

SOLUTIONS

CHAPTER I

13.1. We have $|\phi_m(s) - \phi_n(s)| \leq \|\phi_m - \phi_n\|$ for $\text{Re}(s) = 0$. Thus for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an N such that $|\phi_m(s) - \phi_n(s)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $m > N$, $n > N$ and $\text{Re}(s) = 0$. Accordingly, the sequence $\{\phi_n(s)\}$ is a uniformly convergent sequence of continuous functions for $\text{Re}(s) = 0$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(s) = \phi(s)$ exists for $\text{Re}(s) = 0$ and $\phi(s)$ is a continuous function of s for $\text{Re}(s) = 0$. (We have $|\phi(s) - \phi_n(s)| < \varepsilon$ if $n > N$ and $\text{Re}(s) = 0$.)

First, we shall prove that $\phi(s) \in \widetilde{R}$. Let us choose an increasing sequence of positive integers $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j, \dots$ such that $\|\phi_n - \phi_{n_j}\| < 1/2^j$ if $n > n_j$. Then $\|\phi_{n_{j+1}} - \phi_{n_j}\| < 1/2^j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, and this implies that

$$\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \|\phi_{n_{j+1}} - \phi_{n_j}\| < 1/2^{k-1}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. By making use of Lemma 3.2 we can conclude that

$$\phi(s) - \phi_{n_k}(s) = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} [\phi_{n_{j+1}}(s) - \phi_{n_j}(s)] \in \widetilde{R}$$

and

$$\|\phi - \phi_{n_k}\| \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \|\phi_{n_{j+1}} - \phi_{n_j}\| < 1/2^{k-1}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $\phi_{n_k}(s) \in \widetilde{R}$ and $\phi(s) - \phi_{n_k}(s) \in \widetilde{R}$, it follows that $\phi(s) \in \widetilde{R}$.

If $n > n_k$, then we have

$$\|\phi - \phi_n\| \leq \|\phi - \phi_{n_k}\| + \|\phi_{n_k} - \phi_n\| < \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} + \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{3}{2^k}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi - \phi_n\| = 0$. So we can

conclude that the space \tilde{R} is complete.

13.2. Let

$$a_n(s) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k^{(n)} s^k \in A \quad \text{and} \quad \|a_n\| = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k^{(n)}| < \infty$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By assumption, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an N such that

$$\|a_m - a_n\| = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k^{(m)} - a_k^{(n)}| < \epsilon$$

if $m > N$ and $n > N$. This implies that for each k ($k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$) $|a_k^{(m)} - a_k^{(n)}| < \epsilon$ if $m > N$ and $n > N$, that is, $\{a_k^{(n)}; n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is

a Cauchy sequence. Thus the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_k^{(n)} = a_k$ exists for each $k =$

$0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. Now for any fixed K we have

$$\sum_{k=-K}^K |a_k^{(m)} - a_k^{(n)}| < \epsilon$$

if $m > N$ and $n > N$. Let $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then we obtain

$$\sum_{k=-K}^K |a_k - a_k^{(n)}| \leq \epsilon$$

for $n > N$ and for any K . Let $K \rightarrow \infty$. Then we obtain

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k - a_k^{(n)}| \leq \epsilon$$

for $n > N$. Since $|a_k| \leq |a_k - a_k^{(n)}| + |a_k^{(n)}|$, it follows that

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \epsilon + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k^{(n)}| < \infty.$$

Accordingly, if

$$a(s) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k s^k,$$

then $a(s) \in A$ and $\|a - a_n\| \leq \epsilon$ if $n > N$. This implies that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a - a_n\| = 0$. So we can conclude that the space A is complete.

13.3. We observe that $\phi(s) = E\{e^{-sn}\}$ where η has the density function $f(x) = e^{-|x|}/2$ for $-\infty < x < \infty$. Thus $\phi(s) \in R$ and

$$\phi^+(s) = E\{e^{-sn^+}\} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx-x} dx = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+s}\right)$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -1$.

In this case we can also apply (5.8) with $0 < \epsilon < 1$ to obtain

$$\phi^+(s) = \frac{s}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\epsilon^+} \frac{\phi(z)}{z(s-z)} dz = \frac{s}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\epsilon^+} \frac{dz}{z(s-z)(1-z^2)}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \epsilon > 0$. In the right half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$, the integrand

has two poles $z = s$ and $z = 1$, and by Cauchy's theorem of residues (see e.g. W. Osgood [23 p. 162]) we obtain that

$$\phi^+(s) = \frac{1}{1-s^2} - \frac{s}{2(1-s)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+s}\right)$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$.

If we apply formula (5.1), then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^+(s) &= \frac{1}{2} + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{2\pi i} \int_{L_\epsilon} \frac{dz}{z(s-z)(1-z^2)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{(1+y^2)(s^2+y^2)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{\pi(1-s^2)} \int_0^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{s^2+y^2} - \frac{1}{1+y^2} \right] dy = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{1-s^2} \left[\frac{1}{2s} - \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+s}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$.

13.4. Since $\phi(s) = \mathbb{E}\{e^{-sv_m}\}$ where

$$P\{v_m = m - 2j\} = \binom{m}{j} p^j q^{m-j}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$, it follows that $\phi(s) \in \mathbb{R}$. If we write

$$\phi(s) = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} p^j q^{m-j} e^{-(m-2j)s}$$

and apply \mathbb{T} term by term, then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^+(s) &= \sum_{2j < m} \binom{m}{j} p^j q^{m-j} e^{-(m-2j)s} + \sum_{2j \geq m} \binom{m}{j} p^j q^{m-j} = \\ &= 1 + \sum_{2j < m} \binom{m}{j} p^j q^{m-j} [e^{-(m-2j)s} - 1].\end{aligned}$$

The same result can be obtained by using formula (5.1). Accordingly, if $\text{Re}(s) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^+(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \phi(0) + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{2\pi i} \int_{L_\epsilon} \frac{\phi(z)}{z(s-z)} dz = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \phi(0) + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{2\pi i} \int_{L_\epsilon} \left[\frac{\phi(iy)}{s-iy} - \frac{\phi(-iy)}{s+iy} \right] \frac{dy}{y} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \phi(0) + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{s \text{Im}[\phi(iy)] + y \text{Re}[\phi(iy)]}{(s^2 + y^2)y} dy.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain that

$$\phi^+(s) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} p^j q^{m-j} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{s \sin(2j-m)y + y \cos(2j-m)y}{(s^2 + y^2)} dy$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$. If we take into consideration that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos ay}{s^2 + y^2} dy = \frac{\pi e^{-as}}{2s} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin ay}{(s^2 + y^2)y} dy = \frac{\pi(1-e^{-as})}{2s^2}$$

for $a \geq 0$ and $\text{Re}(s) > 0$, then it follows that

$$\phi^+(s) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{2j < m} \binom{m}{j} p^j q^{m-j} [e^{-(m-2j)s} - \frac{1}{2}] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{2j \geq m} \binom{m}{j} p^j q^{m-j}$$

which is in agreement with the previous result.

13.5. Since $\phi(s) = \underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s\eta}\}$ where

$$\underline{\underline{P}}\{\eta \leq x\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-u^2/2} du ,$$

it follows that $\phi(s) \in \underline{\underline{R}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^+(s) &= \underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s\eta^+}\} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx - \frac{x^2}{2}} dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{e^{s^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(s+x)^2} dx = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{e^{s^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_s^{\infty} e^{-u^2/2} du . \end{aligned}$$

If we introduce the function

$$w(s) = \frac{e^{s^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^s e^{-u^2/2} du$$

for any complex s , then we can write that

$$\phi^+(s) = \frac{1 + e^{s^2/2}}{2} - w(s)$$

for any complex s . We note that the function $w(\sqrt{2} is)\sqrt{\pi}/i$ has been tabulated by K. A. Karpov [17].

13.6. Let ξ be a nonnegative random variable for which $\underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s\xi}\} = \phi(s)$ if $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$. Let $\underline{\underline{P}}\{\theta \leq x\} = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ for $x \geq 0$, and $\underline{\underline{P}}\{\theta \leq x\} = 0$ for $x < 0$. Then

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s\theta}\} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + s}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > -\lambda$. If ξ and θ are independent, then

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s(\xi-\theta)}\} = \frac{\lambda\phi(s)}{\lambda-s}$$

for $0 \leq \text{Re}(s) < \lambda$. Accordingly,

$$\underline{\underline{T}}\left\{\frac{\lambda\phi(s)}{\lambda-s}\right\} = \underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s[\xi-\theta]^+}\}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

If $x \geq 0$, then

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s[\xi-\theta]^+} | \xi = x\} = \lambda \int_0^x e^{-s(x-u)-\lambda u} du + \lambda \int_x^\infty e^{-\lambda u} du =$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda e^{-sx} - s e^{-\lambda x}}{\lambda - s} & \text{for } s \neq \lambda, \\ \lambda x e^{-\lambda x} + e^{-\lambda x} & \text{for } s = \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s[\xi-\theta]^+}\} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda \underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s\xi}\} - s \underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-\lambda\xi}\}}{\lambda - s} & \text{if } s \neq \lambda, \\ \lambda \underline{\underline{E}}\{\xi e^{-\lambda\xi}\} + \underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-\lambda\xi}\} & \text{if } s = \lambda, \end{cases}$$

and $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

Finally,

$$\underline{\underline{T}}\left\{\frac{\lambda\phi(s)}{\lambda-s}\right\} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda\phi(s) - s\phi(\lambda)}{\lambda-s} & \text{if } s \neq \lambda, \\ \phi(\lambda) - \lambda\phi'(\lambda) & \text{if } s = \lambda, \end{cases}$$

and $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$. The same result can be obtained by applying formula (5.8).

13.7. Let $q = \lambda + i\tau$ where λ and τ are real numbers. Since

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-qx+sx} dx = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x} e^{-i\tau x+sx} dx = \frac{1}{q-s}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$, we can write that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}\{e^{-i\tau\eta-s(-\eta)}\} = \frac{1}{s-q}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ where η is a random variable with density function $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ for $x \geq 0$ and $f(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$. This shows at once that $1/(s-q) \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus by (5.1)

$$\mathbb{T}\left\{\frac{\phi(s)}{s-q}\right\} = -\frac{\phi(0)}{2q} + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{2\pi i} \int_{L_\epsilon} \frac{\phi(z)}{z(s-z)(z-q)} dz$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$. Since

$$\frac{1}{(s-z)(z-q)} = \frac{1}{(s-q)} \left[\frac{1}{s-z} - \frac{1}{q-z} \right]$$

if $s \neq q$ and $z \in L_\epsilon$, it follows that

$$\mathbb{T}\left\{\frac{\phi(s)}{s-q}\right\} = -\frac{\phi(0)}{2q} + \frac{1}{(s-q)} \left[\phi^+(s) - \frac{1}{2} \phi(0) \right] -$$

$$-\frac{s}{(s-q)q} \left[\phi^+(q) - \frac{1}{2} \phi(0) \right] = \frac{1}{(s-q)} \left[\phi^+(s) - \frac{s}{q} \phi^+(q) \right]$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ and $s \neq q$. For $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$ we obtain the formula to be proved by continuity.

13.8. Let ξ be a nonnegative random variable for which $\mathbb{E}\{e^{-s\xi}\} = \phi(s)$ if $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$. Let $P\{\theta \leq x\} = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ for $x \geq 0$ and $P\{\theta \leq x\} = 0$ for $x < 0$. Then

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s\theta}\} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + s}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > -\lambda$. If ξ and θ are independent, then

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s(\theta-\xi)}\} = \frac{\lambda\phi(-s)}{\lambda + s}$$

for $-\lambda < \text{Re}(s) \leq 0$, and

$$\underline{\underline{T}}\left\{\frac{\lambda\phi(-s)}{\lambda + s}\right\} = \underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s[\theta-\xi]^+}\} = 1 - \frac{\phi(\lambda)s}{\lambda + s}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > -\lambda$. For if $x \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s[\theta-\xi]^+} | \xi = x\} &= \lambda \int_0^x e^{-\lambda u} du + \lambda \int_x^\infty e^{-s(u-x) - \lambda u} du = \\ &= 1 - e^{-\lambda x} + e^{-\lambda x} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + s}, \end{aligned}$$

and unconditionally we have

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s[\theta-\xi]^+}\} = 1 - \phi(\lambda) + \phi(\lambda) \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + s}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > -\lambda$. The same result can also be obtained by using formula (5.9).

Note. If $\phi(s) \in R$, and $\phi^+(s) = \underline{\underline{T}}\{\phi(s)\}$, then we can write that

$$\underline{\underline{T}}\{\phi(-s)\} = \phi(-s) - \phi^+(-s) + \phi(0)$$

for $\text{Re}(s) = 0$. This follows from the following identity.

$$e^{-s[-x]^+} = e^{sx} - e^{s[x]^+} + 1$$

which holds for any s and real x .

Thus we can deduce the solution of Problem 13.8 from the solution of Problem 13.6 if $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$ and by analytic continuation we can obtain the solution for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ too.

13.9. Let ξ be a nonnegative random variable for which $\underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s\xi}\} = \phi(s)$ if $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$. Let

$$\underline{\underline{P}}\{\theta \leq x\} = \begin{cases} 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^j}{j!} & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s\theta}\} = \lambda^m / (\lambda + s)^m$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -\lambda$.

If ξ and θ are independent, then

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s(\xi-\theta)}\} = \lambda^m \phi(s) / (\lambda - s)^m$$

for $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) < \lambda$, and

$$\underline{\underline{T}}\left\{ \frac{\lambda^m \phi(s)}{(\lambda - s)^m} \right\} = \underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s[\xi-\theta]^+}\}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

If $x \geq 0$, then

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s[\xi-\theta]^+} | \xi = x\} = \frac{\lambda^m}{(m-1)!} \int_0^x e^{-s(x-u)-\lambda u} u^{m-1} du + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^j}{j!} =$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\lambda^m e^{-sx} - e^{-\lambda x} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{x^j}{j!} [\lambda^m (\lambda-s)^j - \lambda^j (\lambda-s)^m]}{(\lambda-s)^m} \quad \text{for } s \neq \lambda, \\ e^{-\lambda x} \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{x^j}{j!} \quad \text{for } s = \lambda. \end{array} \right.$$

Hence

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s[\xi-\theta]^+}\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\lambda^m \phi(s) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^j \phi^{(j)}(\lambda)}{j!} [\lambda^m (\lambda-s)^j - \lambda^j (\lambda-s)^m]}{(\lambda-s)^m} \quad \text{if } s \neq \lambda, \\ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{(-1)^j \lambda^j \phi^{(j)}(\lambda)}{j!} \quad \text{if } s = \lambda, \end{array} \right.$$

and $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$. The same result can be obtained by using formula (5.8).

13.10. If we use the same notation as in the solution of Problem 13.9, then we can write that

$$\underline{\underline{T}}\left\{ \frac{\lambda^m \phi(-s)}{(\lambda+s)^m} \right\} = \underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s[\theta-\xi]^+}\}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$. If $x \geq 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{E}\{e^{-s[\theta-\xi]^+} | \xi = x\} &= \int_0^x e^{-\lambda u} \frac{(\lambda u)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \lambda du + \int_x^\infty e^{-s(u-x)-\lambda u} \frac{(\lambda u)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \lambda du \\ &= 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^j}{j!} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^j}{j!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+s}\right)^{m-j} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that

$$\widetilde{T}\left\{\frac{\lambda^m \phi(-s)}{(\lambda+s)^m}\right\} = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^j \lambda^j \phi^{(j)}(\lambda)}{j!} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+s}\right)^{m-j}\right]$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

13.11. In this case we can write that

$$\gamma(s) = \frac{\pi_{m-1}(s)}{\prod_{j=1}^m (s+\alpha_j)}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ where $\pi_{m-1}(s)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$. Since $|\gamma(s)| \leq 1$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$, it follows that $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_j) > 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. By formula (5.8) we have

$$\widetilde{T}\{\phi(s)\gamma(-s)\} = \frac{s}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\epsilon} \frac{\phi(z)\gamma(-z)}{z(s-z)} dz$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \epsilon > 0$ where ϵ is a sufficiently small positive number. In the right half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$, the integrand has poles $z = s$ and $z = \alpha_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$). If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ are distinct and $s \neq \alpha_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$), then by Cauchy's theorem of residues we obtain that

$$\underline{\underline{T\{\phi(s)\gamma(-s)\}}} = \phi(s)\gamma(-s) + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{s\phi(\alpha_j)\pi_{m-1}(-\alpha_j)}{(s-\alpha_j)\alpha_j} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $s \neq \alpha_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$).

If the numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ are not distinct, then we can also apply Cauchy's theorem of residues to obtain $\underline{\underline{T\{\phi(s)\gamma(-s)\}}}$.

13.12. As in the solution of Problem 13.11 we can write that

$$\gamma(s) = \frac{\pi_{m-1}(s)}{\prod_{j=1}^m (s+\alpha_j)}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ where $\pi_{m-1}(s)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_j) > 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

By (5.1) we have

$$\underline{\underline{T\{\phi(s)\gamma(-s)\}}} = \frac{\phi(0)\gamma(0)}{2} + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{2\pi i} \int_{L_\epsilon} \frac{\phi(z)\pi_{m-1}(-z)}{z(s-z)(\alpha_1-z)\dots(\alpha_m-z)} dz$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$. If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ are distinct and if we use partial fraction expansion in the integrand and apply (5.1) repeatedly, then we obtain that

$$\underline{\underline{T\{\phi(s)\gamma(-s)\}}} = \phi^+(s)\gamma(-s) + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{s\phi^+(\alpha_j)\pi_{m-1}(-\alpha_j)}{(s-\alpha_j)\alpha_j} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $s \neq \alpha_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) where $\phi^+(s) = \underline{\underline{T\{\phi(s)\}}}$.

In general we can write that

$$\underline{\underline{T\{\phi(s)\gamma(-s)\}}} = \phi^+(s)\gamma(-s) + \frac{s G_{m-1}(s)}{(s-\alpha_1)(s-\alpha_2)\dots(s-\alpha_m)}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $s \neq \alpha_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) where $G_{m-1}(s)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$. The polynomial $G_{m-1}(s)$ is uniquely determined by the requirement that

$$z G_{m-1}(z) - \phi^+(z) \pi_{m-1}(-z) = 0$$

whenever $z = \alpha_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) and if the number α_j occurs r times among $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$, then $z = \alpha_j$ is a root of multiplicity r of the above equation.

13.13. As in the solution of Problem 13.11 we can write that

$$\gamma(s) = \frac{\pi_{m-1}(s)}{\prod_{j=1}^m (s + \alpha_j)}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ where $\pi_{m-1}(s)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_j) > 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

By (5.9) we have

$$\mathcal{T}\{\gamma(s)\phi(-s)\} = 1 + \frac{s}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\epsilon^-} \frac{\gamma(z)\phi(-z)}{z(s-z)} dz$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ where ϵ is a sufficiently small positive number. In the left half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(z) < 0$, the integrand has poles $z = -\alpha_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ are distinct, then by Cauchy's theorem of residues we obtain that

$$\mathcal{T}\{\gamma(s)\phi(-s)\} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{s\phi(\alpha_j)\pi_{m-1}(-\alpha_j)}{\alpha_j(s+\alpha_j)} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

If the numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ are not distinct, then we can also apply Cauchy's theorem of residues to obtain $\mathbb{T}\{\gamma(s)\phi(-s)\}$.

13.14. Let $\mathbb{P}\{v = j\} = pq^j$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\mathbb{E}\{s^v\} = p/(1-qs)$ for $|s| < 1/q$. If ξ and v are independent, then

$$\mathbb{E}\{s^{\xi-v}\} = \frac{psg(s)}{s-q}$$

for $q < |s| \leq 1$. Accordingly, we have

$$\mathbb{H}\left\{\frac{psg(s)}{s-q}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\{s^{[\xi-v]^+}\}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$. If $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{s^{[\xi-v]^+} | \xi = k\} &= p \sum_{j=0}^k q^j s^{k-j} + q^{k+1} = \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{ps^{k+1} - (1-s)q^{k+1}}{s-q} & \text{for } s \neq q, \\ (1+kp)q^k & \text{for } s = q. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply the above equation by $\mathbb{P}\{\xi = k\}$ and add for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then we obtain that

$$\mathbb{H}\left\{\frac{psg(s)}{s-q}\right\} = \begin{cases} \frac{psg(s) - (1-s)qg(s)}{s-q} & \text{if } s \neq q, \\ g(q) + pqg'(q) & \text{if } s = q, \end{cases}$$

and $|s| \leq 1$. The same result can be obtained by using (11.10).

13.15. If we use the same notation as in the solution of Problem 13.14, then we can write that

$$\widetilde{E}\{s^{v-\xi}\} = \frac{p g(1/s)}{1-qs}$$

for $1 \leq |s| < 1/q$. Accordingly, we have

$$\widetilde{\Pi}\left\{\frac{p g(1/s)}{1-qs}\right\} = \widetilde{E}\{s^{[v-\xi]^+}\} = 1 - \frac{q g(q)(1-s)}{1-qs}$$

for $|s| < 1/q$. For

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{E}\{s^{[v-\xi]^+} | \xi = k\} &= p \sum_{j=0}^k q^j + p \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} q^j s^{j-k} = \\ &= 1 - q^{k+1} + \frac{p q^{k+1} s}{1-qs} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $|s| < 1/q$. If we multiply this equation by $\widetilde{P}\{\xi = k\}$ and add for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then we obtain the above formula.

