different intensities \( \lambda_l, l = 1, \ldots, K \).

6 The busy period LST for an \( M/G/1 \) queue with discrete patience

In this section we no longer assume that service times are exponentially distributed. In determining the busy period LST, we follow the same approach as in the previous section. In Subsection 6.1 we again consider the stopping variables introduced in (5.1) and (5.2).

We now also need an expression for the joint distribution of \( T_U(\beta_2) \) and the overshoot \( Y_2 - (\beta_2 + T_U(\beta_2)) \), which is no longer exponentially distributed. We subsequently consider \( K = 1 \) (deterministic patience), \( K = 2 \) and general \( K \), in Subsections 6.2, 6.3 and 6.4.

6.1 Auxiliary results

The arrival of customers at the queue follows a homogeneous Poisson process \( \{N(t), t \geq 0\} \) with intensity \( \lambda \). The required service times of customers are i.i.d. r.v.’s \( X_1, X_2, \ldots \) having a distribution \( F \), with density \( f \). Let \( Y_t = \sum_{n=0}^{N(t)} X_n \), where \( X_0 \equiv 0 \). The density of \( Y_t \) on \((0, \infty)\) is \( h_\lambda(y; t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N(t)} p(n; \lambda t) f^{(n)}(y) \), where \( p(n; \lambda t) \) is the p.d.f. of Poisson \( (\lambda t) \) and \( f^{(n)}(y) \) is the \( n \)-th fold convolution of \( f \) at \( y \), i.e. \( f^{(n)}(y) = \int_0^y f^{(n-1)}(y - x) f(x) \, dx, n \geq 1 \), where
The function \( f^{(0)}(y) \equiv 1 \). Let \( H_\lambda(y; t) \) denote the c.d.f. of \( Y_t \), i.e. \( H_\lambda(y; t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n; \lambda t) F^{(n)}(y) \). Notice that \( H_\lambda(y; t) \) has an atom at \( y = 0 \), \( H_\lambda(0; t) = e^{-\lambda t} \), and \( H_\lambda(y; t) \) is absolutely continuous on \((0, \infty)\). For non-negative constants \( 0 \leq \beta_1, \beta_2 < \infty \) define the stopping variables

\[
T_L(\beta_1) = \inf\{ t \geq 0 : Y_t = -\beta_1 + t \},
\]

(6.1)

and

\[
T_U(\beta_2) = \inf\{ t \geq 0 : Y_t \geq \beta_2 + t \}.
\]

(6.2)

Moreover, let \( T(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \min\{T_L(\beta_1), T_U(\beta_2)\} \). We need explicit equations for the LST's

\[
\psi_L^*(\omega; \beta_1, \beta_2, \lambda) = E_\lambda\{e^{-\omega T_L(\beta_1)} I\{T_L(\beta_1) < T_U(\beta_2)\}\},
\]

(6.3)

and

\[
\psi_U^*(\omega; \beta_1, \beta_2, \lambda) = E_\lambda\{e^{-\omega T_U(\beta_2)} I\{T_L(\beta_1) > T_U(\beta_2)\}\}.
\]

(6.4)

Moreover, we need a formula for the joint distribution of \( T_U(\beta_2) \) and the overshoot \( R = Y_{T_U(\beta_2)} - (\beta_2 + T_U(\beta_2)) \). These equations are given below.

Let \( g_{\beta_2}(y; t, \lambda) = \frac{d}{dy} P_\lambda\{Y_t \leq y, T_U(\beta_2) > t\} \) and \( g_\lambda(y; t, \beta_1, \beta_2) = \frac{d}{dy} P_\lambda\{Y_t \leq y, T(\beta_1, \beta_2) > t\} \). As proven by Stadje and Zacks (2003),

\[
g_0(y; t, \lambda) = \frac{(t-y)^+}{t} h_\lambda(y; t), \quad t > 0.
\]

(6.5)

Moreover, for \( \beta_2 > 0 \), and \( 0 < y < t + \beta_2 \),

\[
g_{\beta_2}(y; t, \lambda) = h_\lambda(y; t) - 1_{(\beta_2, \beta_2+t)}(y) \left[ e^{-\lambda(t+\beta_2-y)} \cdot h_\lambda(y; y - \beta_2) \right.
\]

\[+ \int_{\beta_2}^{y} h_\lambda(u; t+\beta_2-u, \lambda)du \right].
\]

(6.6)

The function \( g_\lambda(y; t, \beta_1, \beta_2) \) can be written in terms of \( g_\beta(y; t, \lambda) \). Let \( \delta = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \) then, for \((t-\beta_1)^+ < y < t + \beta_2 \),

\[
g_\lambda(y; t, \beta_1, \beta_2) = g_{\beta_2}(y; t, \lambda) - 1_{(\beta_1, \infty)}(t) \left[ e^{-\lambda \beta_1} g_\delta(y; t - \beta_1, \lambda) \right.
\]

\[+ \beta_1 \int_{\beta_1}^{y} \frac{1}{s} g_{\beta_2}(s - \beta_1; s, \lambda) g_\delta(y - s + \beta_1; t - s, \lambda)ds \right].
\]

