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#### Abstract

By proving an $L^{2}$-gradient estimate for the corresponding Galerkin approximations, the log-Harnack inequality is established for the semigroup associated to a class of stochastic Burgers equations. As applications, we derive the strong Feller property of the semigroup, the irreducibility of the solution, the entropy-cost inequality for the adjoint semigroup, and entropy upper bounds of the transition density.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R} /(2 \pi \mathbb{Z})$ be equipped with the usual Riemannian metric, and let $\mathrm{d} \theta$ denote the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$. Then

$$
\mathbb{H}:=\left\{x \in L^{2}(\mathrm{~d} \theta):\|x\|^{2}:=\int_{\mathbb{T}} x(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta=0\right\}
$$

is a separable real Hilbert space with inner product

[^0]$$
\langle x, y\rangle:=\int_{\mathbb{T}} x(\theta) y(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta
$$

For $x \in C^{2}(\mathbb{T})$, the Laplacian operator $\Delta$ is given by $\Delta x=x^{\prime \prime}$. Let $(A, \mathscr{D}(A))$ be the closure of $\left(-\Delta, C^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathbb{H}\right)$ in $\mathbb{H}$, which is a positively definite self-adjoint operator on $\mathbb{H}$. Then

$$
V:=\mathscr{D}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right),\langle x, y\rangle_{V}:=\left\langle A^{1 / 2} x, A^{1 / 2} y\right\rangle
$$

gives rise to a Hilbert space, which is densely and compactly embedded in $\mathbb{H}$. By the integration by parts formula, for any $x \in C^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ we have

$$
\|x\|_{V}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{T}}(x A x)(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta=\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|x^{\prime}(\theta)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta .
$$

Moreover, for $x, y \in C^{1}(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathbb{H}$, set $B(x, y):=x y^{\prime}$. Then $B$ extends to a unique bounded bilinear operator $B: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ with (see Proposition 2.1 below)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B\|_{V \rightarrow \mathbb{H}}:=\sup _{\|x\|_{V}, \|_{y \|_{V} \leq 1}}\|B(x, y)\| \leq \sqrt{\pi} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the following stochastic Burgers equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=-\left\{\nu A X_{t}+B\left(X_{t}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} t+Q \mathrm{~d} W_{t}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu>0$ is a constant, $B(x):=B(x, x)$ for $x \in V, Q$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on $\mathbb{H}$, and $W_{t}$ is the cylindrical Brownian motion on $\mathbb{H}$. According to to [4, Chapter 5] (see also [6, Theorem 14.2.4]), for any $x \in \mathbb{H}$, this equation has a unique solution with the initial $X_{0}=x$, which is a continuous Markov process on $\mathbb{H}$ and is denoted by $X_{t}^{x}$ from now on. If moreover $x \in V$, then $X_{t}^{x}$ is a continuous process on $V$ (see Proposition 2.3 below). We are concerned with the associated Markov semigroup $P_{t}$ given by

$$
P_{t} f(x):=\mathbb{E} f\left(X_{t}^{x}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{H}, t \geq 0
$$

for $f \in \mathscr{B}_{b}(\mathbb{H})$, the set of all bounded measurable functions on $\mathbb{H}$.
The purpose of this paper is to investigate regularity properties of $P_{t}$, such as strong Feller property, heat kernel upper bounds, contractivity properties, and entropy-cost inequalities. To do this, a powerful tool is the dimension-free Harnack inequality introduced in [14] for diffuions on Riemannian manifolds (see also [1, 2] for further development). In recent years, this inequality has been established and applied intensively in the study of SPDEs (see e.g. $[10,15,9,7,8,17]$ and references within). In general, this type of Harnack inequality can be formulated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{t} f\right)^{\alpha}(x) \leq\left(P_{t} f^{\alpha}\right)(y) \exp \left[C_{\alpha}(t, x, y)\right], \quad f \geq 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha>1$ is a constant, $C_{\alpha}$ is a positive function on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{H}^{2}$ with $C_{\alpha}(t, x, x)=0$, which is determined by the underlying stochastic equation.

On the other hand, in some cases this kind of Harnack inequality is not available, so that the following weaker version (i.e. the log-Harnack inequality)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t} \log f(x) \leq \log P_{t} f(y)+C_{\alpha}(t, x, y), \quad f \geq 1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

becomes an alternative tool in the study. In general, according to [16, Section 2], (1.4) is the limit version of (1.3) as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. This inequality has been established in [11] and [16], respectively, for semi-linear SPDEs with multiplicative noise and the Neumann semigroup on non-convex manifolds.