The same result can also be obtained by using formula (11.12).

Note. If $a(s) \in \widetilde{A}$ and $a^+(s) = \widetilde{\Pi}\{a(s)\}$, then we can write that

$$\widetilde{\Pi}\{a(\frac{1}{s})\} = a(\frac{1}{s}) - a^+(\frac{1}{s}) + a(1)$$

for $|s| = 1$. This follows easily from the following identity

$$s^{[-k]^+} = s^{-k} - s^{-[k]^+} + 1$$

which holds for any s and $k = 0, \underline{+1}, \underline{+2}, \dots$.

Thus we can deduce the solution of Problem 13.15 from the solution of Problem 13.14 if $|s| = 1$ and by analytic continuation we can obtain the solution for $|s| \leq 1$ too.

13.16. Let

$$\tilde{P}\{v = j\} = \binom{m+j-1}{m-1} p^m q^j$$

for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\tilde{E}\{s^v\} = p^m / (1-qs)^m$ for $|s| < 1/q$. If ξ and v are independent random variables, then

$$\tilde{E}\{s^{\xi-v}\} = \frac{p^m s^m g(s)}{(s-q)^m}$$

for $q < |s| \leq 1$ and

$$\tilde{\Pi}\left\{\frac{p^m s^m g(s)}{(s-q)^m}\right\} = \tilde{E}\{s^{[\xi-v]^+}\}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$. If $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}\{s^{[\xi-v]^+} | \xi = k\} &= p^m \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{m+j-1}{m-1} q^j s^{k-j+1} - p^m \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{m+j-1}{m-1} q^j = \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{p^m s^{m+k} - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m+k}{j} q^{m+k-j} [p^m (s-q)^j - p^j (s-q)^m]}{(s-q)^m} & \text{for } s \neq q, \\ \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m+k}{j} p^j q^{m+k-j} & \text{for } s = q. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply this equation by $\tilde{P}\{\xi = k\}$ and add for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then we obtain that

$$\tilde{\Pi}\left\{\frac{p^m s^m g(s)}{(s-q)^m}\right\} = \begin{cases} \frac{p^m s^m g(s)}{(s-q)^m} - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{d^j q^m g(q)}{dq^j}\right) \left[\frac{p^m (s-q)^j - p^j (s-q)^m}{(s-q)^m}\right] & \text{for } s \neq q, \\ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{p^j}{j!} \left(\frac{d^j q^m g(q)}{dq^j}\right) & \text{for } s = q, \end{cases}$$

and $|s| \leq 1$.

Note. In the above proof we used the following identity

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{a+j}{b} q^j s^{k-j} = \frac{s^{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^b \binom{a}{j} (s-q)^j q^{b-j} - q^{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^b \binom{a+k+1}{j} (s-q)^j q^{b-j}}{(s-q)^{b+1}}$$

which holds if $s \neq q$ and a and b are nonnegative integers. This follows from the relation

$$\frac{1}{b!} \frac{d^b}{dz^b} \left(z^a \sum_{j=0}^k (qz)^j s^{k-j} \right)_{z=1} = \frac{1}{b!} \frac{d^b}{dz^b} \left(z^a \frac{s^{k+1} - (qz)^{k+1}}{s - qz} \right)_{z=1}.$$

13.17. If we use the same notation as in the solution of Problem 13.16, then we can write that

$$\widetilde{E}\{s^{v-\xi}\} = p^m g(1/s)/(1-qs)^m$$

for $1 \leq |s| < 1/q$ and

$$\widetilde{\Pi}\left\{ \frac{p^m g(1/s)}{(1-qs)^m} \right\} = \widetilde{E}\{s^{[v-\xi]^+}\}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$. If $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{E}\{s^{[v-\xi]^+} | \xi = k\} &= p^m \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{m+j-1}{m-1} q^j + p^m \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \binom{m+j-1}{m-1} q^j s^{j-k} = \\ &= 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m+k}{j} p^j q^{m+k-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m+k}{j} p^j q^{m+k-j} \left(\frac{ps}{1-qs} \right)^{m-j} \end{aligned}$$

for $|s| < 1/q$ and hence it follows that

$$\prod_{\sim} \left\{ \frac{p^m g(1/s)}{(1-qs)^m} \right\} = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{p^j}{j!} \left(\frac{d^j q^m g(q)}{dq^j} \right) \left[1 - \left(\frac{qs}{1-qs} \right)^{m-j} \right]$$

for $|s| < 1/q$. The same result can also be obtained by using formula (11.12).

Note. in the above proof we used the relations

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{m-j-1}{m-1} q^j s^j = \frac{1}{(1-qs)^m},$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{m-j-1}{m-1} q^j s^j = \frac{1}{(1-qs)^m} - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m+k}{j} \frac{(qs)^{m+k-j}}{(1-qs)^{m-j}}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \binom{m-j-1}{m-1} q^j s^j = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m+k}{j} \frac{(qs)^{m+k-j}}{(1-qs)^{m-j}}$$

which hold for $|s| < 1/q$.

13.18. We can write that

$$b(s) = \frac{\pi_{m-1}(s)}{m \prod_{j=1}^m (1-\beta_j s)}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$ where $\pi_{m-1}(s)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$. Since $|b(s)| \leq 1$ for $|s| \leq 1$, it follows that $|\beta_j| < 1$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

By formula (11.10) we have

$$\prod_{\sim} \left\{ a(s) b\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \right\} = \frac{1-s}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{\epsilon}^{+}} \frac{g(z) b\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{(1-z)(s-z)} dz$$

for $|s| < 1 - \epsilon$ where ϵ is a sufficiently small positive number. In the unit circle $|z| < 1$ the integrand has poles at $z = s$ and $z = \beta_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$ are distinct and $s \neq \beta_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$), then by Cauchy's theorem of residues we obtain that

$$\Pi\{a(s)b(\frac{1}{s})\} = a(s)b(\frac{1}{s}) - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{(1-s)\beta_j^m a(\beta_j) \pi_{m-1}(1/\beta_j)}{(1-\beta_j)(s-\beta_j)} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\beta_j - \beta_i)}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$ and $s \neq \beta_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$). If $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$ are not distinct, then we can obtain $\Pi\{a(s)b(\frac{1}{s})\}$ in a similar way.

13.19. As in the solution of Problem 13.18 we can write that

$$b(s) = \frac{\pi_{m-1}(s)}{\prod_{j=1}^m (1-\beta_j s)}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$ where $\pi_{m-1}(s)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$ and $|\beta_j| < 1$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. By (11.12) we have

$$\Pi\{a(\frac{1}{s})b(s)\} = 1 + \frac{1-s}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\epsilon} \frac{a(\frac{1}{z}) b(z)}{(1-z)(s-z)} dz$$

for $|s| \leq 1$ where ϵ is a sufficiently small positive number. In the domain $|z| > 1$ the integrand has poles at $z = 1/\beta_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$ are distinct, then by Cauchy's theorem of residues we obtain that

$$\prod_{\sim} \left\{ a\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) b(s) \right\} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{(1-s)\beta_j^m a(\beta_j) \pi_{m-1}(1/\beta_j)}{(1-\beta_j)(1-s\beta_j)} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\beta_j - \beta_i)}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$. If $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$ are not distinct, then we can obtain $\prod_{\sim} \left\{ a\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) b(s) \right\}$ in a similar way.

13.20. Let $\{v_n\}$ be a sequence of mutually independent random variables for which $\tilde{P}\{v_n = j\} = h_j$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Define a sequence of random variables ξ_n ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) by the recurrence formula

$$\xi_n = [\xi_{n-1} + 1 - v_n]^+$$

where $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and ξ_0 is a random variable which takes on only non-negative integers and which is independent of $\{v_n\}$. It can easily be seen that $\{\xi_n\}$ is a homogeneous Markov chain with state space $I = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and transition probability matrix π . Accordingly, we can use the aforementioned representation of $\{\xi_n\}$ in finding the distribution of ξ_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let us introduce the notation

$$U_n(s) = \tilde{E}\{s^{\xi_n}\}$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $|s| \leq 1$ and

$$h(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j s^j$$

for $|s| \leq 1$. Then we can write that

$$U_n(s) = \prod_{\sim} \{U_{n-1}(s) sh\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)\}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By Theorem 10.1 we obtain that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(s) \rho^n = e^{\Pi\{\log[1-\rho sh(\frac{1}{s})]\}} \Pi\{U_0(s) \frac{e^{\Pi\{\log[1-\rho sh(\frac{1}{s})]\}}}{1 - \rho sh(\frac{1}{s})}\}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$ and $|\rho| < 1$. If, in particular, $P\{\xi_0 = 0\} = 1$, that is, $U_0(s) \equiv 1$, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(s) \rho^n = e^{\Pi\{\log[1-\rho sh(\frac{1}{s})]\}}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$ and $|\rho| < 1$.

We observe that if $|\rho| < 1$, then the equation

$$\rho h(z) = z$$

has exactly one root $z = \delta(\rho)$ in the unit circle $|z| < 1$. If we use the notation $N_n = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $N_0 = 0$, then by Lagrange's expansion we obtain that

$$[\delta(\rho)]^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{k}{n} P\{N_n = n-k\} \rho^n$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $|\rho| < 1$.

Thus by (12.2) we can write that

$$1 - \rho sh(\frac{1}{s}) = g^+(s, \rho) g^-(s, \rho)$$

for $|s| = 1$ and $|\rho| < 1$ where

$$g^+(s, \rho) = 1 - s\delta(\rho)$$

for $|s| \leq 1$ and

$$g^-(s, \rho) = \frac{1 - \rho \operatorname{sh}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)}{1 - s\delta(\rho)}$$

for $|s| \geq 1$. Hence by (12.13) we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(s) \rho^n = \frac{1}{1 - s\delta(\rho)} \Pi \left\{ \frac{U_0(s)[1 - s\delta(\rho)]}{1 - \rho \operatorname{sh}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)} \right\}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$ and $|\rho| < 1$. If, in particular, $P\{\xi_0 = 0\} = 1$, then by (12.14) we have

$$(1-\rho) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(s) \rho^n = \frac{1 - \delta(\rho)}{1 - s\delta(\rho)}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$ and $|\rho| < 1$, that is,

$$(1-\rho) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P\{\xi_n = k | \xi_0 = 0\} s^k \rho^n = \frac{1 - \delta(\rho)}{1 - s\delta(\rho)}$$

Hence

$$(1-\rho) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P\{\xi_n \geq k | \xi_0 = 0\} s^k \rho^n = \frac{1}{1 - s\delta(\rho)}$$

and

$$(1-\rho) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{\xi_n \geq k | \xi_0 = 0\} \rho^n = [\delta(\rho)]^k$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $|\rho| < 1$. From this formula we can conclude that if $k = 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$P\{\xi_n \geq k | \xi_0 = 0\} = \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{k}{j} P\{N_j = j - k\}$$

for $n = k, k+1, \dots$.

If $P\{\xi_0 = i\} = 1$ where $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$[1 - s\delta(\rho)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P\{\xi_n = k | \xi_0 = i\} s^k \rho^n = \Pi\left\{ \frac{s^i [1 - s\delta(\rho)]}{1 - \rho \text{sh}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)} \right\}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$ and $|\rho| < 1$. If we multiply this equation by w^i and add for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then we obtain that

$$[1 - s\delta(\rho)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P\{\xi_n = k | \xi_0 = i\} s^k \rho^n w^i = \Pi\left\{ \frac{1 - s\delta(\rho)}{(1 - sw)[1 - \rho \text{sh}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)]} \right\}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$, $|\rho| < 1$ and $|w| < 1$. Hence it follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P\{\xi_n \geq k | \xi_0 = i\} s^k \rho^n w^i = \frac{1}{(1-s)(1-\rho)(1-w)} - \frac{s}{(1-s)[1-s\delta(\rho)]} \Pi\left\{ \frac{1 - s\delta(\rho)}{(1-sw)[1 - \rho \text{sh}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)]} \right\}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$, $|\rho| < 1$ and $|w| < 1$. By (11.10) we can prove that

$$\Pi\left\{ \frac{1 - s\delta(\rho)}{(1-sw)[1 - \rho \text{sh}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)]} \right\} = \frac{1 - \delta(\rho)}{(1-w)(1-\rho)} + \frac{w(1-s)[w - \delta(\rho)]}{(1-w)(1-ws)[w - \rho \text{sh}(w)]}$$

The above formulas make it possible to find $P\{\xi_n \geq k | \xi_0 = i\}$ explicitly.

If $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $i = 0, 1, \dots$, then we have

$$P\{\xi_n \geq k | \xi_0 = i\} = P\{N_n \leq n+i-k\} + \sum_{j=k}^n P\{N_j = j-k\} P\{N_{n-j} > n+i-j\}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

If $h_0 > 0$ and $h_0 + h_1 < 1$, then $\{\xi_n\}$ is an irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain with state space $I = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Thus

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\xi_n = k\} = P_k$ exists for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and is independent of the initial distribution. There are two possibilities: either $P_k > 0$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k = 1$, or $P_k = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. In finding $\{P_k\}$ we may assume without loss of generality that $P\{\xi_0 = 0\} = 1$. Then by Abel's theorem we obtain that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k s^k = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow +1} (1-\rho) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(s) \rho^n = \frac{1-\delta}{1-s\delta}$$

where $\delta = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow +1} \delta(\rho)$. Accordingly, $P_k = (1-\delta)\delta^k$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

We can easily prove that $\delta = 0$ if $\alpha \leq 1$, whereas $0 < \delta < 1$ if $\alpha > 1$.

CHAPTER II

21.1. Denote by $\tau_k = \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \dots + \rho_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) the k -th ladder index for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and let $\tau_0 = 0$. Then $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k, \dots$ are mutually independent and identically distributed random variables. Since $P\{\tau_n > 0\} = 1/2$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, by Theorem 19.3 we obtain that

$$E\{z^{\rho_k}\} = \pi(z) = 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n}} = 1 - \sqrt{1-z}$$

for $|z| < 1$. Hence

$$\widetilde{E}\{z^{\tau_k}\} = (1 - \sqrt{1-z})^k = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{k}{2^{j-k}} \binom{2j-k}{j} \frac{z^j}{2^{2j-k}}$$

for $|z| < 1$, and consequently

$$\widetilde{P}\{\tau_k = j\} = \frac{k}{2^{j-k}} \binom{2j-k}{j} \frac{1}{2^{2j-k}} = \left[\binom{2j-k-1}{j-1} - \binom{2j-k-1}{j} \right] \frac{1}{2^{2j-k}}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq j$.

Obviously we have

$$\widetilde{P}\{v_n \geq k\} = \widetilde{P}\{\tau_k \leq n\}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. This implies that

$$\widetilde{P}\{v_n = k\} = \widetilde{P}\{\tau_k \leq n\} - \widetilde{P}\{\tau_{k+1} \leq n\} = \binom{2n-k}{n} \frac{1}{2^{2n-k}}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Note. The power series expansion of $[\pi(z)]^k$ can be proved either by mathematical induction if we take into consideration that

$$[\pi(z)]^k = 2[\pi(z)]^{k-1} - z[\pi(z)]^{k-2}$$

for $k = 2, 3, \dots$, or by Lagrange's expansion if we take into consideration that $w = \pi(z)$ is the only root of $w^2 - 2w + z = 0$ in the unit circle $|w| < 1$ whenever $|z| < 1$. The Lagrange's expansion of $[\pi(z)]^k$ is as follows:

$$[\pi(z)]^k = \frac{z^k}{2^k} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{2^n n!} \left(\frac{d^{n-1}}{da^{n-1}} \frac{a^{k-1} a^{2n}}{a^{2n}} \right)_{a=z/2}$$

for $|z| < 1$.

21.2. In this case the sequence $\{\tau_n ; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ describes the path of a one-dimensional random walk on the x-axis and $\tau_k = k+2m$ ($m = 0, 1, \dots$) if and only if the particle reaches the point $x = k$ for the first time at the $(k+2m)$ -th step. By Lemma 20.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}\{\tau_k = k+2m\} &= \left[\binom{k+2m-1}{m} - \binom{k+2m-1}{m-1} \right] p^{k+m} q^m = \\ &= \frac{k}{k+2m} \binom{k+2m}{m} p^{k+m} q^m \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The same result can also be obtained by using the reflection principle. See formula (36.49).

We note that by the solution of Problem 21.1 we can write that

$$\tilde{E}\{z^{\tau_k}\} = z^k \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{kp^k}{k+2m} \binom{k+2m}{m} (pqz^2)^m = \left[\frac{1 - \sqrt{1-4pqz^2}}{2qz} \right]^k$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $|z| < 1$. This formula can be proved directly as follows. Since

$$\tilde{P}\{\tau_1 = 2m+1\} = \frac{p}{2m+1} \binom{2m+1}{m} (pq)^m = \frac{p}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m} (pq)^m = (-1)^m 2p \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m+1} (4pq)^m$$

for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, therefore

$$\tilde{E}\{z^{\tau_1}\} = 2pz \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m+1} (-4pqz^2)^m = 2p \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-4pqz^2}}{4pqz}$$

for $|z| < 1$, and the relation $\tilde{E}\{z^{\tau_k}\} = [\tilde{E}\{z^{\tau_1}\}]^k$ proves the desired result.

Finally, we note that

$$\widetilde{P}\{\tau_k < \infty\} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 1-0} E\{z^{\tau_k}\} = \left(\frac{1 - |p-q|}{2q} \right)^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \geq q, \\ (p/q)^k & \text{if } p < q. \end{cases}$$

21.3. Let $u_n(s) = E\{s^{\eta_n}\}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $|s| \leq 1$, and $\gamma(s) = E\{s^{\xi_n}\} = ps + qs^{-1}$ for $s \neq 0$. We have $u_0(s) = 1$ and

$$u_n(s) = \prod_{\widetilde{m}} \{\gamma(s) u_{n-1}(s)\}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $|s| \leq 1$ where $\prod_{\widetilde{m}}$ is defined in Section 9. If $|s| = 1$ and $|z| < 1$, then we can write that

$$1 - z \gamma(s) = g^+(s, z) g^-(s, z)$$

where

$$g^+(s, z) = s - \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4pqz^2}}{2pz} = s - \frac{2qz}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4pqz^2}}$$

and

$$g^-(s, z) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4pqz^2}}{2s} - pz$$

satisfy the conditions (a_1) , (a_2) , (b_1) , (b_2) , (b_3) in Section 12. By Theorem 12.2 we have

$$(1-z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(s) z^n = \frac{g^+(1, z)}{g^+(s, z)} = \frac{1 - 2qz - \sqrt{1 - 4pqz^2}}{s - 2qz - s\sqrt{1 - 4pqz^2}}$$

for $|s| \leq 1$ and $|z| < 1$. Hence

$$(1-z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{P}\{\eta_n = k\} z^k = \left[\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4pqz^2}}{2qz} \right]^k - \left[\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4pqz^2}}{2qz} \right]^{k+1}$$

or

$$(1-z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{P}\{\eta_n \geq k\} z^k = \left[\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4pqz^2}}{2qz} \right]^k$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $|z| < 1$. Hence by the solution of Problem 21.2 we get

$$P\{\eta_n \geq k\} = \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{k}{k+2m} \binom{k+2m}{m} p^{k+m} q^m$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

We note that if τ_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) denotes the k -th ladder index for the sequence $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n, \dots$ and $\tau_0 = 0$ then we have the obvious relation $P\{\eta_n \geq k\} = P\{\tau_k \leq n\}$ for $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 0$. Thus $P\{\eta_n \geq k\}$ can also be obtained immediately by the solution of Problem 21.2.

21.4. For $k = 1, 2, \dots$ we can write that $\tau_k = \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \dots + \rho_k$ where $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k, \dots$ are mutually independent and identically distributed random variables. Since

$$P\{\tau_n > 0\} = P\left\{\frac{\zeta_n}{n^{1/\alpha}} > 0\right\} = P\{\xi_1 > 0\} = 1 - R_\alpha(0) = q$$

is independent of n , by Theorem 19.3 we obtain that

$$E\{z^{\rho_k}\} = \pi(z) = 1 - e^{-q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n}} = 1 - (1-z)^q$$

for $|z| < 1$. Hence we obtain that

$$P\{\rho_k = j\} = (-1)^{j-1} \binom{q}{j}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Since

$$E\{z^{\tau_k}\} = [1 - (1-z)^q]^k = \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \binom{k}{r} (1-z)^{rq},$$

it follows that

$$P\{\tau_k = j\} = (-1)^j \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^r \binom{k}{r} \binom{rq}{j}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Obviously $P\{\tau_k = j\} = 0$ for $j < k$. Accordingly,

$$P\{\tau_k \leq n\} = (-1)^n \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^r \binom{k}{r} \binom{rq-1}{n} = \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^r \binom{k}{r} \binom{n-rq}{n}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

We note that by (42.192) we have

$$q = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\alpha\pi} \arctan(\beta \tan \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}) .$$

See also Problem 46. 7.