(6.7)
The joint density of \((T_U(\beta_2), R)\) is then
\[
p_{\lambda}(t, r; \beta_1, \beta_2) = I\{t \leq \beta_1\} \left[\lambda e^{-\lambda t} f(t + \beta_2 + r) + \lambda \int_0^{t+\beta_2} g_{\beta_2}(y; t, \lambda) f(t + \beta_2 + r - y)dy\right] + I\{t > \beta_1\} \lambda \int_{t-\beta_1}^{t+\beta_2} g_{\lambda}(y; t, \beta_1, \beta_2) f(t + \beta_2 + r - y)dy.
\] (6.8)

In addition, as in Borovkov and Burq (2001),
\[
\psi^*_L(\omega; \beta_1, \beta_2, \lambda) = e^{-\omega t} \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega \tau} \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega \beta_2(\tau - \beta_1; t, \lambda)} dt dt.
\] (6.9)

Similarly,
\[
\psi^*_U(\omega; \beta_1, \beta_2, \lambda) = \lambda \int_0^{\beta_1} e^{-(\lambda+\omega)t} \bar{F}(t + \beta_2)dt + \lambda \int_0^{\beta_1} e^{-\omega t} \int_0^{t+\beta_2} g_{\beta_2}(y; t, \lambda) \bar{F}(t + \beta_2 - y)dydt + \lambda \int_{\beta_1}^{\infty} e^{-\omega t} \int_{t-\beta_1}^{t+\beta_2} g_{\lambda}(y; t, \beta_1, \beta_2) \bar{F}(t + \beta_2 - y)dydt.
\] (6.10)

6.2 The busy period LST when \(K = 1\)

The case \(K = 1\) corresponds to an \(M/G/1\) queue with deterministic \((v_1)\) patience; see also Model II of [8] for this case.

\[
T^{(1)}_U = T_U(v_1 - X_1),
\]
\[
T^{(2)}_U = T^{(1)}_U + R^{(1)} + T_U(0).
\]

In case \(T^{(1)}_U < T^{(0)}_L(X_1)\), and \(0 < X_1 < v_1\) we have an initial phase \(C_I\) consisting of \(T^{(1)}_U\) and \(R_1\). The point \(T^{(1)}_U + R_1\) is a regeneration point, where a new phase starts. We denote it as \(C_R\). If \(T^{(2)}_L(v_1) < T^{(2)}_U(0)\) the busy period ends; otherwise the phase \(C_R\) consists of \(T^{(2)}_U(0) + R_2\), etc.

We define, for \(0 < X < v_1\),
\[
\psi^*_{UL}(\omega; X, v_1 - X, \lambda_1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega r} \lambda_1(t, r; X, v_1 - X)drdt.
\] (6.11)
Similarly, let
\[ \psi^*_{UR}(\omega; v_1, \lambda_1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega r} p_{\lambda_1}(t; v_1, 0) dr dt. \] (6.12)

The conditional LST of \( B \), when \( 0 < X_1 < v_1 \), is then
\[ M_I^{(1)}(\omega; X_1, v_1 - X_1, \lambda_1) = \psi^*_{L}(\omega; X_1, v_1 - X_1, \lambda_1) \]
\[ \quad + \psi^*_{UR}(\omega; X_1, v_1 - X_1, \lambda_1) \frac{\psi^*_{L}(\omega; v_1, 0, \lambda_1)}{\psi^*_{UR}(\omega; v_1, \lambda_1)}. \] (6.13)

In case \( v_1 \leq X_1 < \infty \), the conditional LST of \( B \) is
\[ M_I^{(1)}(\omega; X_1, \lambda_1) = e^{-\omega(X_1-v_1)} \frac{\psi^*_{L}(\omega; v_1, 0, \lambda_1)}{\psi^*_{UR}(\omega; v_1, \lambda_1)}. \] (6.14)

Finally, the LST of \( B \) is
\[ M^{(1)}(\omega; v_1, \lambda_1) = \int_0^{v_1} f(x) \psi^*_{L}(\omega; x, v_1 - x, \lambda_1) dx \]
\[ \quad + \psi^*_{L}(\omega; v_1, 0, \lambda_1) \left( \int_0^{v_1} f(x) \psi^*_{UI}(\omega; x, v_1 - x, \lambda_1) dx \right) \]
\[ \quad + e^{\omega v_1} \int_{v_1}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-\omega x} dx. \] (6.15)