As for the stochastic Burgers equation (1.2), by using $A^{1+\sigma}$ for $\sigma>\frac{1}{2}$ to replace $A$ (i.e. the hyperdissipative equation is concerned), the first and the third named authors established an explicit Harnack inequality of type (1.3) in [18], where a more general framework, which includes also the stochastic hyperdissipative Navier-Stokes equations, was considered. But, when $\sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$, the known arguments (i.e. the coupling argument and gradient estimate) to prove (1.3) are no longer valid. Therefore, in this paper we turn to investigate the log-Harnack inequality for $P_{t}$ associated to (1.2), which also provides some important regularity properties of the semigroup (see Corollary 1.2 below). Note that the stochastic Burgers equation does not satisfy the Lipschitz and monotone conditions required in [11], the present study can not be covered there.

To state our main result, we introduce the intrinsic norm induced by the diffusion part of the solution. For any $x \in H$, let

$$
\|x\|_{Q}:=\inf \left\{\|z\|_{H}: z \in H, Q^{*} z=x\right\}
$$

where $Q^{*}$ is the adjoint operator of $Q$, and we take $\|x\|_{Q}=\infty$ if the set in the right hand side is empty. Moreover, let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H S}$ denote the operator norm and the Hilbert-Schmidt norm respectively for bounded linear operators on $\mathbb{H}$.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\nu^{3} \geq 4 \pi\left\|A^{-1 / 2} Q\right\|^{2}$. Then for any $f \in \mathscr{B}_{b}(\mathbb{H})$ with $f \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t} \log f(x) \leq \log P_{t} f(y)+\frac{2 \pi\|Q\|_{H S}^{2}\|x-y\|_{Q}^{2}}{1-\exp \left[-\frac{4 \pi}{\nu^{2}}\|Q\|_{H S}^{2} t\right]} \exp \left[\frac{4 \pi}{\nu^{2}}\left(\|x\|^{2} \vee\|y\|^{2}\right)\right] \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $t>0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$.
Before introducing consequences of Theorem 1.1, let us recalled that the invariant probability measure of $P_{t}$ exists, and any invariant probability measure $\mu$ satisfies $\mu(V)=$ 1. These follows immediately since $V$ is compactly embedded in $\mathbb{H}$ and due to the Itô formula one has

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\|X_{t}^{0}\right\|_{H}^{2}+2 \nu \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left\|X_{s}^{0}\right\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \leq\|Q\|_{H S}^{2} t
$$

Next, for any two probability measures $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ on $\mathbb{H}$, let $W_{\mathbf{c}}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be the transportationcost between them with cost function

$$
(x, y) \mapsto \mathbf{c}(x, y):=\|x-y\|_{Q}^{2} \exp \left[\frac{4 \pi}{\nu^{2}}\left(\|x\|^{2} \vee\|y\|^{2}\right)\right]
$$

That is,

$$
W_{c}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)=\inf _{\mu \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}} \mathbf{c}(x, y) \mu(\mathrm{d} x, \mathrm{~d} y)
$$

where $\mathscr{C}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ is the set of all couplings of $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$. Finally, let

$$
B_{V}(x, r)=\left\{z \in V:\|z-x\|_{V}<r\right\}, \quad x \in V, r>0
$$

Corollary 1.2. Assume that $\nu^{3} \geq 4 \pi\left\|A^{-1 / 2} Q\right\|^{2}$.
(1) For any $t>0, P_{t}$ is intrinsic strong Feller, i.e.

$$
\lim _{\|x-y\|_{Q} \rightarrow 0} P_{t} f(y)=P_{t} f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{H}, f \in \mathscr{B}_{b}(\mathbb{H})
$$

(2) Let $\mu$ be an invariant probability measure of $P_{t}$ and let $P_{t}^{*}$ be the adjoint operator of $P_{t}$ w.r.t. $\mu$. Then the entropy-cost inequality

$$
\mu\left(\left(P_{t}^{*} f\right) \log P_{t}^{*} f\right) \leq \frac{2 \pi\|Q\|_{H S}^{2}}{1-\exp \left[-\frac{4 \pi}{\nu^{2}}\|Q\|_{H S}^{2} t\right]} W_{\mathbf{c}}(f \mu, \mu), \quad f \geq 0, \mu(f)=1
$$

holds for all $t>0$.
(3) Let $\|\cdot\|_{Q} \leq C\|\cdot\|_{V}$ hold for some constant $C>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t}^{y} \in B_{V}(x, r)\right)>0, \quad x, y \in V, t, r>0 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $P_{t}$ has a unique invariant probability measure $\mu$, which is fully supported on $V$, i.e. $\mu(V)=1$ and $\mu(G)>0$ for any non-empty open set $G \subset V$. Furthermore, $\mu$ is strong mixing, i.e. for any $f \in \mathscr{B}_{b}(\mathbb{H})$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{t} f(x)=\mu(f), \quad \forall x \in V
$$