21.5. Since $P\{\max_{1 \leq r \leq n} (N_r - r) < k\} = 0$ if $k < 0$, we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} E\{\max_{0 \leq r \leq n} (N_r - r)\} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 - P\{\max_{1 \leq r \leq n} (N_r - r) < k\}] = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 - P\{\max_{1 \leq r \leq n} (N_r - r) < k\}] - \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 P\{\max_{1 \leq r \leq n} (N_r - r) < k\} + P\{\max_{1 \leq r \leq n} (N_r - r) < 0\} \end{aligned}$$

and the probabilities in question can be obtained by (20.8) and (20.13).

Accordingly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\{\max_{0 \leq r \leq n} (N_r - r)\} &= E\{[N_n - n]^+\} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-j} (1 - \frac{\ell}{n-j}) P\{N_n - N_j = \ell, N_j > j\} - \\ &- E\{[n - N_n]^+\} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-j} (1 - \frac{\ell}{n-j}) P\{N_n - N_j = \ell, N_j \leq j\} + \frac{1}{n} E\{[n - N_n]^+\} = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} E\{[1 - \frac{N_n - N_j}{n-j}]^+\} + E\{N_n - n\} - \frac{(n-1)}{n} E\{[n - N_n]^+\} = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E\{[1 - \frac{N_n - N_j}{n-j}]^+\} + E\{N_n - n\} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} E\{[j - N_j]^+\} + E\{N_n - n\} = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} E\{[N_j - j]^+\} \end{aligned}$$

because if $E\{\underline{v}_j\} = \gamma < \infty$, then $E\{[j-N_j]^+\} = E\{j-N_j\} + E\{[N_j-j]^+\} = j(1-\gamma) + E\{[N_j-j]^+\}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $\gamma = \infty$, then both sides of the equation to be proved are infinite.

21.6. Now $\max_{0 \leq r \leq n} (r-N_r)$ is a discrete random variable which may take on the integers $0, 1, \dots, n$ only. Thus by (20.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\{\max_{0 \leq r \leq n} (r-N_r)\} &= \sum_{k=1}^n P\{\max_{1 \leq r \leq n} (r-N_r) \geq k\} = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{k}{j} P\{N_j = j-k\} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} E\{[j-N_j]^+\}. \end{aligned}$$

21.7. We have

$$E\{\eta_n\} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} E\{\xi_j^+\}.$$

If $E\{\xi_n^+\} = \infty$, then both sides of the above equation are infinite. Let us suppose that $E\{\xi_n^+\} < \infty$. Then $E\{\eta_n\} < \infty$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ because, obviously, $E\{\eta_n\} \leq n E\{\xi_1^+\}$. Since by (15.1)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E\{e^{-s\eta_n}\} \rho^n = \exp\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^k}{k} E\{e^{-s\xi_k^+}\}\right\}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $|\rho| < 1$, it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\{\eta_n\} \rho^n = \frac{1}{1-\rho} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^k}{k} E\{\xi_k^+\}$$

for $|\rho| < 1$. If we form the coefficient of ρ^n on the right-hand side,

then we obtain $E\{\eta_n\}$ which was to be determined.

We note that in a similar way we can express $E\{\eta_n^r\}$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots$ with the aid of the moments $E\{\zeta_j^+ s\}$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots, r$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

21.8. Let us introduce the following notation: $P\{\chi_n \leq x\} = H(x)$, $P\{\chi_1 + \dots + \chi_n \leq x\} = H_n(x)$, $E\{e^{-s\chi_n}\} = \psi(s)$, $E\{e^{-s\eta_n}\} = \phi_n(s)$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(\lambda) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda u} \frac{(\lambda u)^k}{k!} \left[\int_0^u \left(1 - \frac{x}{u}\right) dH_k(u) \right] \lambda du = \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \lambda^{k+1}}{k!} \frac{d^{k-1}}{d\lambda^{k-1}} \left(\frac{[\psi(\lambda)]^k}{\lambda^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

By Theorem 15.3 we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(s) \rho^n = e^{-T\{\log[1 - \frac{\lambda\rho\psi(s)}{\lambda - s}]\}}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $|\rho| < 1$. By the first example in Section 18 we can also write that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(s) \rho^n = \frac{\lambda[\gamma(\rho) - s]}{\gamma(\rho)[\lambda - s - \lambda\rho\psi(s)]}$$

where $s = \gamma(\rho)$ is the only root of the equation

$$\lambda - s - \lambda\rho\psi(s) = 0$$

in the domain $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ whenever $|\rho| < 1$.

By Lagrange's expansion we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho^k a_k(\lambda)$$

for $|\rho| < 1$, and consequently

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(s) \rho^n = \left[1 - \frac{s}{\lambda-s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho^k a_k(\lambda) \right] \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda \rho \psi(s)}{\lambda-s} \right)^j$$

for $|\lambda \rho \psi(s)| < |s-\lambda|$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$. Hence

$$\phi_n(s) = \left(\frac{\lambda \psi(s)}{\lambda-s} \right)^n - \frac{s}{\lambda-s} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k(\lambda) \left(\frac{\lambda \psi(s)}{\lambda-s} \right)^{n-k}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $s \neq \lambda$. If we write $s = \lambda - (\lambda-s)$ in front of the sum, then by inversion we obtain that

$$P\{n_n \leq x\} = K_n(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k(\lambda) [K_{n-k}^*(x) - K_{n-k}(x)]$$

for any x where

$$K_n(x) = \frac{\lambda^n}{(n-1)!} \int_0^{\infty} H_n(u+x) e^{-\lambda u} u^{n-1} du \quad \text{and} \quad K_n^*(x) = \frac{\lambda^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^{\infty} H_n(u+x) e^{-\lambda u} u^n du$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $K_0(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 0$, $K_0(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$, and $K_0^*(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 0$, $K_0^*(x) = e^{\lambda x}$ for $x < 0$. Here we took into consideration that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} dK_n(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda \psi(s)}{\lambda-s} \right)^n \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} dK_n^*(x) = \frac{\lambda^{n+1} [\psi(s)]^n}{(\lambda-s)^{n+1}}$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) < \lambda$.

If $x < 0$, then obviously $\widetilde{P}\{\eta_n \leq x\} = 0$. Furthermore, $\widetilde{P}\{\eta_n = 0\} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(s) = a_n(\lambda)$.

We can also write down that

$$\widetilde{P}\{\eta_n \leq x\} = (-1)^n I_n(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} a_k(\lambda) [I_{n-k}^*(x) + I_{n-k}(x)]$$

for $x \geq 0$ where

$$I_n(x) = \frac{\lambda^{n-1} e^{\lambda x}}{(n-1)!} \int_0^x e^{-\lambda y} (x-y)^{n-1} dH_n(y) \quad \text{and} \quad I_n^*(x) = \frac{\lambda^n e^{\lambda x}}{n!} \int_0^x e^{-\lambda y} (x-y)^n dH_n(y)$$

for $x \geq 0$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $I_0(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 0$ and $I_0^*(x) = (e^{\lambda x} - 1)/\lambda$

for $x \geq 0$. Here we used that $\widetilde{P}\{\eta_n = 0\} = a_n(\lambda)$,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dI_n(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda \psi(s)}{s - \lambda}\right)^n \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dI_n^*(x) = \frac{\lambda^{n+1} [\psi(s)]^n}{(s - \lambda)^{n+1}}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > \lambda$, and

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sx + \lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \lambda dx = \left(\frac{\lambda}{s - \lambda}\right)^n$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\text{Re}(s) > \lambda$.

21.9. Let us introduce the following notation: $\widetilde{P}\{\chi_n \leq x\} = H(x)$, $\widetilde{P}\{\chi_1 + \dots + \chi_n \leq x\} = H_n(x)$, $H_0(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 0$, $H_0(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$ and

$$F_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^j}{j!} & \text{for } x \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{For } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, let $\widetilde{E}\{e^{-sX_n}\} = \psi(s)$ and $\widetilde{E}\{e^{-s\eta_n}\} = \phi_n(s)$ for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

Since in this case

$$\widetilde{E}\{e^{-s\theta_n}\} = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + s}\right)^m$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > -\lambda$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we can apply the solution of the second example in Section 18 to obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(s) \rho^n = \frac{\lambda^m}{(\lambda - s)^m - \lambda^m \rho \psi(s)} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{s}{\gamma_i(\rho)}\right)$$

for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $|\rho| < 1$ where $s = \gamma_i(\rho)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) are the m roots of the equation

$$(\lambda - s)^m - \lambda^m \rho \psi(s) = 0$$

in the domain $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$ whenever $|\rho| < 1$.

By forming the Lagrange expansion of the root $\gamma_i(\rho)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $|\rho| < 1$, we can write that

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{s}{\gamma_i(\rho)}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{s}{\lambda}\right)^m + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\lambda}\right)^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,r}(\lambda) \rho^k$$

for any s where $a_{k,r}(\lambda)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots; r = 0, 1, \dots, m$) are appropriate functions of λ .

If $|\lambda^m \rho \psi(s)| < |(\lambda-s)^m|$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$, then obviously

$$\frac{\lambda^m}{(\lambda-s)^m - \lambda^m \rho \psi(s)} = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-s}\right)^m \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^m \rho \psi(s))^j}{(\lambda-s)^m}.$$

By using these expansions we can conclude that

$$\phi_n(s) = \frac{(\lambda^m \psi(s))^n}{(\lambda-s)^m} + \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k,r}(\lambda) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-s}\right)^{(n-k+1)m-r} [\psi(s)]^{n-k}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $s \neq \lambda$. Hence it follows by inversion that

$$P\{\tilde{n}_n \leq x\} = K_{n,mn}(x) + \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k,r}(\lambda) K_{n-k, (n-k+1)m-r}(x)$$

for any x where

$$K_{n,j}(x) = \frac{\lambda^j}{(j-1)!} \int_0^{\infty} H_n(u+x) e^{-\lambda u} u^{j-1} du$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and $K_{n,0}(x) = H_n(x)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Here we used that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} dK_{n,j}(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-s}\right)^j [\psi(s)]^n$$

for $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) < \lambda$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

If $x < 0$, then obviously $P\{\tilde{n}_n \leq x\} = 0$. Furthermore, $P\{\tilde{n}_n = 0\} =$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(s) = a_{n,m}(\lambda).$$

We can also write down that

$$\widetilde{P}\{\eta_n \leq x\} = (-1)^{mn} I_{n,mn}(x) + \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{(n-k+1)m-r} a_{k,r}(\lambda) I_{n-k, (n-k+1)m-r}(x)$$

for $x \geq 0$

$$I_{n,j}(x) = \frac{\lambda^{j-1} e^{\lambda x}}{(j-1)!} \int_0^x e^{-\lambda y} (x-y)^{j-1} dH_n(y)$$

for $x \geq 0$, $n \geq 0$, $j \geq 1$ and $I_{n,0}(x) = H_n(x)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Here we used that

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx} dI_{n,j}(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-s}\right)^j [\psi(s)]^n$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \lambda$ and $n \geq 0$, $j \geq 0$, and that $\widetilde{P}\{\eta_n = 0\} = a_{n,m}(\lambda)$.

We note that

$$\sum_{r=0}^m a_{k,r}(\lambda) = 0$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,0}(\lambda) \rho^k = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\gamma_i(\rho)}\right).$$

21.10. We shall prove that the probability in question depends only on n and k and thus we can denote this probability by $P(n, k) = S(n, k)/n!$ where $S(n, k)$ is the number of favorable cases. We shall prove that

$$P(n, k) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{k}{n} & \text{if } 0 \leq k < n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \geq n. \end{cases}$$

If $n = 1$, then $P(1, 0) = 1$ and $P(1, k) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$. Let us suppose that the above formula is true for every k if n is replaced by $n-1$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots$). We shall prove that it is true for every k and n . Thus by mathematical induction we can conclude that it is true for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If $k \geq n$, then obviously $P(n, k) = 0$. Let $0 \leq k < n$. Since the last number drawn may be k_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), we have

$$S(n, k) = \sum_{i=1}^n S(n-1, k-k_i),$$

or in other words,

$$P(n, k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n P(n-1, k-k_i).$$

If $0 \leq k < n$, then by the induction hypothesis the right-hand side becomes

$$P(n, k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{k-k_i}{n-1}\right) = 1 - \frac{k}{n-1} + \frac{k}{n(n-1)} = 1 - \frac{k}{n}.$$

This proves that $P(n, k)$ depends only on n and k and that the aforementioned formula is true.

CHAPTER III

27.1. By Theorem 23.1 we have

$$P\{\Delta_n = j\} = P\{\Delta_j = j\} P\{\Delta_{n-j} = 0\}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq n$ and by Theorem 24.1 we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{\Delta_n = n\} \rho^n = \exp\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n} P\{\zeta_n > 0\}\right\}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{\Delta_n = 0\} \rho^n = \exp\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n} P\{\zeta_n \leq 0\}\right\}$$

for $|\rho| < 1$. Since in our case $P\{\zeta_n > 0\} = \frac{1}{2}$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{\Delta_n = n\} \rho^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{\Delta_n = 0\} \rho^n = (1-\rho)^{-1/2}$$

for $|\rho| < 1$. Thus

$$P\{\Delta_n = n\} = P\{\Delta_n = 0\} = \binom{2n}{n} \frac{1}{2^{2n}}$$

and

$$P\{\Delta_n = j\} = \binom{2j}{j} \binom{2n-2j}{n-j} \frac{1}{2^{2n}}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq n$.

We note that if Δ_n^* denotes the number of nonnegative elements in the sequence $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$, then obviously $P\{\Delta_n^* = j\} = P\{\Delta_n = j\}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$.

Remark. We can also obtain $P\{\Delta_n = j\}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ in a simpler way. First, we observe that $P\{\Delta_n = n\} = P\{\Delta_n = 0\}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since $P\{\xi_r \leq x\} = P\{-\xi_r \leq x\}$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have $P\{\Delta_n = n\} = P\{\Delta_n^* = 0\}$ and since $P\{\zeta_r = 0\} = 0$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have $P\{\Delta_n^* = 0\} = P\{\Delta_n = 0\}$. Thus we can write that

$$P\{\Delta_n = j\} = P\{\Delta_j = 0\} P\{\Delta_{n-j} = 0\}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq n$. Hence

$$(*) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n P\{\Delta_j = 0\} P\{\Delta_{n-j} = 0\} = 1$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. From this equation we obtain step by step that

$$P\{\Delta_n = 0\} = \binom{2n}{n} \frac{1}{2^{2n}} = (-1)^n \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n}$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

If we multiply (*) by z^n and add for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then we obtain that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{\Delta_n = 0\} z^n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z}}$$

for $|z| < 1$, and this also yields the above result.

27.2. Since in this case $P\{\zeta_n > 0\} = q$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ where

$$q = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\alpha\pi} \arctan(\beta \tan \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}),$$

in exactly the same way as in the solution of Problem 27.1 we obtain that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{\Delta_n = n\} \rho^n = (1-\rho)^{-q}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{\Delta_n = 0\} \rho^n = (1-\rho)^{q-1}$$

for $|\rho| < 1$. Thus

$$P\{\Delta_n = j\} = P\{\Delta_j = j\} P\{\Delta_{n-j} = 0\} = (-1)^n \binom{-q}{j} \binom{q-1}{n-j}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq n$.

We note that if Δ_n^* denotes the number of nonnegative elements in the sequence $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$, then we have $P\{\Delta_n^* = j\} = P\{\Delta_n = j\}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ because the random variables $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n, \dots$ have a continuous distribution function.

27.3 By Theorem 22.1 we can write that

$$P\{\Delta_n = k\} = P\{\Delta_k = k\} P\{\Delta_{n-k} = 0\}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Define $P\{\Delta_n = 0\} = a_n(\rho)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} P\{\Delta_n = n\} &= P\{\xi_1 = 1\} P\{\zeta_i - \zeta_1 \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \\ &= p P\{-\zeta_r \leq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq r \leq n-1\} = p a_{n-1}(q) \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we can write that

$$P\{\Delta_n = k\} = p a_{k-1}(q) a_{n-k}(p)$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus it remains only to determine $a_n(p)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $0 < p < 1$.

By the solution of Problem 21.3 we have

$$a_n(p) = P\{\eta_n = 0\} = 1 - p \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{2m}{m} \frac{(pq)^m}{m+1}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $a_0(p) = 1$.

Remark. We can also determine $a_n(p)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $0 < p < 1$ as follows. Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^n P\{\Delta_n = k\} = 1,$$

we get

$$p \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k-1}(q) a_{n-k}(p) = 1 - a_n(p)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $a_0(p) = 1$. If we introduce the generating function

$$A(z, p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(p) z^n$$

for $|z| < 1$ and $0 < p < 1$, then we get

$$pz(1-z) A(z, p) A(z, q) + (1-z) A(z, p) - 1 = 0.$$

If we interchange p and q in the above equation, then we obtain that

$$qz(1-z) A(z,p) A(z,q) + (1-z) A(z,q) - 1 = 0 .$$

Consequently, we have

$$q A(z,p) - \frac{q}{1-z} = p A(z,q) - \frac{p}{1-z} .$$

This implies that

$$q a_n(p) - q = p a_n(q) - p$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$qz(1-z)[A(z,p)]^2 + (1-2qz)A(z,p) - 1 = 0 .$$

Accordingly,

$$A(z,p) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - 4pqz^2} - (1 - 2qz)}{2qz(1-z)}$$

for $0 < p < 1$ and $|z| < 1$. Finally, we obtain that

$$a_n(p) = 1 - \frac{1}{2q} + \frac{1}{2q} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{\frac{n+1}{2}}{j} (4pq)^j$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $0 < p < 1$. This is in agreement with the previous result.

27.4. The random variables v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are interchangeable random variables taking on nonnegative integers and having sum $v_1 + \dots + v_n = n$. By Theorem 26.3 we have

$$\tilde{P}\{\Delta_n^* = j\} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=n-j}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i(n-i)} P\{N_i = i+1\} & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-i)} P\{N_i = i+1\} & \text{for } j = n, \end{cases}$$

and evidently

$$P\{\tilde{N}_i = i+1\} = \binom{n}{i+1} \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^{i+1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{n}\right)^{n-i-1}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Thus we can write that

$$P\{\tilde{\Delta}_n^* = j\} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^j \frac{1}{r} \binom{n}{r-1} \left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^{r-1} \left(1 - \frac{r}{n}\right)^{n-r}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$. For $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ this is obvious. For $j = n$ we used that

$$\sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{r} \binom{n-1}{r-1} \left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^{r-1} \left(1 - \frac{r}{n}\right)^{n-r} = 1$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We note that

$$P\{\tilde{\Delta}_n^* = n\} = \frac{(n+1)^{n-1}}{n^n}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

27.5. If we apply Theorem 22.2 to the random variables $\xi_i = 1 - v_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} P\{\tilde{\Delta}_n^{(c)} = j\} &= P\{N_r < r-c \text{ for } j \text{ subscripts } r = 1, 2, \dots, n\} = \\ &= P\{j - N_j > r - N_r - c \text{ for } 0 \leq r < j \text{ and } j - N_j \geq r - N_r - c \text{ for } j \leq r \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\tilde{\Delta}_n^{(c)} = j$ and $j \geq 1$, then there is an r such that $N_r = r - c$. Hence $N_j \leq j$ necessarily holds. Consequently, we can write that

$$P\{\Delta_n^{(c)} = j\} = \sum_{\ell=0}^j P\{N_j - N_r < j-r+c \text{ for } 0 \leq r < j, N_j = \ell, N_r - N_j \geq r-j-c$$

$$\text{for } j \leq r \leq n\} =$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^j [P\{N_j = \ell\} - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (1 - \frac{\ell-i-c}{j-i}) P\{N_i = i+c, N_j = \ell\}] \cdot$$

$$[1 - \sum_{r=c+1+j}^n \frac{c+1}{r-j} P\{N_r - N_j = r-c-1 | N_j = \ell\}] .$$

In the sum the first factor can be obtained by (20.13) and the second factor by (20.17) . Accordingly, we have

$$P\{\Delta_n^{(c)} = j\} = \sum_{\ell=0}^j [P\{N_j = \ell\} - \sum_{r=c+1+j}^n \frac{c+1}{r-j} P\{N_j = \ell, N_r - N_j = r-c-1\}] -$$

$$- \sum_{\ell=0}^j \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (1 - \frac{\ell-i-c}{j-i}) [P\{N_i = i+c, N_j = \ell\} - \sum_{r=c+1+j}^n \frac{c+1}{r-j} P\{N_i = i+c, N_j = \ell,$$

$$N_r - N_j = r-c-1\}]$$

for $c = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-c$.