### 6.3 The busy period LST when \( K = 2 \)

For \( 0 < X_1 < v_1 \), the conditional LST is, with \( \delta_2 = v_2 - v_1 \):
\[ M_I^{(2)}(\omega; X_1, v_1 - X_1, \lambda_1) = \psi^*_{L}(\omega; X_1, v_1 - X_1, \lambda_1) \]
\[ \quad + \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} \int_0^{\delta_2} M_I^{(1)}(\omega; r, \delta_2 - r, \lambda_2) p_{\lambda_1}(t; r, X_1, v_1 - X_1) dr dt \]
\[ \quad + \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} \int_{\delta_2}^{\infty} M_I^{(1)}(\omega; r, \lambda_2) p_{\lambda_1}(t; r, X_1, v_1 - X_1) dr dt \] \cdot \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} \int_0^{\delta_2} M_I^{(1)}(\omega; r, \delta_2 - r, \lambda_2) p_{\lambda_1}(t; r, v_1, 0) dr dt \right] \cdot \psi^*_{L}(\omega; v_1, 0, \lambda_1). \] (6.16)
For $X_1 \geq v_1$, the conditional LST is

$$M^{(2)}_{II}(\omega; X_1, \lambda_1) = [I(v_1 < X_1 < v_2)M^{(1)}_I(\omega; X_1 - v_1, v_2 - X_1, \lambda_2) + I(X_1 \geq v_2)M^{(1)}_I(\omega; X_1 - v_2, \lambda_2)] \cdot 
\left[ \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\omega t} \int_{0}^{\delta_2} M^{(1)}_I(\omega; r, \delta_2 - r, \lambda_2)p_{\lambda_1}(t, r; v_1, 0) dr dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\omega t} \int_{\delta_2}^{\infty} M^{(1)}_I(\omega, r, \lambda_2)p_{\lambda_1}(t, r; v_1, 0) dr dt \right]^{-1} \cdot \psi^*_L(\omega; v_1, 0, \lambda_1).$$

(6.17)

Finally, the LST of the busy period length when $K = 2$ is

$$M^{(2)}(\omega; v_1, \lambda_1) = \int_{0}^{v_1} f(x)M^{(2)}_{II}(\omega; x, v_1 - x, \lambda_1) dx + \int_{v_1}^{\infty} M^{(2)}_{II}(\omega; x, \lambda_1)f(x) dx.$$  

(6.18)

### 6.4 The busy period LST for general $K$

In the general case we have $\delta_j = v_j - v_{j-1}$, $j = 1, \ldots, K$ ($v_0 \equiv 0$) and $\lambda_j = \lambda Q_{j-1}$, $j = 1, \ldots, K$. We compute first $M^{(1)}_I(\omega; x, \delta_k - x, \lambda_K)$ and $M^{(1)}_{II}(\omega; x, \lambda_K)$ according to (6.13) and (6.14). Afterwards, for each $j = 1, \ldots, K$, we compute recursively the functions

$$M^{(j)}_{II}(\omega; x, \delta_j, \lambda_j) = 
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\omega t} \int_{0}^{\delta_{j+1}} M^{(j-1)}_I(\omega; r, \delta_{j+1} - r, \lambda_{j+1})p_{\lambda_j}(t, r; x, \delta_j - x) dr dt$$

(6.19)

$$+ \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\omega t} \int_{\delta_{j+1}}^{\infty} M^{(j-1)}_I(\omega, r, \lambda_{j+1})p_{\lambda_j}(t, r; x, \delta_j - x) dr dt,$$

$$D^{(j)}(\omega; \lambda_j) = 
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\omega t} \int_{0}^{\delta_{j+1}} M^{(j-1)}_I(\omega; r, \delta_{j+1} - r, \lambda_{j+1})p_{\lambda_j}(t, r; \delta_j, 0) dr dt$$

(6.20)

$$+ \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\omega t} \int_{\delta_{j+1}}^{\infty} M^{(j-1)}_I(\omega, r, \lambda_{j+1}) \cdot p_{\lambda_j}(t, r; \delta_j, 0) dr dt,$$

and

$$M^{(j)}_I(\omega; x, \delta_j - x, \lambda_j) = \psi^*_L(\omega; x, \delta_j - x, \lambda_j)$$

(6.21)

$$+ M^{(j)}_{II}(\omega; x, \delta_j, \lambda_j) \psi^*_L(\omega; \delta_j, 0, \lambda_2),$$

$$M^{(j)}_{II}(\omega; x, \lambda_j) = [I(v_j < x < v_{j+1})M^{(k-1)}_I(\omega; x - v_j, v_{j+1} - x, \lambda_{j+1}) + I(x \geq v_{j+1})M^{(k-1)}_{II}(\omega; x - v_{j+1}, \lambda_{j+1})] \psi^*_L(\omega; \delta_j, 0, \lambda_j)$$

(6.22)

$$\frac{D^{(j)}(\omega; \lambda_j)}{D^{(j)}(\omega; \lambda_j)}.$$
Finally, the LST of $B$ is

$$M^{(K)}(\omega; \lambda) = \int_0^{v_1} f(x) M_I^{(K)}(\omega; x, v_1 - x, \lambda_1) dx + \int_{v_1}^\infty f(x) M_{II}^{(K)}(\omega; x, \lambda_1) dx.$$ 

Notice that $\lambda_1 \equiv \lambda$.
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