(4) Under the same assumption as in (3), $P_{t}$ has a transition density $p_{t}(x, y)$ w.r.t. $\mu$ on $V$ such that the entropy inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V} p_{t}(x, z) \log \frac{p_{t}(x, z)}{p_{t}(y, z)} \mu(\mathrm{d} z) \leq \frac{2 \pi\|Q\|_{H S}^{2} \mathbf{c}(x, y)}{1-\exp \left[-\frac{4 \pi}{\nu^{2}}\|Q\|_{H S}^{2} t\right]} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V} p_{t}(x, y) \log p_{t}(x, y) \mu(\mathrm{d} y) \leq-\log \int_{V} \exp \left[-\frac{2 \pi\|Q\|_{H S}^{2} \mathbf{c}(x, y)}{1-\exp \left[-\frac{4 \pi}{\nu^{2}}\|Q\|_{H S}^{2} t\right]}\right] \mu(\mathrm{d} y) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for all $t>0$ and $x, y \in V$.

To prove the above results, we present in Section 2 some preparations including a brief proof of (1.1), a convergence theorem for the Galerkin approximation of (1.2), and the continuity of the solution in $V$. Finally, complete proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are addressed in Section 3.

## 2 Some preparations

Obviously, (1.1) is equivalent to the following result.
Proposition 2.1. $\|B(x, y)\|^{2} \leq \pi\|x\|_{V}^{2}\|y\|_{V}^{2}$ holds for any $x, y \in V$.
Proof. Since $\int_{\mathbb{T}} x(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta=0$, there exists $\theta_{0} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $x\left(\theta_{0}\right)=0$. For any $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$, let $\gamma:\left[0, \mathrm{~d}\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the minimal geodesic from $\theta_{0}$ to $\theta$, where $d\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)(\leq \pi)$ is the Riemannian distance between these two points. By the Schwartz inequality we have

$$
|x(\theta)|^{2}=\left|\int_{0}^{d\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} x\left(\gamma_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s\right|^{2} \leq d\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right) \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|x^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi \leq \pi\|x\|_{V}^{2} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\|B(x, y)\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\left(x y^{\prime}\right)(\theta)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta \leq \pi\|x\|_{V}^{2}\|y\|_{V}^{2}
$$

Remark. From the proof we see that (1.1) is a property in one-dimension, since for $d \geq 2$ there is no any constant $C \in(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\|x\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}|\nabla x|^{2}(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta, \quad x \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)
$$

holds. The invalidity of (1.1) in high dimensions is the main reason why we only consider here the stochastic Burgers equation rather than the stochastic Navier-Stokes equation.

Next, due to that fact that to prove the log-Harnack inequality we have to apply the Itô formula for a reasonable class of reference functions which is, however, not available in infinite-dimensions, we need to make use of the finite-dimensional approximations. To introduce the Galerkin approximation of (1.2), let us formulate $\mathbb{H}$ by using the standard ONB $\left\{e_{k}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ for the complex Hilbert space $L^{2}(\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} ; \mathrm{d} \theta)$, where

$$
e_{k}(\theta):=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{T} .
$$

Obviously, $\Delta e_{k}=-k^{2} e_{k}$ holds for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and an element

$$
x:=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{k} e_{k}, \quad x_{k} \in \mathbb{C}
$$

belongs to $\mathbb{H}$ if and only if $x_{0}=0, \bar{x}_{k}=x_{-k}$ for all $k \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}:=\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, and $\sum_{k \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}}\left|x_{k}\right|^{2}<\infty$. Therefore,

$$
\mathbb{H}=\left\{\sum_{k \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} x_{k} e_{k}: \bar{x}_{k}=x_{-k}, \sum_{k \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}}\left|x_{k}\right|^{2}<\infty\right\} .
$$