CHAPTER IV

34.1. Denote by Δ_n the number of positive partial sums in the sequence $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$, and by Δ_n^* the number of nonnegative partial sums in the sequence $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$. By Theorem 29.1 we have

$$P\{\alpha_{nk} = j\} = \sum_{\max(0, j+k-n) \leq r \leq \min(j, k)} P\{\Delta_j^* = r\} P\{\Delta_{n-j} = k-r\}.$$

By Theorem 23.1 we have

$$P\{\Delta_n = k\} = P\{\Delta_k = k\} P\{\Delta_{n-k} = 0\}$$

and

$$P\{\Delta_n^* = k\} = P\{\Delta_k^* = k\} P\{\Delta_{n-k}^* = 0\}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$. Furthermore, by Theorem 24.1 we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{\Delta_n = n\} \rho^n = \exp\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n} P\{\zeta_n > 0\} \right\},$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{\Delta_n = 0\} \rho^n = \exp\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n} P\{\zeta_n \leq 0\} \right\},$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{\Delta_n^* = n\} \rho^n = \exp\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n} P\{\zeta_n \geq 0\} \right\},$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{\Delta_n^* = 0\} \rho^n = \exp\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n} P\{\zeta_n < 0\} \right\}$$

for $|\rho| < 1$. Accordingly, $P\{\alpha_{nk} = j\}$ is completely determined by the probabilities $P\{\zeta_r > 0\}$ and $P\{\zeta_r < 0\}$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

34.2. It follows easily from (31.9) that

$$(1-\rho) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \phi_{nk}(s) \rho^n = \frac{\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \phi_{nm}(s) \rho^n}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{nm}(s) \rho^n}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $|\rho| < 1$.

In our particular case, $\phi_{nm}(s) = \phi_n(s)$ is given explicitly for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ in the solution of Problem 21.7. Thus by the above formula we can also determine explicitly $\phi_{nk}(s)$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$.

We note that by Theorem 31.2 and by the first example in Section 18 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\omega)(1-\rho) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \phi_{nk}(s) \rho^n \omega^k &= \\ &= 1-\omega \frac{\gamma(\rho)[\gamma(\rho\omega)-s] [\lambda-s-\lambda\rho\psi(s)]}{\gamma(\rho\omega)[\gamma(\rho)-s] [\lambda-s-\lambda\rho\omega\psi(s)]} \end{aligned}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$, $|\rho| < 1$, $|\rho\omega| < 1$ where $s = \gamma(\rho)$ is the only root of the equation

$$\lambda-s-\lambda\rho\psi(s) = 0$$

in the domain $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ whenever $|\rho| < 1$.

CHAPTER V

40.1. We can write that

$$p_n(a,b) = pP^*(n-1, a-1)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ where

$$P^*(n,j) = pP^*(n-1, j-1) + qP^*(n-1, j+1)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $-b < j < a$, $P^*(n,a) = P^*(n,-b) = 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $P^*(0,0) = 1$, and $P^*(0,j) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$. See (37.29). Let

$$U_j(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^*(n,j)z^n$$

for $-b \leq j \leq a$. Then $U_a(z) \equiv U_{-b}(z) \equiv 0$ and

$$U_j(z) = pzU_{j-1}(z) + qzU_{j+1}(z) + P^*(0,j)$$

for $-b < j < a$. Since the equation $qz\omega^2 - \omega + pz = 0$ has two roots

$$\omega_1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4pqz^2}}{2qz} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4pqz^2}}{2qz}$$

for $z \neq 0$ and $|4pqz^2| < 1$, the general solution of the above difference equation can be expressed as

$$U_j(z) = A\omega_1^j - B\omega_2^j - \delta(j) \frac{\omega_1^j - \omega_2^j}{qz(\omega_1 - \omega_2)}$$

where A and B are arbitrary constants and $\delta(j) = 0$ for $j \leq 0$ and $\delta(j) = 1$ for $j \geq 1$. The requirements $U_a(z) = U_{-b}(z) = 0$ yield that

$$A = \frac{\omega_1^b (\omega_1^a - \omega_2^a)}{qz(\omega_1 - \omega_2)(\omega_1^{a+b} - \omega_2^{a+b})} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \frac{\omega_2^b (\omega_1^a - \omega_2^a)}{qz(\omega_1 - \omega_2)(\omega_1^{a+b} - \omega_2^{a+b})}$$

Accordingly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n(a,b)z^n &= pz U_{a-1}(z) = \frac{p(\omega_1 \omega_2)^{a-1} (\omega_1^b - \omega_2^b)}{q(\omega_1^{a+b} - \omega_2^{a+b})} = \\ &= (2pz)^a \left\{ \frac{[1 + \sqrt{1-4pqz^2}]^b - [1 - \sqrt{1-4pqz^2}]^b}{[1 + \sqrt{1-4pqz^2}]^{a+b} - [1 - \sqrt{1-4pqz^2}]^{a+b}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for $|4pqz^2| < 1$. We can obtain $p_n(a,b)$ explicitly either by (37.24) or by (37.25).

40.2. In exactly the same way as in the solution of Problem 40.1 we obtain that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{\rho = n\}z^n = pz U_{a-1}(z)$$

for $|4pqz^2| < 1$ where

$$U_j(z) = pz U_{j-1}(z) + qz U_{j+1}(z) + P^*(0,j)$$

for $-\infty < j < a$, $U_a(z) \equiv 0$, $P^*(0,0) = 1$ and $P^*(0,j) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$.

Since $|U_j(z)| \leq 1/(1-|z|)$ for all $j < a$ and $|z| < 1$, it follows that in the general solution $B = 0$ and A is determined by the condition

$U_a(z) \equiv 0$. Thus we obtain that

$$U_j(z) = \frac{(\omega_1^a - \omega_2^a)\omega_1^j - \delta(j)(\omega_1^j - \omega_2^j)\omega_1^a}{qz(\omega_1 - \omega_2)\omega_1^a}$$

for $j < a$ and $|4pqz^2| < 1$. Finally

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{\rho = n\}z^n = \omega_2^a = \left[\frac{1 - \sqrt{1-4pqz^2}}{2qz} \right]^a$$

for $|4pqz^2| < 1$. The probability $P\{\rho = a + 2m\}$ for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is given explicitly by (36.42).

40.3. Let us consider a one-dimensional symmetric random walk. Denote by n_{2n} the position of the particle at the $2n$ -th step. Then n_{2n} has the characteristic function

$$E\{e^{itn_{2n}}\} = (\cos t)^{2n}$$

and

$$Q_{2n} = P\{n_{2n} = 0\} = \binom{2n}{n} \frac{1}{2^{2n}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\cos t)^{2n} dt = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos t)^{2n} dt.$$

This relation can also be proved directly. Let us define

$$I_k = \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos t)^k dt$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then $I_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $I_1 = 1$ and by integrating by parts we obtain that

$$I_k = \frac{(k-1)}{k} I_{k-2}$$

for $k = 2, 3, \dots$. Hence

$$I_{2n} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1.3 \dots (2n-1)}{2.4 \dots 2n} = \frac{\pi}{2} \binom{2n}{n} \frac{1}{2^{2n}} = \frac{\pi}{2} Q_{2n}$$

which is in agreement with the preceding formula.

Since $0 < \cos t < 1$ for $0 < t < \frac{\pi}{2}$, therefore

$$I_{2n+1} < I_{2n} < I_{2n-1}$$

or

$$\frac{2n}{2n+1} < \frac{I_{2n}}{I_{2n-1}} < 1$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If we take into consideration that

$$I_{2n-1} = \frac{2.4 \dots (2n-2)}{3.5 \dots (2n-1)} = \frac{\pi}{4n I_{2n}} = \frac{1}{2n Q_{2n}},$$

then it follows that

$$\frac{2n}{2n+1} < n\pi Q_{2n}^2 < 1$$

which implies the inequalities to be proved.

From the last inequalities it follows that

$$\frac{4}{\pi} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4n Q_{2n}^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3^2 \cdot 5^2 \dots (2n-3)^2 (2n-1)^2}{2 \cdot 4^2 \cdot 6^2 \dots (2n-2)^2 2n} = \frac{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 7 \dots}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \dots}$$

This product representation of $4/\pi$ was found in 1665 by J. Wallis [66].

40.4. We have

$$(x-\omega_1)(x-\omega_2)\dots(x-\omega_n) = a_0 x^n - a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n a_n$$

or

$$(1-x\omega_1)(1-x\omega_2) \dots (1-x\omega_n) = a_0 - a_1x + \dots + (-1)^n a_n x^n.$$

If $|x|$ is sufficiently small, then we can write that

$$a_0 - a_1x + \dots + (-1)^n a_n x^n = e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \log(1-x\omega_i)} = e^{-s_1x - \frac{s_2}{2}x^2 - \frac{s_3}{3}x^3 - \dots}.$$

Hence it follows easily the relation to be proved.

We note that by the relation

$$\begin{aligned} s_1x + \frac{s_2}{2}x^2 + \frac{s_3}{3}x^3 + \dots &= -\log(a_0 - a_1x + \dots + (-1)^n a_n x^n) = \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1x - a_2x^2 + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} a_n x^n)^r}{r} \end{aligned}$$

we can also express s_k with the aid of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .

40.5. Denote by $\xi_1^*, \xi_2^*, \dots, \xi_m^*$ the variables $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m$ arranged in increasing order of magnitude. In general, we have

$$\delta_m^+ = \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} [F_m(x) - F(x)] = \max_{1 \leq r \leq m} [F_m(\xi_r^*) - F(\xi_r^*)]$$

and

$$\delta_m^- = \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} [F(x) - F_m(x)] = \max_{1 \leq r \leq m} [F(\xi_r^*) - F_m(\xi_r^* - 0)].$$

If $F(x)$ is a continuous distribution function, then in finding the distributions of δ_m^+ and δ_m^- we may assume without loss of generality that $F(x) = x$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Then $F(\xi_r^*) = \xi_r^*$ and $F_m(\xi_r^*) = \frac{r}{m}$ with probability 1. In this case

$$\delta_m^+ = \max_{1 \leq r \leq m} \left[\frac{r}{m} - \xi_r^* \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_m^- = \max_{1 \leq r \leq m} \left[\xi_r^* - \frac{r-1}{m} \right].$$

If in δ_m^+ we replace ξ_r^* by $1 - \xi_{m+1-r}^*$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then we obtain a new random variable which has exactly the same distribution as δ_m^+ .

This new random variable

$$\max_{1 \leq r \leq m} \left[\xi_{m+1-r}^* - \frac{m-r}{m} \right] = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left[\xi_i^* - \frac{i-1}{m} \right],$$

is evidently δ_m^- .

40.6. Denote by $\xi_1^*, \xi_2^*, \dots, \xi_m^*$ the random variables $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m$ arranged in increasing order of magnitude and by $\eta_1^*, \eta_2^*, \dots, \eta_n^*$ the random variables $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n$ arranged in increasing order of magnitude. In general we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{m,n}^+ &= \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} [F_m(x) - G_n(x)] = \max_{1 \leq r \leq m} [F_m(\eta_r^*) - G_n(\eta_r^* - 0)] \\ &= \max_{1 \leq r \leq m} [F_m(\xi_r^*) - G_n(\xi_r^*)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{m,n}^- &= \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} [G_n(x) - F_m(x)] = \max_{1 \leq r \leq n} [G_n(\eta_r^*) - F_m(\eta_r^*)] = \\ &= \max_{1 \leq r \leq m} [G_n(\xi_r^*) - F_m(\xi_r^* - 0)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define v_r ($r = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$) as the number of variables $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m$ falling in the interval $(\eta_{r-1}^*, \eta_r^*]$ where $\eta_0^* = -\infty$ and $\eta_{n+1}^* = \infty$. Let $N_r = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_r$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$. Clearly,

$N_{n+1} = m$. Then $F_m(\eta_r^*) = N_r/m$, $G_n(\eta_r^*) = r/n$ and $G_n(\eta_r^* - 0) = (r-1)/n$ with probability 1, and we can write that

$$\delta_{m,n}^+ = \max_{1 \leq r \leq n} \left[\frac{N_r}{m} - \frac{r-1}{n} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{m,n}^- = \max_{1 \leq r \leq n} \left[\frac{r}{n} - \frac{N_r}{m} \right].$$

If $F(x) \equiv G(x)$ is a continuous distribution function, then v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n+1} are interchangeable random variables. If in $\delta_{m,n}^+$ we replace v_r by v_{n+2-r} for $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then we obtain a new random variable which has exactly the same distribution as $\delta_{m,n}^+$. This new random variable,

$$\max_{1 \leq r \leq n} \left[\frac{n-r+1}{n} - \frac{N_{n+1-r}}{m} \right] = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left[\frac{i}{n} - \frac{N_i}{m} \right],$$

is evidently $\delta_{m,n}^-$.

40.7. The random variables $\xi_1^*, \xi_2^*, \dots, \xi_m^*$ are the coordinates arranged in increasing order of m points distributed uniformly and independently on the interval $(0,1)$. The random variables $\xi_1^*, \xi_2^*, \dots, \xi_m^*$ have a joint density function $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 1/m!$ for $0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_m \leq 1$ and $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = 0$ otherwise. We have

$$P\{\xi_j^* \leq x\} = \frac{m!}{(j-1)!(m-j)!} \int_0^x u^{j-1} (1-u)^{m-j} du = \sum_{k=j}^m \binom{m}{k} x^k (1-x)^{m-k}$$

for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and

$$E\{(\xi_j^*)^r\} = \frac{j(j+1)\dots(j+r-1)}{(m+1)(m+2)\dots(m+r)}$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence $E\{\xi_j^*\} = j/(m+1)$ and $\text{Var}\{\xi_j^*\} = j(m+1-j)/(m+1)^2(m+2)$.

Furthermore, we have $\text{Cov}\{\xi_i^*, \xi_j^*\} = i(m+1-j)/(m+1)^2(m+2)$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.

This last result can easily be proved if we take into consideration that

$\xi_1^*, \xi_2^* - \xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^* - \xi_{m-1}^*, 1 - \xi_m^*$ are interchangeable random variables with sum 1. For by this property

$$\text{Cov}\{\xi_i^*, \xi_j^*\} = \frac{i(m+1-j)}{m} \text{Var}\{\xi_1^*\}$$

if $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$.

40.8. The random variables N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n can be interpreted in the following way. We arrange m white balls and n black balls in a row in such a way that all the $\binom{m+n}{m}$ possible arrangements are equally probable. Denote by N_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) the number of white balls preceding the i -th black ball. We have

$$P\{N_i = j_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} = \frac{1}{\binom{m+n}{m}}$$

for $0 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_n \leq m$. Hence it follows that

$$P\{N_i = s\} = \frac{\binom{i+s-1}{s} \binom{m+n-i-s}{m-s}}{\binom{m+n}{n}}$$

for $0 \leq s \leq m$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, and

$$E\left\{\binom{N_i}{r}\right\} = \frac{\binom{i+r-1}{r} \binom{m+n}{n+r}}{\binom{m+n}{m}}$$

for $1 \leq r \leq m$. In particular, we have $E\{N_i\} = im/(n+1)$ and

$$\text{Var}\{N_i\} = \frac{i(n+1-i)m(m+n+1)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Furthermore, we have

$$\text{Cov}\{N_i, N_j\} = \frac{i(n+1-j)m(m+n+1)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. This last result can easily be proved if we take into consideration that $N_1, N_2 - N_1, \dots, N_n - N_{n-1}, m - N_n$ are interchangeable random variables with sum m . For this property implies that

$$\text{Cov}\{N_i, N_j\} = \frac{i(n+1-j)}{n} \text{Var}\{N_1\}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.

40.9. In finding the joint distribution of δ_m^+ and δ_m^- we may assume without loss of generality that $F(x) = x$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Then by the solution of Problem 40.5 we have

$$\delta_m^+ = \max_{1 \leq r \leq m} \left[\frac{r}{m} - \xi_r^* \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_m^- = \max_{1 \leq r \leq m} \left[\xi_r^* - \frac{r-1}{m} \right]$$

with probability 1 and consequently

$$\text{P}\{\delta_m^+ \leq x, \delta_m^- \leq y\} = \text{P}\left\{ \frac{r}{m} - x \leq \xi_r^* \leq \frac{r-1}{m} + y \text{ for } r = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}$$

Let

$$a_r = \max\left(0, \frac{r}{m} - x\right) \quad \text{and} \quad b_r = \min\left(\frac{r-1}{m} + y, 1\right)$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then $0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_m \leq 1$, $0 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_m \leq 1$

and $a_r \leq b_r$ if $x+y \geq \frac{1}{m}$, $x \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$.

In general, if $0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_m \leq 1$, $0 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_m \leq 1$ and $a_r \leq b_r$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P\{a_r \leq \xi_r^* \leq b_r \text{ for } r = 1, 2, \dots, m\} = \\
 & = m! \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-v-1} \sum_{0=k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_{v+1}=m} \prod_{i=0}^v \frac{(b_{k_{i+1}} - a_{k_{i+1}})^{k_{i+1}-k_i}}{(k_{i+1} - k_i)!} = \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad a_{k_{i+1}} \leq b_{k_{i+1}} \quad (i=1, \dots, v) \\
 & = m! \text{ Det} \left| \delta(i, j) \right|_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta(i, j) = \begin{cases} \frac{([b_i - a_j]^+)^{j-i+1}}{(j-i+1)!} & \text{if } i \leq j+1, \\ 0 & \text{if } i > j+1. \end{cases}$$

For we have

$$P\{a_r \leq \xi_r^* \leq b_r \text{ for } r = 1, \dots, m\} = m! P\{a_r \leq \xi_r \leq b_r \text{ for } r = 1, \dots, m$$

and $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \dots \leq \xi_m\} = m! P\{a_r \leq \xi_r \leq b_r \text{ for } r = 1, \dots, m \text{ and none of$

the events $\xi_i > \xi_{i+1}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m-1$) occurs} = m! \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-v}

$$\sum_{0=k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_{v+1}=m} P\{a_r \leq \xi_r \leq b_r \text{ for } r = 1, \dots, m \text{ and } \xi_r > \xi_{r+1} \text{ for}$$

$r \neq k_1, \dots, k_v\}$,

and here

$$\begin{aligned}
& P\{a_r \leq \xi_r \leq b_r \text{ for } r = 1, \dots, m \text{ and } \xi_r > \xi_{r+1} \text{ for } r \neq k_1, \dots, k_v\} = \\
& = P\{a_r \leq \xi_r \leq b_r \text{ for } k_i < r \leq k_{i+1}, \xi_r > \xi_{r+1} \text{ for } k_i < r < k_{i+1} \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq v\} \\
& = P\{a_{k_{i+1}} \leq \xi_{k_{i+1}} \leq \dots \leq \xi_{k_i+1} \leq b_{k_{i+1}} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq v\} = \\
& = \prod_{i=0}^v \frac{([b_{k_{i+1}} - a_{k_{i+1}}]^+)^{k_{i+1} - k_i}}{(k_{i+1} - k_i)!} .
\end{aligned}$$

40.10. By using the same notation as in the solution of Problem 40.6

we can write that

$$\delta_{m,n}^+ = \max_{1 \leq r \leq n} \left[\frac{N}{m} - \frac{r-1}{n} \right] \text{ and } \delta_{m,n}^- = \max_{1 \leq r \leq n} \left[\frac{r}{n} - \frac{N}{m} \right]$$

with probability 1. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P\{\delta_{m,n}^+ \leq x, \delta_{m,n}^- \leq y\} & = P\left\{ \frac{mr}{n} - my \leq N_r \leq \frac{m(r-1)}{n} + mx \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq n \right\} \\
& = P\{a_r \leq N_r \leq b_r \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq n\}
\end{aligned}$$

where a_r is the smallest integer $\geq \max(0, \frac{mr}{n} - my)$ and b_r is the largest integer $\leq \min(m, \frac{m}{n}(r-1) + mx)$. We have $0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n \leq m$ and $0 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_n \leq m$, and $a_r \leq b_r$ ($r = 1, \dots, n$) whenever $x+y \geq \frac{1}{n}$, $x \geq 0$, $y \geq 0$.

For any such $\{a_r\}$ and $\{b_r\}$ we have

$$P\{a_r \leq N_r \leq b_r \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq n\} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\binom{m+n}{m}} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-v-1} \sum_{\substack{0=j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_{v+1}=n \\ a_{j_{i+1}} \leq b_{j_i+1} \quad (i=1, \dots, v)}} \prod_{i=0}^v \binom{b_{j_{i+1}} - a_{j_{i+1}} + 1}{j_{i+1} - j_i} \\
&= \frac{1}{\binom{m+n}{m}} \text{Det} \left| d(i,j) \right|_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$d(i,j) = \begin{cases} \binom{[b_i - a_j + 1]^+}{j-i+1} & \text{if } i \leq j+1, \\ 0 & \text{if } i > j+1. \end{cases}$$

If we take into consideration that

$$\mathbb{P}\{N_i = j_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} = \frac{1}{\binom{m+n}{m}}$$

for nonnegative integers $0 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_n \leq m$, then the above result can be proved in a similar way as the corresponding result in Problem 40.9.

40.11. Let us define the random variables N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n in the same way as in the solution of Problem 40.6. Define

$$\eta_{m,n}(u) = \sqrt{\frac{mn}{m+n}} \left[\frac{N_{[nu]}}{m} - \frac{[nu]}{n} \right]$$

for $0 \leq u \leq 1$ and $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$. It is sufficient to prove that if $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the finite dimensional distribution functions of the process $\{\eta_{m,n}(u), 0 < u < 1\}$ converge to the finite dimensional distribution functions of the Gaussian process $\{\eta(u), 0 < u < 1\}$ for which $E\{\eta(u)\} = 0$ and $\text{Cov}\{\eta(u), \eta(v)\} = u(1-v)$ for $0 < u \leq v < 1$. Then (39.79) follows by a theorem of M. D. Donsker [245].