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
\mathbb{H}_{m}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{H}:\left\langle x, e_{k}\right\rangle=0 \text { for }|k|>m\right\},
$$

which is a finite-dimensional Euclidean space. Let $\pi_{m}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{m}$ be the orthogonal projection. Let $B_{m}=\pi_{m} B$ and $Q_{m}=\pi_{m} Q$. Consider the following stochastic differential equation on $\mathbb{H}_{m}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} X_{t}^{(m)}=-\left\{\nu A X_{t}^{(m)}+B_{m}\left(X_{t}^{(m)}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} t+Q_{m} \mathrm{~d} W_{t} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since coefficients in this equation are smooth and

$$
\mathrm{d}\left\|X_{t}^{(m)}\right\|^{2} \leq 2\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{H S}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t+2\left\langle X_{t}^{(m)}, Q_{m} \mathrm{~d} W_{t}\right\rangle
$$

we conclude that for any $x \in \mathbb{H}_{m}$ this equation has a unique solution $X_{t}^{m . x}$ which is non-explosive. Let

$$
P_{t}^{(m)} f(x)=\mathbb{E} f\left(X_{t}^{m, x}\right), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{H}_{m}, f \in \mathscr{B}_{b}\left(\mathbb{H}_{m}\right)
$$

In the spirit of [4, Theorem 5.7], the next result implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t} f(x)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} P_{t}^{(m)} f\left(x_{m}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{H}, f \in C_{b}(\mathbb{H}) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\left\{x_{m} \in \mathbb{H}_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ such that $x_{m} \rightarrow x$ in $\mathbb{H}$.
Proposition 2.2. For any $\left\{x_{m} \in \mathbb{H}_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ such that $\left\|x-x_{m}\right\|_{H} \rightarrow 0$, we have $\| X_{t}^{x}-$ $X_{t}^{m, x_{m}} \| \rightarrow 0$ in probability as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, (2.2) holds.

Proof. Simply denote $X_{t}(m)=X_{t}^{m, x_{m}}$ and $X_{t}=X_{t}^{x}$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|X_{s}\right\|_{V}^{2}+\left\|X_{s}(m)\right\|_{V}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s \leq C(1+t) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some constant $C>0$. By the Itô formula we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|X_{t}-X_{t}(m)\right\|^{2} \\
& =-2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\nu\left\|X_{s}-X_{s}(m)\right\|_{V}^{2}+\left\langle B\left(X_{s}\right)-B\left(X_{s}(m)\right), X_{s}-X_{s}(m)\right\rangle\right\} \mathrm{d} s+\eta_{t}(m) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\eta_{t}(m):=\left\|Q-Q_{m}\right\|_{H S}^{2} t+\left\|x-x_{m}\right\|^{2}+2 \sup _{r \in[0, t]}\left|\int_{0}^{r}\left\langle X_{s}-X_{s}(m),\left(Q-Q_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} W_{s}\right\rangle\right|,
$$

which goes to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since by (1.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\langle B(x)-B(y), x-y\rangle|=|\langle B(x, x-y)+B(x-y, y), x-y\rangle| \\
& \leq \pi\|x-y\|\left(\|x\|_{V}+\|y\|_{V}\right)\|x-y\|_{V},
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows from (2.4) that

$$
\left\|X_{r}-X_{r}(m)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{\pi}{\nu} \int_{0}^{r}\left\|X_{s}-X_{s}(m)\right\|^{2}\left(\left\|X_{s}\right\|_{V}^{2}+\left\|X_{s}(m)\right\|_{V}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\eta_{t}(m), \quad r \in[0, t] .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\|X_{t}-X_{t}(m)\right\|^{2} \leq \eta_{t}(m) \exp \left[\frac{\pi}{\nu} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|X_{s}\right\|_{V}^{2}+\left\|X_{s}(m)\right\|_{V}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s\right]
$$

Combining this with (2.3) we obtain that for any $N>0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|X_{t}-X_{t}(m)\right\|^{2} \geq \eta_{t}(m) \mathrm{e}^{N \pi / \nu}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|X_{s}\right\|_{V}^{2}+\left\|X_{s}(m)\right\|_{V}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s \geq N\right) \leq \frac{C(1+t)}{N}
$$

which goes to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\eta_{t}(m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, this implies that $\left\|X_{t}-X_{t}(m)\right\| \rightarrow$ 0 in probability as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, we have the following result for the continuity of the solution in $V$.
Proposition 2.3. For any $x \in V, X_{t}^{x}$ is a continuous process in $V$.
Proof. For fixed $x \in V$ and $T>0$, we introduce the map

$$
Y: C([0, T] ; V) \rightarrow C([0, T] ; V)
$$

such that for any $u \in C([0, T] ; V),\left\{Y_{t}(u)\right\}_{t \in[0, T]}$ solves the deterministic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{Y}_{t}(u)=-\left\{\nu A Y_{t}(u)+B\left(Y_{t}(u)+u_{t}\right)\right\}, \quad Y_{0}(u)=x . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $Y(u) \in C([0, T] ; V)$, see e.g. [13, Theorem 3.2] (the theorem is for 2D Navier-Stokes equation, so it is of course true for our case).

Next, let

$$
Z_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{e}^{-\nu(t-s) A} Q \mathrm{~d} W_{s}
$$

Since $Q$ is Hilbert-Schmidt on $\mathbb{H}, Z_{t}$ is a continuous process on $V$ (see e.g. [5, Theorem 5.9]). Therefore, $X_{t}^{x}=Y_{t}(Z)+Z_{t}$ is also continuous in $V$.

## 3 Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2

According to [11], the key step to prove the log-Harnack inequality for $P_{t}^{(m)}$ is the gradient of type

$$
\left|Q_{m} D P_{t}^{(m)} f\right|^{2}(x) \leq\left(P_{t}^{(m)}\left|Q_{m} D f\right|^{2}\right)(x) C(t, x), \quad f \in C_{b}^{1}\left(\mathbb{H}_{m}\right)
$$

for some continuous function $C$ on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{H}_{m}$, where $D$ is the gradient operator on $\mathbb{H}_{m}$, i.e. for any $f \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{H}_{m}\right)$, the element $D f(x) \in \mathbb{H}_{m}$ is determined by

$$
\langle D f(x), h\rangle=D_{h} f(x):=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+\varepsilon h)-f(x)}{\varepsilon}, \quad h \in \mathbb{H}_{m} .
$$

To derive the desired gradient estimate, we need the following exponential estimate for $X_{t}^{m}$.

Lemma 3.1. For any $x \in \mathbb{H}_{m}$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \exp \left[\frac{\nu}{2\left\|A^{-1 / 2} Q_{m}\right\|^{2}}\left(\left\|X_{t}^{m, x}\right\|^{2}+\nu \int_{0}^{t}\left\|X_{s}^{m, x}\right\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s\right)\right] \leq \exp \left[\frac{\nu\left(\|x\|^{2}+\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{H S}^{2} t\right)}{2\left\|A^{-1 / 2} Q_{m}\right\|^{2}}\right] .
$$

Proof. By the Itô formula, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}\left\|X_{t}^{m, x}\right\|^{2}+2 \nu\left\|X_{t}^{m, x}\right\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t=\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{H S}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t+2\left\langle X_{t}^{m, x}, Q_{m} \mathrm{~d} W_{t}\right\rangle . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\tau_{n}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0:\left\|X_{t}^{m, x}\right\| \geq n\right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

We have $\tau_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$
M_{t}^{(n)}=\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{n}}\left\langle X_{s}^{m, x}, Q_{m} \mathrm{~d} W_{s}\right\rangle .
$$

Then for any $\lambda>0$

$$
t \mapsto \exp \left[2 \lambda M_{t}^{(n)}-2 \lambda^{2}\left\langle M^{(n)}\right\rangle_{t}\right]
$$

is a martingale. Therefore, it follows from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E} \exp \left[\lambda\left\|X_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{m, x}\right\|^{2}+2 \nu \lambda \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{n}}\left\|X_{s}^{m, x}\right\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s-2 \lambda^{2} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{n}}\left\|Q_{m}^{*} X_{s}^{m, x}\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \exp \left[\lambda\left(\|x\|^{2}+t\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{H S}^{2}\right)+2 \lambda M_{t}^{(n)}-2 \lambda^{2}\left\langle M^{(n)}\right\rangle_{t}\right]  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\exp \left[\lambda\left(\|x\|^{2}+t\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{H S}^{2}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that

$$
\left\|Q_{m}^{*} x\right\|=\left\|Q_{m}^{*} A^{-1 / 2} A^{1 / 2} x\right\| \leq\left\|Q_{m}^{*} A^{-1 / 2}\right\|\|x\|_{V}=\left\|A^{-1 / 2} Q_{m}\right\|^{2}\|x\|_{V}, \quad x \in \mathbb{H}_{m}
$$

by letting $n \uparrow \infty$ in (3.2) and taking

$$
\lambda=\frac{\nu}{2\left\|A^{-1 / 2} Q_{m}\right\|^{2}},
$$

we complete the proof.
Lemma 3.2. Let $\nu^{3} \geq 4 \pi\left\|A^{-1 / 2} Q_{m}\right\|^{2}$. Then for any $f \in C_{b}^{1}\left(\mathbb{H}_{m}\right)$,

$$
\left\|Q_{m} D P_{t}^{(m)} f\right\|^{2}(x) \leq\left(P_{t}^{(m)}\left\|Q_{m} D f\right\|^{2}\right)(x) \exp \left[\frac{2 \pi}{\nu^{2}}\left(\|x\|^{2}+t\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{H S}^{2}\right)\right]
$$