Now

$$E\{\tilde{\eta}_{m,n}(u)\} = \sqrt{\frac{mn}{m+n}} \left[\frac{[nu]}{n+1} - \frac{[nu]}{n} \right] \rightarrow 0$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$, and if $0 < u \leq v < 1$, then

$$\text{Cov}\{\tilde{\eta}_{m,n}(u), \tilde{\eta}_{m,n}(v)\} = \frac{n(n+m-1)[nu](n+1-[nv])}{(m+n)(n+1)^2(n+2)} \rightarrow u(1-v)$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we can easily conclude that if $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$, then ^{the} joint distribution function of the random variables $\tilde{\eta}_{m,n}(t_1)$, $\tilde{\eta}_{m,n}(t_2), \dots, \tilde{\eta}_{m,n}(t_k)$ where $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k < 1$ converges to a k -dimensional normal distribution ^{function} of type (39.21). This completes the proof of the statement.

40.12. First, we shall prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} P\left\{ \sqrt{\frac{mn}{m+n}} \delta_{m,n}^+(0, \alpha) \leq x \right\} &= P\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \alpha} \tilde{\eta}(t) \leq x \right\} = \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^x (1 - e^{-2x(x-u)/\alpha}) dP\{\tilde{\eta}(\alpha) \leq u\} \end{aligned}$$

for $x \geq 0$ where $\{\tilde{\eta}(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is a separable Gaussian process for which $E\{\tilde{\eta}(t)\} = 0$ if $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $E\{\tilde{\eta}(s)\tilde{\eta}(t)\} = s(1-t)$ for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$. The first equality follows from a theorem of M. D. Donsker [245]. To prove the second equality let us calculate the limit in the particular case when $m = n$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$. By using the same notation as in the solution of Problem 40.6, the above limit can be expressed as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{N_r < r + a \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq j\}$ where $a = [x\sqrt{2n}]$ and $j = [n\alpha]$. Since in this case

$$\tilde{\sim} P\{N_r < r+a \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq j | N_j = j+s\} = 1 - \frac{\binom{2j+s-1}{a+j}}{\binom{2j+s-1}{j-1}}$$

for $0 \leq j + s < j + a$,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{N_j - j}{\sqrt{2n}} \leq u \right\} = P\{\tilde{\sim} n(\alpha) \leq u\}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\binom{2j+s-1}{a+j}}{\binom{2j+s-1}{j-1}} = e^{-2x(x-u)/\alpha}$$

whenever $j = [n\alpha]$, $a = [x\sqrt{2n}]$ and $s = [u\sqrt{2n}]$, the aforementioned limit theorem follows easily.

By the repeated application of the above limit theorem we can easily prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} P\left\{ \sqrt{\frac{mn}{m+n}} \delta_{m,n}^+(0, \alpha) \leq x, \sqrt{\frac{mn}{m+n}} \delta_{m,n}^+(\alpha, \beta) \leq y, \sqrt{\frac{mn}{m+n}} \delta_{m,n}^+(\beta, 1) \leq z \right\} = \\ & = P\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \alpha} \eta(t) \leq x, \sup_{\alpha \leq t \leq \beta} \eta(t) \leq y, \sup_{\beta \leq t \leq 1} \eta(t) \leq z \right\} = \\ & = \int_{-\infty < u \leq \min(x,y)} \int_{-\infty < v \leq \min(y,z)} (1 - e^{-2x(x-u)/\alpha}) (1 - e^{-2(y-u)(y-v)/(\beta-\alpha)}) (1 - e^{-2z(z-v)/(1-\beta)}) \\ & \quad \cdot d_u d_v \tilde{\sim} P\{n(\alpha) \leq u, n(\beta) \leq v\} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ and $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$.

40.13. By the solution of Problem 40.12 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} P\{\delta_{m,n}^+(\alpha, \beta) \leq 0\} &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^0 (1 - e^{-2uv/(\beta-\alpha)}) d_u d_v P\{\eta(\alpha) \leq u, \eta(\beta) \leq v\} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(1-\beta)}{\beta(1-\alpha)}}. \end{aligned}$$

40.14. We have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P\{\sqrt{m} \delta_m^+(\alpha, \beta) \leq y\} = P\{\sup_{\alpha \leq t \leq \beta} \eta(t) \leq y\}$$

where $\{\eta(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is a separable Gaussian process for which $E\{\eta(t)\} = 0$ if $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $E\{\eta(s)\eta(t)\} = s(1-t)$ if $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$. This probability can be obtained by the solution of Problem 40.12.

For the case of $\beta = 1$ we deduce another formula. By (39.119) we have

$$P\{\delta_m^+(\alpha, 1) > x\} = \sum_{m(x+\alpha) \leq j \leq m} \frac{mx}{mx+m-j} P\{\chi_m \left(\frac{j-mx}{m}\right) = \frac{j}{m}\}$$

for $x > 0$ where

$$P\{\chi_m(u) = \frac{j}{m}\} = \binom{m}{j} u^j (1-u)^{m-j}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq m$ and $0 \leq u \leq 1$. If we put $x = y/\sqrt{m}$ and $j = mu$ in the above formula and let $m \rightarrow \infty$, then we obtain that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P\{\sqrt{m} \delta_m^+(\alpha, 1) > y\} = \frac{y}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\alpha}^1 \frac{e^{-\frac{y^2}{2u(1-u)}}}{u^{1/2}(1-u)^{3/2}} du$$

for $y > 0$.

40.15. By (39.123) we have

$$P\{u_m^+(\alpha, 1) > x\} = \sum_{m(x+1)\alpha \leq j \leq m} \frac{mx}{mx+m-j} P\{X_m(\frac{j}{m(x+1)}) = \frac{j}{m}\}$$

for $x > 0$ where $mX_m(u)$ has a Bernoulli distribution with parameters m and u . If we put $x = y/\sqrt{m}$ and $j = mu$ in the above formula and let $m \rightarrow \infty$, then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P\{\sqrt{m} u_m^+(\alpha, 1) > y\} &= \frac{y}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\alpha}^1 \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2 y^2}{2u(1-u)}}}{u^{1/2}(1-u)^{3/2}} du = \\ &= 2[1 - \Phi(y \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}})] \end{aligned}$$

for $y > 0$ where $\Phi(x)$ is the normal distribution function.

46.1. First, we shall prove that $\psi(0) = 1$. Since $\psi(0) > 0$, this follows from

$$[\psi(0)]^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}} dx dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{\infty} e^{-r^2/2} r dr = 1.$$

Here in the second integral we made the substitution $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$.

We can write that

$$\psi(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx - \frac{x^2}{2}} dx = \frac{e^{s^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x+s)^2/2} dx = \frac{e^{s^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{L_s} e^{-z^2/2} dz$$

where $L_s = \{z : z = x+s \text{ and } -\infty < x < \infty\}$. If we integrate $e^{-z^2/2}$ along the rectangle $(R,0)$, $(R, i\text{Im}(s))$, $(-R, i\text{Im}(s))$, $(-R,0)$ and let $R \rightarrow \infty$, then by Cauchy's formula it follows that the integral in the last formula does not depend on s . Thus $\psi(s) = e^{s^2/2} \psi(0) = e^{s^2/2}$ for any s .

46.2. In this case

$$\psi(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iux} f(x) dx = e^{-|u|^{1/2} (1-i\beta \frac{u}{|u|})}$$

for $-\infty < u < \infty$ and

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iux} \psi(u) du = \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-iux} \psi(u) du \right\}.$$

By the substitution $v = z - (1-i)\sqrt{ux}/2$ where $z = [(1+\beta)+i(1-\beta)]/\sqrt{8x}$ we obtain that

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-iux} \psi(u) du = \frac{1}{ix} + \frac{ze^{-z^2}}{x} (\sqrt{\pi} + 2i \int_0^z e^{-v^2} dv)$$

for $x > 0$. Thus

$$f(x) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z}{\pi x} [\sqrt{\pi} e^{-z^2} + 2i w(z)] \right\}$$

for $x > 0$ where

$$w(z) = e^{-z^2} \int_0^z e^{v^2} dv$$

and $z = [(1+\beta) + i(1-\beta)]/\sqrt{8x}$.

46.3 By integrating by parts we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-a}^a |x|^\delta dF(x) &= \delta \int_0^a x^{\delta-1} [F(a) - F(x) + F(-x) - F(-a)] dx = \\ &= \delta \int_0^a x^{\delta-1} [1 - F(x) + F(-x)] dx - a^\delta [1 - F(a) + F(-a)] \end{aligned}$$

for $a \geq 0$. If $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^\delta dF(x) < \infty$, then

$$0 \leq a^\delta [1 - F(a) + F(-a)] \leq \int_{|x| \geq a} |x|^\delta dF(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } a \rightarrow \infty,$$

and thus the statement is true. If $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^\delta dF(x) = \infty$,

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^{\delta-1} [1 - F(x) + F(-x)] dx = \infty$$

necessarily holds.

46.4. Let us consider the complex plane cut along the positive real axis and define a path of integration C as follows: We integrate along a straight line from $z = i\epsilon$ to $z = R + i\epsilon$ where $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $R > 1$, then from $z = R + i\epsilon$ to $z = R - i\epsilon$ along the circle $z^2 = R^2 + \epsilon^2$ in the

positive direction, then along a straight line from $z = R - i\varepsilon$ to $z = -i\varepsilon$, and finally from $z = -i\varepsilon$ to $z = i\varepsilon$ along the circle $|z| = \varepsilon$ in the negative direction. If we interpret $z^\delta = e^{\delta \log z}$ where $\log z = \log |z| + i \arg z$ and $0 \leq \arg z \leq 2\pi$, then $z^\delta / (1+z^2)$ is a one-valued function in the region bounded by C and regular except at the poles $z = i$ and $z = -i$. By the theorem of residues we obtain that

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_C \frac{z^\delta}{1+z^2} dz = 2 \left[e^{\frac{i\delta\pi}{2}} - e^{\frac{3i\delta\pi}{2}} \right] = -4ie^{i\delta\pi} \sin \frac{\delta\pi}{2}.$$

If $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$, then the integral on the left-hand side tends to

$$\frac{2}{\pi} (1 - e^{2i\delta\pi}) \int_0^\infty \frac{x^\delta}{1+x^2} dx = -4ie^{i\delta\pi} \sin \frac{\delta\pi}{2}.$$

Hence it follows that

$$E\{|\xi|^\delta\} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^\delta}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{1}{\cos \frac{\delta\pi}{2}}$$

and

for $-1 < \delta < 1$. See D. Bierens de Haan [11 p.42 p. 50].

46.5 By using Cauchy's integral theorem we can express $I_\alpha(s)$ by known real integrals which can be found for example in the book of D. Bierens de Haan [11].

First, let us suppose that $0 < \alpha < 1$. If we use the solution of Problem 46.4 and if we introduce a new variable $z = sx$ in the integral, then we obtain that

$$I_{\alpha}(s) = s^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(s) + \frac{s\alpha\pi}{2\cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}}$$

where

$$J_{\alpha}(s) = \int_{L_s} (e^{-z} - 1) \frac{\alpha dz}{z^{\alpha+1}}$$

and $L_s = \{z : z = sx, 0 \leq x < \infty\}$. The integrand in $J_{\alpha}(s)$ is a regular function of z in the region bounded by the lines L_1 and L_s and the arcs $|z| = \epsilon$ and $|z| = R$ where $0 < \epsilon < R$. If we integrate along the boundary of this region and let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$, then we obtain that

$$J_{\alpha}(s) = J_{\alpha}(1) = \int_0^{\infty} (e^{-x} - 1) \frac{\alpha dx}{x^{\alpha+1}} = -\Gamma(1-\alpha)$$

where $\Gamma(1-\alpha)$ is the gamma function. See [11 p. 132].

Thus

$$I_{\alpha}(s) = -\Gamma(1-\alpha)s^{\alpha} + \frac{s\alpha\pi}{2\cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}}$$

for $0 < \alpha < 1$ if $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

Now we shall prove that

$$I_1(s) = s \log s - s(1-C)$$

if $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ where $C = 0.5772157\dots$ is Euler's constant. By [11 p. 135]

we have $I_1(1) = C-1$. If we introduce a new variable $z = sx$ in $I_1(s)$,

then we can write that

$$I_1(s) = s \int_{L_s} (e^{-z} - 1 + \frac{z}{1+z^2}) dz + s \int_0^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{1+x^2} - \frac{1}{1+s^2 x^2} \right] \frac{dx}{x}$$

where $L_s = \{z : z = sx, 0 \leq x < \infty\}$. By using Cauchy's integral theorem we can prove that the first integral on the right-hand side of the above equation does not depend on s . Thus the first term becomes $sI_1(1) = s(C-1)$. The integral in the second term on the right-hand side of the above formula can be calculated as follows:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{1+x^2} - \frac{1}{1+s^2x^2} \right] \frac{dx}{x} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x(1+x^2)} - \int_{L_s(\epsilon)} \frac{dz}{z(1+z^2)} \right]$$

where $L_s(\epsilon) = \{z : z = sx, \epsilon \leq x < \infty\}$. Since the function $1/z(1+z^2)$ is regular in the domain $\text{Re}(z) > 0$, the last term can be expressed as

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon s} \frac{dz}{z(1+z^2)} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon s} \frac{dz}{z} = \log s.$$

This proves the formula for $I_1(s)$ in the case when $\text{Re}(s) > 0$. If $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$, then $I_1(s)$ can be obtained by continuity.

If $s = -i\omega$ where ω is real, we have

$$I_1(-i\omega) = -i\omega \log \omega - \frac{\omega\pi}{2} + i\omega(1-C)$$

for $\omega > 0$, $I_1(0) = 0$ and $I_1(i\omega) = \overline{I_1(-i\omega)}$. This can be proved directly as follows. If $\omega > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(-i\omega) &= \int_0^{\infty} \left(e^{i\omega x} - 1 - \frac{i\omega x}{1+x^2} \right) \frac{dx}{x} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos \omega x - 1}{x^2} dx + \\ &+ i \int_0^{\infty} \left(\sin \omega x - \frac{\omega x}{1+\omega^2 x^2} \right) \frac{dx}{x^2} - i\omega \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{1+x^2} - \frac{x}{1+\omega^2 x^2} \right) \frac{dx}{x^2} = \\ &= \omega \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos u - 1}{u^2} du + i\omega \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin u}{u} - \frac{1}{1+u^2} \right) du - i\omega \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon\omega} \frac{du}{u(1+u^2)} = \\ &= -\frac{\omega\pi}{2} + i\omega(1-C) - i\omega \log \omega. \end{aligned}$$

For by [11 p. 220]

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1-\cos u}{u^2} du = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

and

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin u - u \cos u}{u^2} du = 1$$

and by [11 p. 256]

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+u^2} - \cos u \right) \frac{du}{u} = C .$$

Finally let us suppose that $1 < \alpha < 2$. Then we can write that

$$I_{\alpha}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} (e^{-sx} - 1 + sx) \frac{\alpha dx}{x^{\alpha+1}} + s\alpha \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{1-\alpha}}{1+x^2} dx .$$

Thus by the solution of Problem 46.4 we have

$$I_{\alpha}(s) = s^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(s) + \frac{s\alpha\pi}{2\cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}}$$

where

$$J_{\alpha}(s) = \int_{L_s} (e^{-z} - 1 + z) \frac{\alpha dz}{z^{\alpha+1}}$$

and $L_s = \{z : z = sx, 0 \leq x < \infty\}$. By using Cauchy's theorem we can prove that $J_{\alpha}(s)$ does not depend on s , and thus by [11 p. 132] we have

$$J_{\alpha}(s) = J_{\alpha}(1) = \int_0^{\infty} (e^{-x} - 1 + x) \frac{\alpha dx}{x^{\alpha+1}} = -\Gamma(1-\alpha) = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(\alpha)\sin \alpha\pi} .$$

Finally,

$$I_{\alpha}(s) = -\Gamma(1-\alpha)s^{\alpha} + \frac{s\alpha\pi}{2\cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}} = \frac{-s^{\alpha}\pi}{\Gamma(\alpha)\sin \alpha\pi} + \frac{s\alpha\pi}{2\cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}}$$

for $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

46.6 Let

$$\gamma = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(\beta \tan \frac{\alpha\pi}{2})$$

where $-1 < \gamma < 1$. By (42.128) and (42.130) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{E}\{|\xi|^\delta\} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^\delta f(x; \alpha, \beta, c, 0) dx = \left(\frac{c}{\cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}}\right)^\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^\delta h(x; \alpha, \gamma) dx = \\ &= \left(\frac{c}{\cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}}\right)^\alpha \left[\int_0^{\infty} x^\delta h(x; \alpha, \gamma) dx + \int_0^{\infty} x^\delta h(x; \alpha, -\gamma) dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The case $\delta = 0$ is obvious. Let $\delta \neq 0$ and $-1 < \delta < \alpha$. By (42.131) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} x^\delta h(x; \alpha, \gamma) dx &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} x^\delta \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ixu - u^\alpha} e^{-\frac{\gamma\pi i}{2}} du \right\} dx = \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1+\delta)}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{-\frac{(1+\delta)\pi i}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u^\alpha} e^{-\frac{\gamma\pi i}{2}} u^{-\delta-1} du \right\} = \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1+\delta)}{\pi\alpha} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{-\frac{(1+\delta)\pi i}{2} - \frac{\delta\gamma\pi i}{2\alpha}} \int_L e^{-z} z^{-\frac{\delta}{\alpha}-1} dz \right\} = \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1+\delta)\Gamma(-\frac{\delta}{\alpha})}{\pi\alpha} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{-\frac{(1+\delta)\pi i}{2} - \frac{\delta\gamma\pi i}{2}} \right\} = \\ &= -\frac{\Gamma(1+\delta)\Gamma(1-\frac{\delta}{\alpha})}{\pi\delta} \cos\left(\frac{(1+\delta)\pi}{2} + \frac{\delta\gamma\pi}{2}\right) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\delta)\Gamma(1-\frac{\delta}{\alpha})}{\pi\delta} \sin\left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right) \frac{\delta\pi}{2} \end{aligned}$$

where $L = \{z : z = e^{-\frac{\gamma\pi i}{2}} u^\alpha, 0 \leq u < \infty\}$.

Thus finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\underline{E\{|\xi|^\delta\}}} &= \left(\frac{c}{\cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}}\right)^\alpha \frac{2\Gamma(1+\delta)\Gamma(1-\frac{\delta}{\alpha})}{\pi\delta} \sin \frac{\delta\pi}{2} \cos \frac{\gamma\delta\pi}{2\alpha} = \\ &= \left(\frac{c}{\cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}}\right)^\alpha \frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{\delta}{\alpha})\cos \frac{\gamma\delta\pi}{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\delta)\cos \frac{\delta\pi}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

for $-1 < \delta < \alpha$. This result is in agreement with (42.198).

46.7. By the solution of Problem 46.6 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\underline{P\{\xi \geq 0\}}} &= \int_0^\infty f(x; \alpha, \beta, c, 0) dx = \int_0^\infty h(x; \alpha, \gamma) dx = \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty x^\delta h(x; \alpha, \gamma) dx = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Gamma(1+\delta)\Gamma(1-\frac{\delta}{\alpha})}{\pi\delta} \sin(1+\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}) \frac{\delta\pi}{2} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(\beta \tan \frac{\alpha\pi}{2})$$

and $-1 < \gamma < 1$. This implies (42.192).

46.8. If $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta = 1$, then $R(0) = 0$ and therefore $\underline{\underline{T\{\psi(s)\}}} = \psi(s)$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$. If $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta = -1$, then $R(0) = 1$ and $\underline{\underline{T\{\psi(s)\}}} = 1$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$. In the remaining cases we have

$$\psi^+(s) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2\alpha} + \frac{\cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-cx^\alpha s^\alpha / \cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}}}{1 - 2x \sin \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha} + x^2} dx$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ where

$$\gamma = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(\beta \tan \frac{\alpha\pi}{2})$$

and $-1 < \gamma < 1$. We note that $R(0) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2\alpha}$.

It is sufficient to prove the above formula for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ and for some particular $c > 0$. For $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ we obtain $\psi^+(s)$ by continuity. We shall prove the above formula for $c = \cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}$ and by replacing s by $s(c/\cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2})^{1/\alpha}$ we obtain $\psi^+(s)$ for a general $c > 0$.