holds for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{H}_{m}$.
Proof. Let $h \in \mathbb{H}_{m}$. According to e.g. [4, Section 5.4],

$$
D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}:=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{X_{t}^{m, x+\varepsilon h}-X_{t}^{m, x}}{\varepsilon}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

exists and solves the ordinary differential equation

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}=-\left\{\nu A D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}+\tilde{B}_{m}\left(X_{t}^{m, x}, D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\tilde{B}_{m}(x, y):=B(x, y)+B(y, x)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{H}_{m}$. By (1.1), this implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\|D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}\right\|_{V}^{2} & =-2 \nu\left\|A D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle A D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}, \tilde{B}_{m}\left(X_{t}^{m, x}, D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \nu}\left\|\tilde{B}_{m}\left(X_{t}^{m, x}, D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{2 \pi}{\nu}\left\|X_{t}^{m, x}\right\|_{V}^{2}\left\|D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}\right\|_{V}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\|D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}\right\|_{V}^{2} \leq\|h\|_{V}^{2} \exp \left[\frac{2 \pi}{\nu} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|X_{s}^{m, x}\right\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s\right]
$$

Since $\nu^{3} \geq 4 \pi\left\|A^{-1 / 2} Q_{m}\right\|^{2}$ implies that

$$
\frac{\nu^{2}}{2\left\|A^{-1 / 2} Q_{m}\right\|^{2}} \geq \frac{2 \pi}{\nu}
$$

by Lemma 3.1 and using the Jensen inequality we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left\|D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}\right\|_{V}^{2} \leq\|h\|_{V}^{2} \exp \left[\frac{2 \pi}{\nu}\left(\|x\|^{2}+t\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{H S}^{2}\right)\right] . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, by the dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{h} P_{t}^{(m)} f(x)=\mathbb{E}\left\langle D f\left(X_{t}^{m, x}\right), D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}\right\rangle, \quad f \in C_{b}^{1}\left(\mathbb{H}_{m}\right), x \in \mathbb{H}_{m}, t \geq 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|Q_{m} D P_{t}^{(m)} f\right\|^{2} & =\sup _{\|\tilde{h}\| \leq 1}\left\langle Q_{m} D P_{t}^{(m)} f, \tilde{h}\right\rangle^{2}=\sup _{\|\tilde{h}\| \leq 1}\left\langle D P_{t}^{(m)} f, Q_{m}^{*} \tilde{h}\right\rangle^{2} \\
& =\sup _{\|h\|_{Q_{m}} \leq 1}\left|D_{h} P_{t}^{(m)} f\right|^{2}, \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\|h\|_{Q_{m}}:=\inf \left\{\|z\|: z \in \mathbb{H}_{m}, Q_{m}^{*} z=h\right\}
$$

and $\|h\|_{Q_{m}}=\infty$ if the set on the right hand side is empty. Now, for any $h \in \mathbb{H}_{m}$ with $\|h\|_{Q_{m}} \leq 1$, let $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{H}$ be such that $Q_{m}^{*} z_{n}=h$ and $\left\|z_{n}\right\| \leq 1+\frac{1}{n}$. By (3.4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|D_{h} P_{t}^{(m)}\right|^{2}(x)=\left(\mathbb{E}\left\langle D f\left(X_{t}^{m, x}\right), D_{h} X_{t}^{m, x}\right\rangle\right)^{2}=\left(\mathbb{E}\left\langle Q_{m} D f\left(X_{t}^{m, x}\right), D_{z_{n}} X_{t}^{m, x}\right\rangle\right)^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\mathbb{E}\left\|Q_{m} D f\left(X_{t}^{m, x}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}\left\|D_{z_{n}} X_{t}^{m, x}\right\|^{2}=\left(\mathbb{E}\left\|Q_{m} D f\left(X_{t}^{m, x}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}\left\|A^{-1 / 2} D_{z_{n}} X_{t}^{m, x}\right\|_{V}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (3.3) and (3.5) and letting $n \uparrow \infty$, we complete the proof.
According to the $L^{2}$-gradient estimate in Lemma 3.2, we are able to prove the logHarnack inequality for $P_{t}^{(m)}$ as in [11].

Proposition 3.3. Let $\nu^{3} \geq 4 \pi\left\|A^{-1 / 2} Q_{m}\right\|^{2}$. For any $f \in \mathscr{B}_{b}\left(\mathbb{H}_{m}\right)$ with $f \geq 1$,

$$
P_{t}^{(m)} \log f(x) \leq \log P_{t}^{(m)} f(y)+\frac{\pi\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{H S}^{2}\|x-y\|_{Q_{m}}^{2} \exp \left[\frac{2 \pi}{\nu^{2}}\left(\|x\|^{2} \vee\|y\|^{2}\right)\right]}{1-\exp \left[-\frac{2 \pi}{\nu^{2}}\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{H S}^{2} t\right]}
$$

holds for all $t>0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{H}_{m}$.
Proof. It suffices to prove for $\|x-y\|_{Q_{m}}<\infty$. Let $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{H}_{m}$ be such that $Q_{m}^{*} z_{n}=x-y$ and $\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|_{Q_{m}}^{2}+\frac{1}{n}$. Let $\gamma \in C^{1}([0, t] ; \mathbb{R})$ such that $\gamma(0)=0, \gamma(t)=1$. Finally, let $x_{s}=(x-y) \gamma(s)+y, s \in[0, t]$. Then, by Lemma 3.2 we have (see [11, Proof of Theorem 2.1] for explanation of the second equality)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{t}^{(m)} \log f(x)-\log P_{t}^{(m)} f(y)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left\{P_{s}^{(m)} \log P_{t-s}^{(m)} f\right\}\left(x_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t}\left\{-\frac{1}{2} P_{s}^{(m)}\left\|Q_{m} D \log P_{t-s}^{(m)} f\right\|^{2}+\gamma^{\prime}(s)\left\langle x-y, D P_{s}^{(m)} \log P_{t-s}^{(m)} f\right\rangle\right\}\left(x_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t} P_{s}^{(m)}\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left\|Q_{m} D \log P_{t-s}^{(m)} f\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \quad \quad\left|\gamma^{\prime}(s)\right| \cdot\left\|z_{n}\right\| \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi\left(\left\|x_{s}\right\|^{2}+\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{\left.H S^{s}\right) / \nu^{2}}^{2}\left\|Q_{m} D \log P_{t-s}^{(m)} f\right\|\right\}\left(x_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} \\
& \leq \frac{\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left|\gamma^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{4 \pi\left(\left\|x_{s}\right\|^{2}+\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{H S^{s}}^{2}\right) / \nu^{2}} \mathrm{~d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\|x_{s}\right\| \leq\|x\| \vee\|y\|$, by taking

$$
\gamma(s)=\frac{1-\exp \left[-\frac{4 \pi}{\nu^{2}}\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{H S}^{2} s\right]}{1-\exp \left[-\frac{4 \pi}{\nu^{2}}\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{H S}^{2} t\right]}, \quad s \in[0, t]
$$

we obtain

$$
P_{t}^{(m)} \log f(x)-\log P_{t}^{(m)} f(y)+\frac{2 \pi\|Q\|_{H S}^{2}\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{2}}{1-\exp \left[-\frac{4 \pi}{\nu^{2}}\|Q\|_{H S}^{2} t\right]} \exp \left[\frac{4 \pi}{\nu^{2}}\left(\|x\|^{2} \vee\|y\|^{2}\right)\right] .
$$

This completes the proof by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. It suffices to prove for $f \in C_{b}(\mathbb{H})$ with $f \geq 1$. Let $\|x-y\|_{Q}<\infty$. For any $\varepsilon>0$, let $z \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $Q^{*} z=x-y$ and $\|z\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|_{Q}^{2}+\varepsilon$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $Q_{m}^{*} z=\pi_{m} x-\pi_{m} y$. Let $x_{m}=\pi_{m} x, z_{m}=\pi_{m} z$ and $y_{m}=\pi_{m} y+Q_{m}^{*}\left(z-\pi_{m} z\right)$. Then $z_{m} \in \mathbb{H}_{m}$ and $Q_{m}^{*} z_{m}=x_{m}-y_{m}$, so that

$$
\left\|x_{m}-y_{m}\right\|_{Q_{m}}^{2} \leq\left\|z_{m}\right\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|_{Q}^{2}+\varepsilon .
$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that $x_{m} \rightarrow x$ and $y_{m} \rightarrow y$ hold in $\mathbb{H}$. Combining these with Proposition 3.3 and (2.2), and letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we complete the proof.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. The intrinsic strong Feller property follows from [16, Proposition 2.3], while the entropy-cost inequality in (2) follow from the proof of Corollary 1.2 in [11]. So, it remains to prove (3).
(a). Applying (1.5) to $f:=1+m 1_{B(x, r)}$ for $m \geq 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t} \log \left(1+m 1_{B_{V}(x, r)}\right)(x) \leq \log \left\{1+m P_{t} 1_{B_{V}(x, r)}(y)\right\}+\alpha(t) \mathbf{c}(x, y), \quad t>0, m \geq 1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some function $\alpha:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ independent of $x, y$ and $m$. By Proposition 2.3 we have $\left\|X_{t}^{x}-x\right\|_{V} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists $t_{0}>0$ depending only on $x$ such that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|X_{t}^{x}-x\right\|_{V}<r\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] .
$$