Thus let us assume that $c = \cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}$. Then we have

$$\psi(iy) = \begin{cases} e^{-y^\alpha} e^{i\gamma\pi/2} & \text{for } y \geq 0, \\ e^{-(-y)^\alpha} e^{-i\gamma\pi/2} & \text{for } y \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 5.1 we have

$$\psi^+(s) = \frac{1}{2} + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{2\pi i} \left[\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{\psi(iy)}{y(s-iy)} dy - \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{\psi(-iy)}{y(s+iy)} dy \right]$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$. If we substitute $y = e^{-i\gamma\pi/2\alpha} sz$ in the first integral

and $y = e^{i\gamma\pi/2\alpha} sz$ in the second integral then we obtain that

$$\psi^+(s) = \frac{1}{2} + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[\int_{L_1(\epsilon)} \frac{e^{-z^\alpha s^\alpha}}{z(1 - iz e^{-i\gamma\pi/2\alpha})} dz - \int_{L_2(\epsilon)} \frac{e^{-z^\alpha s^\alpha}}{z(1 + iz e^{i\gamma\pi/2\alpha})} dz \right]$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ where $L_1(\epsilon) = \{z : z = e^{i\gamma\pi/2\alpha} y/s \text{ and } \epsilon \leq y < \infty\}$ and $L_2(\epsilon) = \{z : z = e^{-i\gamma\pi/2\alpha} y/s \text{ and } \epsilon \leq y < \infty\}$. Denote by $C_1(\epsilon)$ the path which varies from $z = e^{i\gamma\pi/2\alpha} \epsilon/s$ to $z = \epsilon/|s|$ along the arc $|z| = \epsilon/|s|$ and from $z = \epsilon/|s|$ to ∞ along the real axis. Denote by $C_2(\epsilon)$ the path which varies from $z = e^{-i\gamma\pi/2\alpha} \epsilon/s$ to $z = \epsilon/|s|$ along the arc $|z| = \epsilon/|s|$ and from $z = \epsilon/|s|$ to ∞ along the real axis. If we replace $L_1(\epsilon)$ by $C_1(\epsilon)$ in the first integral and $L_2(\epsilon)$ by $C_2(\epsilon)$ in the second integral, then by Cauchy's integral theorem both integrals remain unchanged. If $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then the difference of the two integrals taken along the arcs tend to

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[- \int_{\epsilon/|s|}^{\epsilon e^{i\gamma\pi/2\alpha}/s} \frac{dz}{z} + \int_{\epsilon/|s|}^{\epsilon e^{-i\gamma\pi/2\alpha}/s} \frac{dz}{z} \right] = \log e^{-\frac{i\gamma\pi}{2\alpha} \frac{s}{|s|}} - \log e^{\frac{i\gamma\pi}{2\alpha} \frac{s}{|s|}} = -\frac{i\gamma\pi}{\alpha}$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^+(s) &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{e^{-x^\alpha s^\alpha}}{x(1-ixe^{-i\gamma\pi/2\alpha})} - \frac{e^{-x^\alpha s^\alpha}}{x(1+ixe^{i\gamma\pi/2\alpha})} \right] dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2\alpha} + \frac{\cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x^\alpha s^\alpha}}{1-2x \sin \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha} + x^2} dx \end{aligned}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ and $c = \cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha}$. Since

$$\psi^+(0) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2\alpha} + \frac{\cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1-2x \sin \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha} + x^2} dx = 1$$

we can also write that

$$\psi^+(s) = 1 - \frac{\cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - e^{-x^\alpha s^\alpha}}{1-2x \sin \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha} + x^2} dx$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $c = \cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha}$.

46.9. By (42.115) we have

$$\widetilde{P}\{\eta \leq x\} = \begin{cases} 2[1 - \Phi(\frac{c}{\sqrt{x}})] & \text{for } x \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x < 0, \end{cases}$$

whence the statement follows. We note that $\widetilde{E}\{e^{-s\eta}\} = e^{-c\sqrt{2s}}$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$

or $\widetilde{E}\{e^{-s\eta}\} = e^{-c|s|^{1/2}(1 + \frac{s}{|s|})}$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$.

46.10. Since $F(x)$ belongs to the domain of attraction of itself, Theorem 44.8 is applicable. By (42.104) and (42.105) we can choose $B_n = n^{1/\alpha}$ in (46.247). Thus by (46.244) and (46.247) we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n F(-n^{1/\alpha} x) = \frac{c_1}{x^\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n[1 - F(n^{1/\alpha} x)] = \frac{c_2}{x^\alpha}$$

for $x > 0$. Hence the assertions follow.

46.11. Let us denote by a the expectation of $F(x)$ if it exists, and by σ^2 the variance of $F(x)$ if it exists.

If $\sigma^2 = 0$, then $F(x)$ is degenerate, and $c = 0$ and $m = a$. (α and β are immaterial.)

If $0 < \sigma^2 < \infty$, then $F(x)$ is a normal distribution, and $\alpha = 2$, $c = \sigma^2/2$, $m = a$. (β is immaterial.)

If $\sigma^2 = \infty$, then $F(x)$ belongs to the domain of attraction of itself and thus by (46.245) we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-F(x) + F(-x)}{1-F(\rho x) + F(-\rho x)} = \rho^\alpha$$

for any $\rho > 0$. This determines α . The constants β and c are determined by the solution of Problem 46.10. It remains to find m . If $1 \leq \alpha < 2$, then m can be determined in the following way. On the one hand in Theorem 46.8

$$A_n = n \int_{|x| < \tau n^{1/\alpha}} x dF(x) - (\mu(\tau) + \varepsilon_n) n^{1/\alpha}$$

where $\tau > 0$, $\mu(\tau)$ is defined by (46.243) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$. On the other hand by (42.104) and (42.105)

$$A_n = \begin{cases} m(n-n^{1/\alpha}) & \text{for } \alpha \neq 1 \\ \frac{2\beta c n \log n}{\pi} & \text{for } \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$

is a possible choice in Theorem 46.8.

A comparison of the above two formulas show that if $1 < \alpha < 2$, then

$$m = a = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x dF(x),$$

and if $\alpha = 1$, then

$$m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{|x| < \tau n} x dF(x) - \frac{2\beta c \log n}{\pi} \right] - \frac{2\beta c}{\pi} [\log \tau - (1-C)]$$

where $\tau > 0$ and C is Euler's constant. It is convenient to choose $\tau = e^{1-C}$ in the last formula.

Note. If $\alpha = 1$, then by the last formula we obtain that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log y} \int_{|x| < y} x dF(x) = \frac{2\beta c}{\pi} .$$

If $0 < \alpha < 1$ and if we compare the aforementioned two formulas for A_n , then we obtain that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{y^{1-\alpha}} \int_{|x| < y} x dF(x) = \frac{2\beta c \Gamma(1+\alpha) \sin \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}}{(1-\alpha)\pi} .$$

These formulas can also be proved directly by the solution of Problem 46.10 .

46.12. For any $k = 1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_{nk} - A_{nk}}{B_{nk}} \leq x \right\} = R(x)$$

where $R(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type $S(\alpha, \beta, c, m)$. If we write

$$\frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_{nk} - kA_n}{B_n} = \frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n - A_n}{B_n} + \frac{\xi_{n+1} + \dots + \xi_{2n} - A_n}{B_n} + \dots + \frac{\xi_{(k-1)n+1} + \dots + \xi_{kn} - A_n}{B_n} ,$$

then we can easily see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_{nk} - kA_n}{B_n} \leq x \right\} = R_k(x)$$

where $R_k(x)$ is the k -th iterated convolution of $R(x)$ with itself. By

(42.103) $R_k(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type $S(\alpha, \beta, kc, km)$.

Thus by (42.104) and (42.105)

$$\frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_{nk} - kA_n}{k^{1/\alpha} B_n} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{2\beta c \log k}{\pi} & \text{for } \alpha = 1, \\ m(k^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} - 1) & \text{for } \alpha \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

has the limiting distribution $R(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence by Lemma 44.2 it follows that necessarily

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_{nk}}{k^{1/\alpha} B_n} = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_{nk} - kA_n}{B_{nk}} = \begin{cases} \frac{2\beta c}{\pi} \log k & \text{for } \alpha = 1, \\ m(k^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} - 1) & \text{for } \alpha \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let us define

$$B(t) = B_n + (t-n)(B_{n+1} - B_n)$$

for $n < t \leq n+1$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$a(t) = \frac{A_n}{B_n} + (t-n) \left[\frac{A_{n+1}}{B_{n+1}} - \frac{A_n}{B_n} \right]$$

for $n < t \leq n+1$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since by (44.118) $B_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $B_{n+1}/B_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and by (44.125) $(A_{n+1} - A_n)/B_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can conclude that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(kt)}{k^{1/\alpha} B(t)} = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[a(kt) - \frac{k}{k^{1/\alpha}} a(t) \right] = \begin{cases} \frac{2\beta c}{\pi} \log k & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ m(k^{1/\alpha} - 1) & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

and $k = 1, 2, \dots$. The functions $B(t)$ and $a(t)$ are continuous functions of t , and therefore the above relations are also valid if k is replaced by ω where ω is any positive real number. If we write

$$B(t) = t^{1/\alpha} \rho(t)$$

for $t > 0$, then we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho(\omega t)}{\rho(t)} = 1$$

for $\omega > 0$, and if we write

$$a(t) = \frac{h(t)}{t^{1/\alpha} - 1} + \begin{cases} \frac{2\beta c}{\pi} \log t & \text{for } \alpha = 1, \\ -m & \text{for } \alpha \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

for $t > 0$, then we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(\omega t) - h(t)}{t^{1/\alpha} - 1} = 0$$

for $\omega > 0$.

46.13. By (42.171) we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx} dR(x) = e^{-\Gamma(1-\alpha)s^\alpha}$$

for $R(s) \geq 0$. Let $\phi(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx} dF(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(s) &= 1-s \int_0^{\infty} [1-F(x)]e^{-sX} dx = 1-s \int_0^{\infty} h(x)x^{-\alpha}e^{-sX} dx = \\ &= 1-\Gamma(1-\alpha)s^{\alpha}h\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) + o(s^{\alpha})\end{aligned}$$

as $s \rightarrow 0$. If $s > 0$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\phi\left(\frac{s}{n^{1/\alpha} \rho(n)}\right) \right]^n = e^{-\Gamma(1-\alpha)s^{\alpha}}$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(n^{1/\alpha} \rho(n))}{(\rho(n))^{\alpha}} = 1,$$

and this proves the statement.

46.14. By (42.173) we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-sX} dR(x) = e^{-\Gamma(1-\alpha)s^{\alpha}}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$. Let $\phi(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sX} dF(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(s) &= 1-as + s \int_0^{\infty} [1-F(x)](1-e^{-sX})dx = 1-as + \\ &+ s \int_0^{\infty} h(x)x^{-\alpha}(1-e^{-sX})dx = 1-as - \Gamma(1-\alpha)s^{\alpha}h\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) + o(s^{\alpha})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\phi(s)e^{as} = 1-\Gamma(1-\alpha)s^{\alpha}h\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) + o(s^{\alpha})$$

as $s \rightarrow 0$. If $s > 0$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\phi\left(\frac{s}{n^{1/\alpha} \rho(n)}\right) e^{\frac{as}{n^{1/\alpha} \rho(n)}} \right]^n = e^{-\Gamma(1-\alpha)s^{\alpha}}$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(n^{1/\alpha} \rho(n))}{(\rho(n))^\alpha} = 1,$$

and this proves the statement.

46.15. Since

$$\sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{a}{j} = (-1)^k \binom{a-1}{k}$$

for any a , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\{\xi_n > 2k\} &= 1 - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2^{j-1}} \binom{2j}{j} \frac{1}{2^{2j}} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{j} \\ &= (-1)^{k-1} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k} = \binom{2k}{k} \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \end{aligned}$$

for $k=1,2,\dots$. By using the inequality

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(k+\frac{1}{2})}} < \binom{2k}{k} \frac{1}{2^{2k}} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi k}},$$

we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2k} P\{\xi_n > 2k\} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}.$$

Thus $P\{\xi_n \leq x\}$ belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable distribution function $R(x)$ of type $S(\frac{1}{2}, 1, c, 0)$ where $c > 0$. If we choose $A_n = 0$ and $B_n = (nb)^2$ where

$$b = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}}{\frac{2c}{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \sin \frac{\pi}{4}} = \frac{1}{c},$$

then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\frac{c^2(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n)}{n^2} \leq x\right\} = R(x) = 2\left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{x}}\right)\right]$$

for $x \geq 0$ where $\Phi(x)$ is the normal distribution function.

Note. Since

$$P\{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n = 2j\} = \frac{n}{2^{2j-n}} \binom{2j-n}{j} \frac{1}{2^{2j-n}}$$

for $j = n, n+1, \dots$, we can prove directly that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n^2} > x\right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} x} \int_0^{\infty} y^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2y}} dy = 2\Phi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right) - 1$$

for $x > 0$.

46.16. Since $\Gamma(a+1) \sim \sqrt{2\pi a} \left(\frac{a}{e}\right)^a$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$k^q P\{\xi_n > k\} = k^q \binom{k-q}{k} = \frac{k^q \Gamma(k-q+1)}{\Gamma(1-q)\Gamma(k+1)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

and thus $P\{\xi_n \leq x\}$ belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable distribution function $R(x)$ of type $S(q, 1, c, 0)$ where $c > 0$. If we choose $A_n = 0$ and $B_n = (nb)^{1/q}$ where

$$b = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(1-q)2c\Gamma(q)\sin\frac{q\pi}{2}} = \frac{\sin q\pi}{2c\sin\frac{q\pi}{2}} = \frac{\cos\frac{q\pi}{2}}{c},$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_n}{(bn)^{1/q}} \leq x\right\} = R(x).$$

If $b = 1$, then $R(x)$ is of type $S(q, 1, \cos \frac{q\pi}{2}, 0)$.

46.17. Since

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-F(x)}{1-F(\rho x)} = \rho$$

for $\rho > 0$, by Theorem 44.8 it follows that $F(x)$ belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable distribution function $R(x)$ of type $S(1, 1, c, m)$ where $c > 0$ and m is a real number. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n - A_n}{B_n} \leq x \right\} = R(x)$$

if we choose B_n in such a way that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{B_n (\log B_n)^2} = \frac{2c}{\pi}$$

and if

$$A_n = n \int_0^{\tau B_n} x dF(x) - m B_n - \frac{2c}{\pi} B_n [\log \tau - (1-C)] + \epsilon_n B_n$$

where C is Euler's constant, $\tau > 0$, and $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If

$$B_n = \frac{n\pi}{2c(\log n)^2}$$

for $n > 1$, then the requirements are satisfied.

In our case

$$\int_0^{\infty} x dF(x) = \int_0^{\infty} [1-F(x)] dx = e + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{e x (\log x)^2} = e + 1$$

and if $\tau B_n > e$, then

$$\int_0^{\tau B_n} x dF(x) = e-1 + \int_e^{\tau B_n} \left[\frac{1}{x(\log x)^2} + \frac{2}{x(\log x)^3} \right] dx = e + 1 - \frac{1}{\log \tau B_n} - \frac{1}{(\log \tau B_n)^2}.$$

Thus

$$A_n = n(e+1) - \frac{n}{\log n} + \frac{n}{(\log n)^2} \left[\log \frac{\pi}{2c} - C - \frac{\pi}{2c} \right] - \frac{2n \log \log n}{(\log n)^2}$$

for $n > e$ is a possible choice.

If $c = \pi/2$ and $m = -C$, then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left\{ \frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n - n(e+1)}{n(\log n)^2} + \log n + 2 \log \log n \leq x \right\} = R(x)$$

where $R(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type $S(1, 1, \frac{\pi}{2}, -C)$.

46.18. In this case

$$1-F(x) + F(-x) = \frac{5}{6x(\log x)^2}$$

for $x \geq e$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(-x)}{1-F(x)} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Thus $F(x)$ belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable distribution ^{function} $R(x)$ of type $S(1, \frac{1}{5}, c, m)$. If $c = 5\pi/12$, then we can choose $B_n = n/(\log n)^2$ for $n > 1$ and if $m = -C/6$ where C is Euler's constant, then

$$A_n = n(e - \frac{5}{6}) - \frac{n}{6 \log n} - \frac{2n \log \log n}{6(\log n)^2}$$

for $n > e$ is a possible choice. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n - A_n}{B_n} \leq x\right\} = R(x)$$

where $R(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type $S(1, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{5\pi}{12}, -\frac{C}{6})$.

46.19. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x[1-F(x)] = 1$, it follows from Theorem 44.8 that $F(x)$ belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable distribution function $R(x)$ of type $S(1, 1, c, m)$. By (44.247) we can choose $B_n = n\pi/2c$ and by (44.248)

$$A_n = n \int_1^{\tau n\pi/2c} \frac{dx}{x} - \frac{n\pi}{2c} \left\{ m + \frac{2c}{\pi} [\log \tau - (1-C)] \right\}$$

where $\tau > 0$ and C is Euler's constant. Thus

$$A_n = -m \frac{n\pi}{2c} + n \log \frac{n\pi}{2c} + n(1-C).$$

If $c = \pi/2$ and $m = 1-C$, then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n} - \log n \leq x\right\} = R(x)$$

where $R(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type $S(1, 1, \frac{\pi}{2}, 1-C)$.

The Laplace-Stieltjes transform of $R(x)$ is

$$\psi(s) = e^{-(1-C)s - |s| \frac{\pi}{2} + s \log |s|}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) = 0$ or

$$\psi(s) = e^{-(1-C)s+s \log s}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

Note. We can prove the above result directly. The Laplace-Stieltjes transform of $F(x)$ can be expressed as

$$\phi(s) = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{-sx}}{x^2} dx = s \int_s^{\infty} e^{-z} \frac{dz}{z^2} = s[C-1] + s \log s + 1 - s^2 + o(s^2)$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ where $o(s^2)/s^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $|s| \rightarrow 0$. (See N. Nielsen [142 p.5].)

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\phi\left(\frac{s}{n}\right) \right]^n e^{s \log n} = e^{s(C-1)+s \log s}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$. Accordingly,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n} - \log n \leq x \right\} = R(x)$$

where

$$\psi(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-sx} dR(x) = e^{-(1-C)s+s \log s}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$. If $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$, then $s \log s = s \log |s| - \frac{|s|\pi}{2}$

46.20. In this case $F(-x) = 1-F(x)$ and

$$1-F(x) + F(-x) = \frac{1 + \log x}{x}$$

for $x \geq 1$. Thus $F(x)$ belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable distribution function of type $S(1,0,c,0)$ where $c > 0$. If we choose $A_n = 0$ and $B_n = (\pi \log n)/2c$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{2c(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n)}{\pi n \log n} \leq x \right\} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \frac{x}{c}.$$

46.21. Let us suppose that $\underline{E}\{e^{-s\xi}\} = e^{-s^\alpha}$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\underline{P}\{n \leq x\} = 1 - e^{-x}$ for $x \geq 0$, and ξ and n are independent random variables. Then

$$\underline{P}\{\xi n^{-1} \leq x\} = \int_0^\infty \underline{P}\{\xi \leq xy\} e^{-y} dy = e^{-(1/x)^\alpha}$$

for $x > 0$. Hence

$$\underline{P}\{n^\alpha \xi^{-\alpha} \leq x\} = 1 - e^{-x}$$

for $x \geq 0$, and

$$\underline{E}\{e^{-sn^\alpha \xi^{-\alpha}}\} = \frac{1}{1+s}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -1$, or

$$\underline{E}\{e^{-s^\alpha n^\alpha \xi^{-\alpha}}\} = \int_0^\infty \underline{E}\{e^{-(su)^\alpha \xi^{-\alpha}}\} e^{-u} du = \frac{1}{1+s^\alpha}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$. On the other hand by (42.180) we have

$$\int_0^\infty \underline{E}_\alpha(-s^\alpha u^\alpha) e^{-u} du = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k s^{k\alpha}}{\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{k\alpha} du = \frac{1}{1+s^\alpha}$$

for $|s| < 1$. Accordingly, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \underline{E}\{e^{-(su)^\alpha \xi^{-\alpha}}\} e^{-u} du = \int_0^\infty \underline{E}_\alpha(-s^\alpha u^\alpha) e^{-u} du$$

for $|s| < 1$, and this implies that

$$\underline{E}\{e^{-w\xi^{-\alpha}}\} = \underline{E}_\alpha(-w)$$

for every w . This proves (42.181).

46.22. In this case $\psi(s) = \underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s\xi}\} = \underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s\eta}\} = e^{-s^\alpha}$ for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

Let us suppose that $\xi, \eta, \theta_1, \theta_2$ are mutually independent random variables and $\underline{\underline{P}}\{\theta_1 \leq x\} = \underline{\underline{P}}\{\theta_2 \leq x\} = 1 - e^{-x}$ for $x \geq 0$. Then

$$\underline{\underline{P}}\{\xi\theta_1^{-1} \leq x\} = \underline{\underline{P}}\{\eta\theta_2^{-1} \leq x\} = \psi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

for $x > 0$ and

$$G(x) = \underline{\underline{P}}\{\xi\eta^{-1}\theta_1^{-1}\theta_2 \leq x\} = \int \int_{uv^{-1} \leq x} \psi\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)\psi\left(\frac{1}{v}\right) du dv = \frac{x^\alpha}{1+x^\alpha}$$

for $x \geq 0$. Since

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{\theta_1^{-s}\theta_2^s\} = \Gamma(1-s)\Gamma(1+s) = \frac{\pi s}{\sin \pi s}$$

for $|\text{Re}(s)| < 1$, it follows that

$$\int_0^\infty x^s dH(x) = \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi s} \int_0^\infty x^s dG(x)$$

for $-1 < \text{Re}(s) < \alpha$. If we extend the definition of $G(x)$ by analytical continuation to the complex plane cut along the negative real axis from the origin to infinity, then we can write that

$$\frac{dH(x)}{dx} = \frac{G(xe^{\pi i}) - G(xe^{-\pi i})}{2\pi i x} = \frac{x^\alpha \sin \alpha \pi}{\pi x (1 + 2x^\alpha \cos \alpha \pi + x^{2\alpha})}$$

for $x > 0$. If, in particular, $\alpha = 1/2$, then

$$H(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \sqrt{x}$$

for $x \geq 0$.