Thus, if $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t}^{y} \in B_{V}(x, r)\right)=0$ for some $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right]$, then (3.6) yields that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \log (1+m) \leq P_{t} \log \left(1+m 1_{B_{V}(x, r)}\right)(x) \leq \alpha(t) \mathbf{c}(x, y), \quad m \geq 1
$$

which is impossible since $\|\cdot\|_{Q} \leq C\|x-y\|_{V}$ implies that $\mathbf{c}(x, y)<\infty$ for $x, y \in V$. Therefore,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t}^{z} \in B_{V}(x, r)\right)>0, \quad t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right], z \in V
$$

Combining this with the Markov property we see that for $t>t_{0}$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t}^{y} \in B(x, r)\right)=\int_{V} \mathbb{P}\left(X_{t_{0}}^{z} \in B(x, r)\right) P_{t-t_{0}}(y, \mathrm{~d} z)>0
$$

where $P_{t-t_{0}}(y, \mathrm{~d} z)$ is the distribution of $X_{t-t_{0}}^{y}$. Therefore, (1.6) holds.
(b). The existence of the invariant measures for Burgers equation is well known ([6, Section 14.4]). Since (1) and $\|\cdot\|_{Q} \leq C\|\cdot\|_{V}$ imply the strong Feller property of $P_{t}$ on $V$, by classical Doob's Theorem, see Theorem 4.2.1 in [6], Eq. (1.2) has a unique invariant measure $\mu$, which is strong mixing and supported on $V$. The full support property of $\mu$, together with the strong Feller of $P_{t}$, implies the existence of transition density $p_{t}(x, y)$. Finally, due to [16, Proposition $2.4(2)]$, (1.7) is equivalent to the log-Harnack inequality (1.5), while (1.8) follows from (1.5) according to the proof of [11, Corollary 1.2].

## References

[1] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates on manifolds with curvature unbounded below, Bull. Sci. Math. 130 (2006), 223233.
[2] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities on non-compact Riemannian manifolds, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 119 (2009), 36533670.
[3] S. G. Bobkov, I. Gentil, M. Ledoux, Hypercontractivity of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, J. Math. Pures Appl. 80 (2001), 669-696.
[4] G. Da Prato, Kolmogorov equations for stochastic PDEs, Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2004.
[5] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992.
[6] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, Ergodicity for infinite-dimensional systems, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 229, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
[7] G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, Singular stochastic equations on Hilbert spaces: Harnack inequalities for their transition semigroups, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), 992-017.
[8] A. Es-Sarhir, M.-K. v. Renesse and M. Scheutzow, Harnack inequality for functional SDEs with bounded memory, Electron. Commun. Probab. 14 (2009), 560-565.
[9] W. Liu, F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and strong Feller property for stochastic fast-diffusion equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342 (2008), 651-662.
[10] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, Harnack and functional inequalities for generalized Mehler semigroups, J. Funct. Anal. 203 (2003), 237-261.
[11] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, Log-harnack inequality for stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces and its consequences, Infin. Dim. Anal. Quat. Probab. Relat. Top. 13 (2010), 27-37.
[12] F. Otto, C. Villani, Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand, and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality, J. Funct. Anal. 173 (2000), 361-400.
[13] R. Temam, Navier-Stokes equations and nonlinear functional analysis, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, (1995), xiv+141.
[14] F.-Y. Wang, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds, Probab. Theory Related Fields 109 (1997), 417-424.
[15] F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic generalized porous media equations, Ann. Probab. 35 (2007), 1333-1350.
[16] F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequalities on manifolds with boundary and applications, J. Math. Pures Appl. 94 (2010), 304-321.
[17] F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality for SDE with multiplicative noise and extension to Neumann semigroup on non-convex manifolds, to appear in Annals of Probab. arXiv:0911.1644
[18] F.-Y. Wang, L. Xu, Bismut type formula and its application to stochastic hyperdissipative Navier-Stokes/Burgers equations, arXiv:1009.1464


[^0]:    *Supported in part by WIMCS and NNSFC(10721091).