CHAPTER VII

53.1. If τ_k ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) is defined as in Section 49, then by Theorem 43.3 we have

$$\underset{\sim}{P}\left\{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau_k}{k} = a\right\} = 1.$$

Hence if $0 < \epsilon < a$, then

$$(a-\epsilon)k \leq \tau_k \leq (a+\epsilon)k$$

large

for sufficiently large k with probability 1. Since $\tau_{v(t)} \leq t < \tau_{v(t)+1}$, therefore

$$\frac{1}{a+\epsilon} - \frac{1}{t} \leq \frac{v(t)}{t} \leq \frac{1}{a-\epsilon}$$

for sufficiently large t with probability 1. This implies that

$$\underset{\sim}{P}\left\{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{a}\right\} = 1.$$

This result is also valid for $a = \infty$, if we define $1/a$ as 0 for $a = \infty$. This can be obtained from the previous result by truncating the recurrence times at m and letting $m \rightarrow \infty$.

53.2. Both ξ_1 and ξ_2 are necessarily discrete random variables, and there is a constant c such that $\xi_1 + c$ and $\xi_2 - c$ take on nonnegative integers only. Let $\underset{\sim}{P}\{\xi_1 + c = j\} = p_j$ and $\underset{\sim}{P}\{\xi_2 - c = j\} = q_j$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^k p_j q_{k-j} = e^{-a} \frac{a^k}{k!}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Hence $p_0 > 0$, $q_0 > 0$ and

$$p_k \leq \frac{e^{-a} a^k}{q_0 k!} \quad \text{and} \quad q_k \leq \frac{e^{-a} a^k}{p_0 k!}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Let

$$g(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j z^j \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_j z^j.$$

The function $g(z)$ is regular on the whole complex plane,

$$|g(z)| \leq \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-a} \frac{a^k}{k!} |z|^k = \frac{1}{q_0} e^{-a(1-|z|)}$$

and $g(z)$ never vanishes. For $g(z)h(z) = e^{-a(1-z)}$ and $e^{-a(1-z)}$ has no zeros. Thus

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log g(z)}{z^2} = 0$$

and by Theorem 10.3 in the Appendix, it follows that $\log g(z) = a_1(z-1)$.

Here we used that $g(1) = 1$. Accordingly, $g(z) = e^{-a_1(1-z)}$, and in a similar

way we get $h(z) = e^{-a_2(1-z)}$. Obviously $a_1 \geq 0$, $a_2 \geq 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 = a$.

If $a_1 = 0$ or $a_2 = 0$, then the random variable ξ_1 or ξ_2 has a degenerate distribution. If $a_1 > 0$ and $a_2 > 0$ then both $\xi_1 + c$ and $\xi_2 - c$ have a nondegenerate Poisson distribution.

53.3. Let

$$q_k(n) = P\{\underbrace{v(i) = i}_{k \text{ values}} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n | v(n) = n\}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. It is easy to see that $q_1(1) = 1$ and

$$q_1(n) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} P\{\underbrace{v(j) = j}_{\sim} | v(n) = n\} q_1(j)$$

for $n > 1$. Furthermore,

$$q_k(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-k+1} P\{v(j) = j | v(n) = n\} q_1(j) q_{k-1}(n-j)$$

for $2 \leq k \leq n$. Define

$$Q_k(n) = \frac{n^n}{n!} q_k(n)$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then we have $Q_1(1) = 1$ and

$$Q_1(n) = \frac{n^n}{n!} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} Q_1(j) \frac{(n-j)^{n-j}}{(n-j)!}$$

for $n > 1$. Furthermore,

$$Q_k(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-k+1} Q_1(j) Q_{k-1}(n-j)$$

for $2 \leq k \leq n$. Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_1(n) z^n = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^n}{n!} z^n}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^n}{n!} z^n} = \frac{\frac{\rho(z)}{1-\rho(z)}}{\frac{1}{1-\rho(z)}} = \rho(z)$$

for $|z| < 1/e$ where $w = \rho(z)$ is the only root of $w e^{-w} = z$ in the circle $|w| < 1$, and

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} Q_k(n) z^n = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_1(n) z^n \right)^k = [\rho(z)]^k$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. By Lagrange's expansion we obtain that

$$Q_k(n) = \frac{k}{(n-k)!n}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. (See (39.148) and (39.149).)

53.4. If τ_u ($0 \leq u < \infty$) is defined by (49.24), then by the solution of Problem 46.17 we have

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\tau_u - u(e+1)}{u(\log u)^{-2}} \wedge \leq x \right\} = R(x) \quad \wedge \log u + 2 \log \log u$$

where $R(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type $S(1,1, \frac{\pi}{2}, -C)$ where C is Euler's constant.

If

$$t = u(e+1) - \frac{u}{\log u} + x \frac{u}{(\log u)^2} - \frac{2u \log \log u}{(\log u)^2}$$

for $u > e$, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{u - \frac{t}{e+1}}{t(e+1)^{-2}(\log t)^{-2}} \wedge \right\} = \frac{1}{e+1} + \log(e+1) - x \quad \wedge -\log t - 2 \log \log t$$

and thus

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} P\{\tau_u \leq t\} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\{v(t) \geq u\} = R(x)$$

implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{v(t) - \frac{t}{e+1}}{t(e+1)^{-2}(\log t)^{-2}} \wedge \leq \frac{1}{e+1} + \log(e+1) + x \right\} = 1 - R(-x) \quad \wedge -\log t - 2 \log \log t$$

53.5. Denote by Δ_n the number of positive terms in the sequence $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$. Then we have

$$\widetilde{P}\{\tau_1 > n\} = \widetilde{P}\{\zeta_r \leq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq r \leq n\} = \widetilde{P}\{\Delta_n = 0\}$$

for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. By Theorem 23.1 we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{P}\{\Delta_n = 0\} \rho^n = \exp\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n} \widetilde{P}\{\zeta_n \leq 0\} \right\}$$

for $|\rho| < 1$. By (42.192) we have

$$\widetilde{P}\{\zeta_n \leq 0\} = 1 - q = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2\alpha}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ where

$$\gamma = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan\left(\beta \tan \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)$$

and $-1 < \gamma < 1$. Thus it follows that

$$\widetilde{P}\{\tau_1 > n\} = \widetilde{P}\{\Delta_n = 0\} = (-1)^n \binom{q-1}{n} = \binom{n-q}{n}$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $0 < q < -1$.

By the solution of Problem 46.16 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{P}\left\{ \frac{\tau_n}{n^{1/q}} \leq x \right\} = R(x)$$

where $R(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type $S(q, 1, \cos \frac{q\pi}{2}, 0)$.

Hence by Theorem 49.2 we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{P}\left\{ \frac{v(t)}{t^q} \leq x \right\} = 1 - R(x^{-1/q})$$

for $x > 0$ or

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{v(t)}{t^q} \leq x \right\} = G_q(x)$$

where $G_q(x)$ is defined by (42.178) .

53.6. The random variables $x_1 = \zeta_{\tau_1}$, $x_2 = \zeta_{\tau_2} - \zeta_{\tau_1}$, ... are mutually independent and identically distributed positive random variables and

$\zeta_{\tau_n} = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By Theorem 19.4 we have

$$\phi(s) = E\{e^{-s\zeta_{\tau_1}}\} = 1 - e^{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} E\{e^{-s\zeta_n} \delta(\zeta_n > 0)\}}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$. The random variable ζ_n has a stable distribution of type $S(\alpha, \beta, nc, 0)$ and thus by the solution of Problem 46.8 we have

$$E\{e^{-s\zeta_n} \delta(\zeta_n > 0)\} = \frac{\cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha}}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-nc^* x^\alpha s^\alpha}}{1 - 2x \sin \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha} + x^2} dx$$

for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$ where $c^* = c/\cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2}$. Since $q = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2\alpha}$ we have

$$\sin \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha} = -\cos q\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \cos \frac{\gamma\pi}{2\alpha} = \sin q\pi ,$$

and

$$1 - \phi(s) = \exp\left\{ \frac{\sin q\pi}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log(1 - e^{-c^* x^\alpha s^\alpha})}{1 + 2x \cos q\pi + x^2} dx \right\}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$. If we write

$$\log(1 - e^{-c^* x^\alpha s^\alpha}) = \log(c^* x^\alpha s^\alpha) + \log\left(\frac{1 - e^{-c^* x^\alpha s^\alpha}}{c^* x^\alpha s^\alpha}\right)$$

in the above integral and if we take into consideration that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{1+2x \cos q\pi x^2} = 2 \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{1+2x \cos q\pi x^2} = \frac{q\pi}{\sin q\pi}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\log x}{1+2x \cos q\pi x^2} dx = \int_0^1 \frac{\log x}{1+2x \cos q\pi x^2} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{\log x}{1+2x \cos q\pi x^2} dx = 0,$$

then we can easily see that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - \phi(s)}{s^{\alpha q}} = (c^*)^q = \left[\frac{c}{\cos \frac{q\pi}{2}} \right]^q = c^q (1 + \beta^2 \tan^2 \frac{\alpha q \pi}{2})^{\frac{q}{2}}.$$

If either $0 < \alpha < 1$ or $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $-1 < \beta \leq 1$, then $0 < \alpha q < 1$ and consequently

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{\alpha q} \widetilde{P}\{X_1 > x\} = \frac{(c^*)^q}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha q)}.$$

Thus $\widetilde{P}\{X_1 \leq x\}$ belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable distribution function $R(x)$ of type $S(\alpha q, 1, \bar{c}, 0)$ where $\bar{c} > 0$. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{P}\left\{ \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{(bn)^{\alpha q}} \leq x \right\} = R(x)$$

if

$$b = \frac{(c^*)^q \pi}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha q) 2\bar{c} \Gamma(\alpha q) \sin \frac{\alpha q \pi}{2}} = \frac{(c^*)^q \cos \frac{\alpha q \pi}{2}}{\bar{c}}.$$

If $\bar{c} = (c^*)^q \cos \frac{\alpha q \pi}{2}$, then $b = 1$ and we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n^{\alpha q}} \leq x \right\} = R(x)$$

where $R(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type

$$S(\alpha q, 1, c^q (1 + \beta^2 \tan^2 \frac{\alpha \pi}{2})^{\frac{q}{2}} \cos \frac{\alpha q \pi}{2}, 0).$$

We note that if $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $\beta = -1$, then $\gamma = 2 - \alpha$, and $\alpha q = 1$.

In this case

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - \phi(s)}{s} = \left(\frac{c}{|\cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}|} \right)^{1/\alpha}$$

that is

$$E\{x_1\} = \left(\frac{c}{|\cos \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}|} \right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

CHAPTER VIII

58.1. Let us suppose that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ independently of the others we perform the following random trial: We distribute a_i points on the interval $(0, 1)$ in such a way that the points are distributed independently and uniformly on $(0, 1)$. In the i -th trial denote by $x_i(u)$ the number of points in the interval $(0, u)$ for $0 \leq u \leq 1$. Then the processes $\{x_i(u), 0 \leq u \leq 1\}$ are independent for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and we can easily see that the probability sought is

$$P = P\{x_1(u)+c_1 < x_2(u)+c_2 < \dots < x_m(u)+c_m \text{ for } 0 \leq u \leq 1\} .$$

On the other hand if we suppose that $\{v_i(u), 0 \leq u < \infty\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) are independent Poisson processes of density λ , then obviously we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} P &= P\{v_1(u)+c_1 < v_2(u)+c_2 < \dots < v_m(u)+c_m \text{ for } 0 \leq u \leq 1 | v_1(1) = \\ &= a_1, v_2(1) = a_2, \dots, v_m(1) = a_m\} . \end{aligned}$$

This latter probability is given by Theorem 56.9 .

58.2. Let us define

$$p_k(a) = P\{v(i) < i \text{ for } 0 < i \leq k | v(a+k) = k\}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $a \geq 0$ where $p_0(a) = 1$, and

$$p_k^*(a) = P\{v(i) < i+1 \text{ for } 0 \leq i < k | v(a+k-1) = k\}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $a \geq 0$ where $p_0^*(a) = 1$ and $p_1^*(a) = 1$.

Then we have $W(t,0,k) = p_k(t-k)$ for $0 \leq k \leq t$ and $W(t,1,k) = p_k^*(t+1-k)$ for $0 \leq k \leq t+1$.

We can see immediately that

$$p_k(a) = P\{\underbrace{v(a+i)}_{\sim} > i \text{ for } 0 \leq i < k | v(a+k) = k\}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $a \geq 0$ where $p_0(a) = 1$.

If $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $a \geq 0$, then we have

$$p_k(a) = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P\{\underbrace{v(a+j)}_{\sim} = j | v(a+k) = k\} p_j(a)$$

where

$$P\{\underbrace{v(a+j)}_{\sim} = j | v(a+k) = k\} = \binom{k}{j} \frac{(a+j)^j (k-j)^{k-j}}{(a+k)^k}$$

Let

$$P_k(a) = \frac{p_k(a)(a+k)^k}{k!}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $a \geq 0$. Then we have $P_0(a) = 1$ and

$$P_k(a) = \frac{(a+k)^k}{k!} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_j(a) \frac{(k-j)^{k-j}}{(k-j)!}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(a) z^k = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+k)^k}{k!} z^k}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^k}{k!} z^k} = \frac{e^{a\rho(z)}}{1-\rho(z)} = e^{a\rho(z)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(a+k)^{k-1}}{k!} z^k$$

for $|z| < 1/e$ where $w = \rho(z)$ is the only root of the equation $we^{-w} = z$ in the circle $|w| < 1$. (See (39.148) and (39.149).) Thus

$$p_k(a) = \frac{a}{a+k}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots$ and $a \geq 0$ where $p_0(0) = 1$, and

$$W(t, 0, k) = 1 - \frac{k}{t}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq t$ and $t > 0$. This is in agreement with (56.83).

Second, we can write equivalently that

$$p_k^*(a) = \underset{\sim}{P}\{v(a+i) \geq i+1 \text{ for } 0 \leq i < k | v(a+k-1) = k\}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $a \geq 0$ where $p_0^*(a) = 1$ and $p_1^*(a) = 1$.

If $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $a \geq 0$, then we have

$$p_k^*(a) = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \underset{\sim}{P}\{v(a+j-1) = j, v(a+j) = j | v(a+k-1) = k\} p_j^*(a)$$

where

$$\underset{\sim}{P}\{v(a+j-1) = j, v(a+j) = j | v(a+k-1) = k\} = \frac{k! (a+j-1)^j (k-j-1)^{k-j}}{j! (k-j)! (a+k-1)^k}$$

Let

$$P_k^*(a) = \frac{p_k^*(a) (a+k-1)^k}{k!}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $a \geq 0$. Then we have $P_0^*(a) = 1$, $P_1^*(a) = 1$ and

$$P_k^*(a) = \frac{(a+k-1)^k}{k!} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_j^*(a) \frac{(k-j-1)^{k-j}}{(k-j)!}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k^*(a) z^k = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+k-1)^k}{k!} z^k}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k-1)^k}{k!} z^k} = \frac{e^{(a-1)\rho(z)}}{\frac{1-\rho(z)}{e^{-\rho(z)}}} = e^{a\rho(z)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(a+k)^{k-1}}{k!} z^k$$

for $|z| < 1/e$. Thus

$$P_k^*(a) = \frac{a(a+k)^{k-1}}{(a+k-1)^k}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, and $a \geq 0$ where $P_0^*(a) = 1$ and $P_1^*(a) = 1$, and

$$W(t, 1, k) = \frac{(t+1-k)(t+1)^{k-1}}{t^k}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq t+1$ and $t > 0$. This is in agreement with (56.88).

58.3. If we take into consideration that in the underlying Poisson process in the interval $(0, \Delta t)$ one event occurs with probability $\lambda \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$ and more than one event occurs with probability $o(\Delta t)$, then we can write that

$$W(t+\Delta t, x) = (1-\lambda \Delta t)W(t, x+\Delta t) + \lambda \Delta t \int_{-\infty}^x W(t, x-y) dH(y) + o(\Delta t).$$

Hence by the limiting procedure $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ we obtain that $W(t, x)$ satisfies the integro-differential equation

$$\frac{\partial W(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial W(t, x)}{\partial x} - \lambda W(t, x) + \lambda \int_{-\infty}^x W(t, x-y) dH(y)$$

for almost all (t, x) . The probability $W(t, x)$ can be determined by solving this equation.

58.4. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{P}\{\chi(u) \leq x\} &= e^{-\lambda u} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda u} \frac{(\lambda u)^n}{n!} \int_0^x e^{-\mu y} \frac{(\mu y)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \mu dy = \\ &= e^{-\lambda u} \left[1 + \lambda \mu \int_0^x e^{-\mu y} J'(\lambda \mu y) dy \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $x \geq 0$ and $\widetilde{P}\{\chi(u) \leq x\} = 0$ for $x < 0$ where

$$J(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(n!)^2}$$

is a Bessel function. Hence $\widetilde{P}\{\chi(u) = 0\} = e^{-\lambda u}$ and

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{P}\{\chi(u) \leq x\}}{\partial x} = \lambda \mu e^{-\lambda u - \mu x} J'(\lambda \mu x)$$

for $x > 0$.

By Theorem 55.6 we have

$$W(t, 0) = e^{-\lambda t} \left[1 + \lambda \mu \int_0^t (t-y) e^{-\mu y} J'(\lambda \mu y) dy \right]$$

for $t \geq 0$ and

$$W(t, x) = \widetilde{P}\{\chi(t) \leq t+x\} - \lambda \mu e^{-\mu x} \int_0^t u e^{-(\lambda+\mu)u} J'(\lambda \mu (u+x)) W(t-u, 0) du$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $x \geq 0$. In another form we can write that

$$W(t, x) = 1 - \lambda e^{-\mu x} \int_0^t \frac{e^{-(\lambda+\mu)y}}{x+y} [xJ(\lambda \mu y(x+y)) + yJ'(\lambda \mu y(x+y))] dy$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $x \geq 0$.

58.5. By using the same notation as in Problem 58.4 we have

$$V(t,x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x} - \lambda \mu x e^{\mu x} \int_x^t e^{-(\lambda+\mu)y} J'(\lambda \mu y(y-x)) dy$$

for $0 < x \leq t$. This follows immediately from Theorem 55.9.

58.6. If we suppose that $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n, \dots$ are numerical (non-random) quantities for which $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots < \tau_n < \dots$ and $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we have $\eta(t) = \eta_n$ for $\tau_n < t < \tau_{n+1}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) where $\eta_0 = 0$ and

$$\eta_n = \max(0, x_1 - \tau_1, x_1 + x_2 - \tau_2, \dots, x_1 + \dots + x_n - \tau_n).$$

Thus

$$q \int_0^\infty e^{-qt - s\eta(t)} dt = \sum_{n=0}^\infty q e^{-s\eta_n} \int_{\tau_n}^{\tau_{n+1}} e^{-qt} dt = \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{-s\eta_n} (e^{-q\tau_n} - e^{-q\tau_{n+1}})$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(q) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$. If $\{x_n\}$ and $\{\tau_n\}$ are random variables, then the above identity holds for almost all realizations of the process $\{\chi(u), 0 \leq u < \infty\}$. If we form the expectation of the above expression, then we get

$$q \int_0^\infty e^{-qt} \widetilde{E}\{e^{-s\eta(t)}\} dt = [1 - \phi(q)] U(q, s)$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(q) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ where by Theorem 4.1

$$U(q, s) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \widetilde{E}\{e^{-s\eta_n - q\tau_n}\} = e^{-\widetilde{T}\{\log[1 - \psi(s)\phi(q-s)]\}}.$$

The same result can also be obtained by Theorem 54.1. The distribution function $\widetilde{P}\{\eta(t) \leq x\}$ can be obtained by inversion from the above transform.

58.7. First, let us suppose that $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n, \dots$ are numerical (non-random) quantities for which $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots < \tau_n < \dots$

and $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let us write $\gamma_n = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

and

$$\eta_n^* = \max(0, \tau_2 - \tau_1 - \gamma_1, \dots, \tau_{n+1} - \tau_1 - \gamma_n)$$

$$\chi_n \geq 0 \quad (n=1, 2, \dots),$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\eta_0^* = 0$. Then $\eta^*(\tau_n) = \tau_1 + \eta_{n-1}^*$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$\eta^*(t) = \max(\eta^*(\tau_n), t - \gamma_n) = t - \gamma_n + [\eta^*(\tau_n) + \gamma_n - t]^+$$

for $\tau_n \leq t \leq \tau_{n+1}$. If we calculate

$$q \int_{\tau_n}^{\tau_{n+1}} e^{-qt - s\eta^*(t)} dt$$

we

by using (54.17) and if we add these integrals for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then we obtain

that

$$q \int_0^{\infty} e^{-qt - s\eta^*(t)} dt = \frac{q}{q+s} + \frac{s e^{-(q+s)\tau_1}}{q+s} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-q\gamma_n - (q+s)\eta_n^*} (1 - e^{-q\chi_{n+1}})$$

for $\text{Re}(q) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$. If $\{\chi_n\}$ and $\{\tau_n\}$ are random variables,

then the above identity holds for almost all realizations of the process

$\{\chi(u), 0 \leq u < \infty\}$. If we form the expectation of the above expression, then

we get

$$q \int_0^{\infty} e^{-qt} E\{e^{-s\eta^*(t)}\} dt = \frac{q}{q+s} + \frac{s}{q+s} \phi(q+s) [1 - \psi(q)] U^*(q, q+s)$$

for $\text{Re}(q) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$ where by Theorem 4.1

$$U^*(q,s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E\{e^{-q\gamma_n - s\eta_n^*}\} = e^{-T\{\log[1-\phi(s)\psi(q-s)]\}} .$$

The distribution function $P\{\eta^*(t) \leq x\}$ can be obtained by inversion from the above transform.

58.8. If $\gamma \leq \alpha$, then

$$(s-q) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} e^{-qt} dt = \frac{(q-s)}{q} (e^{-q\beta} - e^{-q\alpha}) ,$$

if $\gamma \geq \beta$, then

$$(s-q) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} e^{-qt-s(\gamma-t)} dt = e^{-q\beta-s(\gamma-\beta)} - e^{-q\alpha-s(\gamma-\alpha)} ,$$

and if $\alpha \leq \gamma \leq \beta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (s-q) \int_{\alpha}^{\gamma} e^{-qt-s(\gamma-t)} dt + (s-q) \int_{\gamma}^{\beta} e^{-qt} dt = \\ & = [e^{-q\gamma} - e^{-q\alpha-s(\gamma-\alpha)}] - (1 - \frac{s}{q})(e^{-q\beta} - e^{-q\gamma}) . \end{aligned}$$

These formulas prove the identity in question in each case.

61.1. In this case

$$\psi(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx} dH(x) = \frac{\mu}{\mu+s}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -\mu$ and by (59.12) we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx} d_{x\sim} P\{\beta(a+x) \leq x\} = e^{-\frac{\lambda a s}{\mu+s}}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $a > 0$. Hence by inversion we get

$$P\{\beta(a+x) \leq x\} = e^{-\lambda a} \left[1 + \sqrt{\lambda \mu a} \int_0^x e^{-\mu u} u^{-1/2} I_1(2\sqrt{\lambda \mu a u}) du \right]$$

for $a > 0$ and $x \geq 0$ where

$$I_1(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x/2)^{2n+1}}{n!(n+1)!}$$

is a Bessel function. Thus we have

$$P\{\beta(t) \leq x\} = e^{-\lambda(t-x)} \left[1 + \sqrt{\lambda \mu(t-x)} \int_0^x e^{-\mu u} u^{-1/2} I_1(2\sqrt{\lambda \mu(t-x)u}) du \right]$$

for $0 \leq x < t$.

61.2. If we use the notation of Example 1 in Section 59, then

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots$ are mutually independent random variables for which

$$P\{\alpha_n = 2j-1\} = P\{\beta_n = 2j-1\} = \frac{1}{2^{j-1}} \binom{2j}{j} \frac{1}{2^{2j}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi j^3}}$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$). Hence

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} [1-G(x)]x^{1/2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} [1-H(x)]x^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}},$$

and by (59.62) we obtain that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\{\beta(t) \leq tx\} = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{x}$$

for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. For a direct proof see (37.166).

61.3. Denote by $\Delta_n(t)$ the number positive elements in the sequence $\xi(\frac{rt}{n})$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Since $\xi(\frac{rt}{n}) - \xi(\frac{(r-1)t}{n})$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are mutually independent, identically distributed, symmetric random variables for which $P\{\xi(\frac{rt}{n}) = 0\} = 0$, by the solution of Problem 27.1 we have

$$P\{\Delta_n(t) = j\} = \binom{2j}{j} \binom{2n-2j}{n-j} \frac{1}{2^{2n}}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Thus by (37.166) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\frac{\Delta_n(t)}{n} \leq x\right\} = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{x}$$

for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Now by Theorem 52.3 we can conclude that

$$P\left\{\frac{\beta(t)}{t} \leq x\right\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\frac{\Delta_n(t)}{n} \leq x\right\}$$

for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and therefore

$$P\{\beta(t) \leq tx\} = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{x}$$

for $0 \leq x \leq 1$.

61.4. Let us use the notation of Example 1 in Section 59. In this case $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are independent sequences of mutually independent and identically distributed random variables. We have

$$P\{\alpha_n = 2^{j-1}\} = \frac{1}{2^{j-1}} \binom{2j}{j} \frac{1}{2^{2j}}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and $E\{\beta_n\} = m$. Hence

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} [1-G(x)]x^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$$

and by (59.52) we can conclude that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\beta(t)}{mt^{1/2}} \leq x\right\} = P\{\gamma^{-1/2} \leq x\}$$

where γ has a stable distribution of type $S(\frac{1}{2}, 1, \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}, 0)$. Thus we can write that $\gamma = \pi/2\gamma^*$ where $P\{\gamma^* \leq x\} = \Phi(x)$, the normal distribution function. Thus

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\{\beta(t) \leq x\sqrt{t}\} = P\{|\gamma^*| \leq \frac{x}{m}\} = 2\Phi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) - 1$$

for $x \geq 0$.

61.5. We shall use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 59.2.

In this case

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\frac{\delta_n}{n^{1/\alpha} r(n)} \leq x\right\} = R(x)$$

where $R(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type $S(\alpha, 1, \Gamma(1-\alpha)\cos\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, 0)$

and $r(t)$ satisfies the relation

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(t^{1/\alpha} r(t))}{(r(t))^\alpha} = 1.$$

(See Problem 46.13.) We note that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} r(\omega t)/r(t) = 1$ for any $\omega > 0$. If we define $\rho(t)$ by (59.5), then we have

$$\frac{\rho(t)}{t} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{A}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus by Theorem 45.4 we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{A^{1/\alpha} \delta_\rho(t)}{t^{1/\alpha} r(t)} \leq x \right\} = R(x)$$

regardless of whether $\{\alpha_n\}$ depends on $\{\beta_n\}$ or not. If we define

$$u = t + xr(t)(t/A)^{1/\alpha},$$

then

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t[r(u^\alpha)]^\alpha}{A u^\alpha} = \frac{1}{x^\alpha}$$

for $x > 0$ and thus by (59.6) we have

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} P\{\beta(u) \leq u - t\} = R(x)$$

for $x > 0$. Accordingly,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{[u - \beta(u)][r(u^\alpha)]^\alpha}{A u^\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{x^\alpha} \right\} = 1 - R(x)$$

for $x > 0$, or

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{[t - \beta(t)][r(t^\alpha)]^\alpha}{A t^\alpha} \leq x \right\} = 1 - R\left(\frac{1}{x^{1/\alpha}}\right) = G_\alpha(\Gamma(1-\alpha)x)$$

for $x > 0$ where $G_\alpha(x)$ is defined by (42.178) .

61.6. For each $t \geq 0$ let us define $\omega(t)$ as a discrete random variable which takes on positive integers only and which satisfies

$$\{\omega(t) \leq n\} \equiv \{\delta_n > t\}$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then by (59.1) we can write that

$$\widetilde{P}\{\beta(t) \leq x\} = 1 - \widetilde{P}\{\gamma_{\omega(x)} < t-x\}$$

for $0 \leq x \leq t$.

In our case

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{P}\left\{ \frac{\gamma_n}{n^{1/\alpha} r(n)} \leq x \right\} = R(x)$$

where $R(x)$ and $r(x)$ have the same meaning as in the solution of Problem 61.4 . Furthermore, we have

$$\frac{\omega(t)}{t} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{B}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus by Theorem 45.4 we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{P}\left\{ \frac{B^{1/\alpha} \gamma_{\omega(t)}}{t^{1/\alpha} r(t)} \leq x \right\} = R(x)$$

regardless of whether $\{\alpha_n\}$ depends on $\{\beta_n\}$ or not.

If we define

$$u = t + xr(t)(t/B)^{1/\alpha} ,$$

then

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t[r(u^\alpha)]^\alpha}{A u^\alpha} = \frac{1}{x^\alpha}$$

for $x > 0$, and consequently

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} P\{\beta(u) \leq t\} = 1 - R(x)$$

for $x > 0$. Hence we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\beta(t)[r(t^\alpha)]^\alpha}{B t^\alpha} \leq x \right\} = 1 - R\left(\frac{1}{x^{1/\alpha}}\right) = G_\alpha(\Gamma(1-\alpha)x)$$

for $x > 0$ where $G_\alpha(x)$ is defined by (42.178).

61.7. By Theorem 59.6 we obtain that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\beta(t) - \frac{B_1 t}{A_1 + B_1}}{\left(\frac{A_1}{A_1 + B_1}\right)^{3/2} t^{1/2}} \leq x \right\} = P\left\{ \frac{A_1 B_2 \delta - B_1 A_2 \gamma}{A_1^{3/2}} \leq x \right\}$$

where $P\{\delta \leq x, \gamma \leq y\} = F(x, y)$. Hence it follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\beta(t) - M_1 t}{M_2 t^{1/2}} \leq x \right\} = \Phi(x)$$

where $M_1 = B_1 / (A_1 + B_1)$,

$$M_2 = \frac{(A_1^2 B_2^2 + B_1^2 A_2^2 - 2r A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2)^{1/2}}{(A_1 + B_1)^{3/2}},$$

and $\Phi(x)$ is the normal distribution function of type $N(0, 1)$.

61.8. (i) If $\Phi(s, q) = e^{-s^\alpha - q^\alpha}$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(q) \geq 0$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then by (59.131) we have

$$V(x) = \frac{x^\alpha}{1 + x^\alpha}$$

for $x \geq 0$ and therefore

$$\frac{dQ(x)}{dx} = \frac{x^{\alpha-1} \sin \alpha \pi}{\pi(1 + 2x^\alpha \cos \alpha \pi + x^{2\alpha})}$$

for $x > 0$. Thus by (59.109)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P \left\{ \frac{\beta(t)}{t} \leq x \right\} = 1 - Q\left(\frac{B_2(1-x)}{A_2 x}\right)$$

for $0 < x \leq 1$.

(ii) If $\Phi(s, q) = e^{-(s+q)^\alpha}$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s+q) \geq 0$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then by (59.131) we have

$$V(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{(1+x)^\alpha}$$

for $x \geq 0$ and therefore

$$\frac{dQ(x)}{dx} = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi x(x-1)^\alpha} & \text{for } x > 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus by (59.109)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P \left\{ \frac{\beta(t)}{t} \leq x \right\} = 1 - Q\left(\frac{B_2(1-x)}{A_2 x}\right)$$

for $0 < x \leq 1$.

CHAPTER X .

65.1. First, let us consider a general single-server queue in which customers arrive at a counter at times $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, \dots$ where $\tau_0 = 0$. Denote by x_n the service time of the customer arriving at time τ_n ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Let η_0 be the initial occupation time of the server immediately before $t = 0$. Let

$$\chi(u) = \sum_{0 < \tau_n \leq u} x_n$$

for $u \geq 0$.

Now we shall prove that

$$\theta(t) = \sup \{ 0 \text{ and } u - \chi(u) - \eta_0 - x_0 \text{ for } 0 \leq u \leq t \}$$

for $t \geq 0$.

Define $\gamma_n = \eta_0 + x_0 + \dots + x_{n-1}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\gamma_0 = 0$.

Let $\tau_n \leq t \leq \tau_{n+1}$. If at time t the server is busy, then $\theta(t) = \theta(\tau_n)$ and $\theta(\tau_n) \geq t - \gamma_{n+1}$. If at time t the server is idle, then $\theta(t) = t - \gamma_{n+1}$ and $t - \gamma_{n+1} \geq \theta(\tau_n)$. Thus we have

$$\theta(t) = \max(\theta(\tau_n), t - \gamma_{n+1})$$

for $\tau_n \leq t \leq \tau_{n+1}$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. In particular, $\theta(\tau_{n+1}) = \max(\theta(\tau_n), \tau_{n+1} - \gamma_{n+1})$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and $\theta(\tau_0) = 0$, and consequently

$$\theta(\tau_n) = \max(0, \tau_1 - \gamma_1, \dots, \tau_n - \gamma_n)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

These relations are valid for any single-server queue.

Now let us suppose that $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, \dots, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots$ and n_0 are numerical (non-random) quantities for which $\tau_0 = 0 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_n < \dots$ and $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If we write $\theta(t) = t - \gamma_{n+1} + [\theta(\tau_n) + \gamma_{n+1} - t]^+$ for $\tau_n \leq t \leq \tau_{n+1}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) and if we use (54.17) in calculating the integral

$$q \int_{\tau_n}^{\tau_{n+1}} e^{-qt - s\theta(t)} dt$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then we obtain that

$$q \int_0^{\infty} e^{-qt - s\theta(t)} dt = 1 - \frac{s}{q+s} e^{-q(\tau_0 + x_0)} + \frac{s}{q+s} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-q\tau_{n+1} - (q+s)\theta(\tau_{n+1})} (1 - e^{-qx_n}) \right\}$$

for $\text{Re}(q) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

Now let us suppose that $\widetilde{P}\{n_0 = 0\} = 1$ and x_n ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) and $\tau_n - \tau_{n-1}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are independent and identically distributed positive random variables. Let $\widetilde{E}\{e^{-sx_n}\} = \psi(s)$ and $\widetilde{E}\{e^{-s(\tau_n - \tau_{n-1})}\} = \phi(s)$ for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$. Then the above identity holds for almost all realizations of the queuing process. If we form its expectation, then we obtain that

$$q \int_0^{\infty} e^{-qt} \widetilde{E}\{e^{-s\theta(t)}\} dt = \frac{q}{q+s} + \frac{s}{q+s} [1 - \psi(q)] V(q, q+s)$$

for $\text{Re}(q) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$ where

sequences of mutually independent

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(q,s) &= 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E\{e^{-q\gamma_{n+1} - s\theta(\tau_{n+1})}\} = \\
 &= e^{-T\{\log[1-\phi(s)\psi(q-s)]\}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This last equation can be proved by using Theorem 4.1 . The distribution function $\underline{\underline{P}}\{\theta(t) \leq x\}$ can be obtained by inversion from the above transform.

We note that if $\underline{\underline{P}}\{\eta_0 = 0\} = 1$ and $\underline{\underline{P}}\{\chi_0 = 0\} = 1$, then

$$\theta(t) = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} [u - \chi(u)]$$

for $t \geq 0$, and $\underline{\underline{P}}\{\theta(t) \leq x\}$ can be obtained by the solution of Problem 58.7 .

65.2. Since $\theta(t)$ is a nondecreasing function of t , the limit

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \underline{\underline{P}}\{\theta(t) \leq x\} = V(x)$ exists for every x and by the solution of Problem 65.1 we have

$$\Omega^*(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx} dV(x) = \lim_{q \rightarrow +0} q \int_0^{\infty} e^{-qt} E\{e^{-s\theta(t)}\} dt$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$. Thus

$$\Omega^*(s) = \lim_{q \rightarrow +0} [1 - \psi(q)]V(q, q+s).$$

Since

$$[1 - \psi(q)]V(q, q+s) = \exp\left\{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} e^{-q\gamma_n} (1 - E\{e^{-(q+s)[\tau_n - \gamma_n]^+}\})\right\}$$

for $\text{Re}(q) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(q+s) \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\Omega^*(s) = \exp\left\{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (1 - E\{e^{-s[\tau_n - \gamma_n]^+}\})\right\}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$. If $a < b$, then by Theorem 43.13 we have $\lim_{s \rightarrow +0} \Omega^*(s) = 1$,

that is, $V(x)$ is a proper distribution function and its Laplace-Stieltjes transform is given by $\Omega^*(s)$ for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$. It is interesting to point out that by Theorem 62.2 we can conclude that $V(x)$ is the limiting distribution of the actual waiting time of the n -th arriving customer in the inverse queuing process, that is, in the queuing process in which the inter-arrival times and service times are interchanged.

65.3. By Theorem 62.2 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\eta_n \leq x\} = P\{\sup_{\infty} (0, x_0 - \tau_1, x_0 + x_1 - \tau_2, \dots) \leq x\}$$

and obviously,

$$\sup_{0 \leq u < \infty} [X(u) - u] = \sup(0, x_1 - \tau_1, x_1 + x_2 - \tau_2, \dots).$$

Since $\{\tau_n - \tau_{n-1}, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\{x_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ are independent sequences of mutually independent and identically distributed random variables the assertion follows.

65.4. Since

$$P\{\eta_n \leq x | \eta_0 = 0\} = P\{x_0 - \tau_1 \leq x, x_0 + x_1 - \tau_2 \leq x, \dots, x_0 + \dots + x_{n-1} - \tau_n \leq x\}$$

and

$$P\{\rho_0^* > n | \rho_0^* = x\} = P\{x_0 \leq \tau_1 + x, x_0 + x_1 \leq \tau_2 + x, \dots, x_0 + \dots + x_{n-1} \leq \tau_n + x\}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, the assertion follows immediately. We note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\tilde{n}_n \leq x | \eta_0 = 0\} = 1 - P\{\tilde{\rho}_0^* < \infty | \eta_0^* = x\}$$

for $x > 0$.

65.5. We can interpret $G^{(r)}(x)$ as the probability that the length of the initial busy period is $\leq x$ provided that the initial queue size is r . Denote by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n the lengths of the first n service times and by v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n the number of customers arriving during the 1-st, 2-nd, ..., n -th service time respectively. If we use Lemma 20.2, then we obtain that the probability that the initial busy period has length $\leq x$ and consists of n services is given by

$$G_n^{(r)}(x) = P\{\tilde{x}_1 + \dots + \tilde{x}_n \leq x, \tilde{v}_1 + \dots + \tilde{v}_i > i-r \text{ for } i = r, \dots, n-1$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{v}_1 + \dots + \tilde{v}_n = n-r\} = P\{\tilde{x}_1 + \dots + \tilde{x}_n \leq x, \tilde{v}_1 + \dots + \tilde{v}_i < i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-r$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{v}_1 + \dots + \tilde{v}_n = n-r\} = \frac{r}{n} P\{\tilde{v}_1 + \dots + \tilde{v}_n = n-r \text{ and } \tilde{x}_1 + \dots + \tilde{x}_n \leq x\} =$$

$$= \frac{r}{n} \int_0^x e^{-\lambda u} \frac{(\lambda u)^{n-r}}{(n-r)!} dH_n(u)$$

for $x \geq 0$. Finally,

$$G^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} G_n^{(r)}(x).$$

65.6. Let us define ξ_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) by (62.9) and let $\zeta_n = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\zeta_0 = 0$. Then $E\{\xi_n\} = 0$ and $\text{Var}\{\xi_n\} = \sigma_a^2 + \sigma_b^2$. By (62.12) we can conclude that η_n has the same asymptotic

distribution as $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \zeta_k$ regardless of the distribution of η_0 . Thus by the Theorem 45.6 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\eta_n}{\sqrt{(\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_b^2)n}} \leq x \right\} = 2\Phi(x) - 1$$

for $x \geq 0$ where $\Phi(x)$ is the normal distribution function.

Denote by $v(t)$ the number of arrivals in the time interval $(0, t)$. Then $v(t)/t \Rightarrow 1/a$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We can easily see that $\eta(t)$ has the same asymptotic distribution as $\eta_{v(t)}$. Thus by Theorem 45.5 we obtain that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\eta(t)}{\sqrt{(\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_b^2)t/a}} \leq x \right\} = 2\Phi(x) - 1$$

for $x \geq 0$.

65.7. Let us define ξ_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) by (62.9) and let $\zeta_n = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\zeta_0 = 0$. By (62.12) we can conclude that η_n has the same asymptotic distribution as $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \zeta_k$ regardless of the distribution of η_0 . In our case

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n - na}{n^{1/\alpha} \rho(n)} \leq x \right\} = R(x)$$

where $R(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type $S(\alpha, 1, \Gamma(1-\alpha) \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, 0)$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t[1 - H(t^{1/\alpha} \rho(t))] = 1.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\frac{\tau_n - na}{n^{1/\alpha}} \Rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\zeta_n}{n^{1/\alpha} \rho(n)} \leq x \right\} = R(x).$$

Now by Theorem 45.10 it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\eta_n}{n^{1/\alpha} \rho(n)} \leq x \right\} = Q(x)$$

where

$$Q(x) = P\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq 1} \xi(u) \leq x \right\}$$

and $\{\xi(u), 0 \leq u \leq 1\}$ is a separable stable process of type $S(\alpha, 1, \Gamma(1-\alpha) \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, 0)$.

The distribution function $Q(x)$ can be determined by (45.232).

If $v(t)$ denotes the number of arrivals in the time interval $(0, t)$, then $v(t)/t \Rightarrow 1/a$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\eta(t)$ has the same asymptotic distribution as $\eta_{v(t)}$, by Theorem 45.5 it follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\eta(t) a^{1/\alpha}}{t^{1/\alpha} \rho(t)} \leq x \right\} = Q(x)$$

also holds.

65.8. By (62.167) we have

$$\eta(t) = \eta_0 + \chi_0 + \chi(t) - \sigma(t)$$

where $\chi(t)$ is defined by (62.166) and $0 \leq \sigma(t) \leq t$. If

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{P} \left\{ \frac{\chi(t) - D_1(t)}{D_2(t)} \leq x \right\} = Q(x)$$

exists and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} D_2(t)/t = \infty$, then obviously

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{P} \left\{ \frac{\eta(t) - D_1(t)}{D_2(t)} \leq x \right\} = Q(x)$$

also holds. In our case

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{P} \left\{ \frac{\tau_n}{(na_1)^{1/\alpha_1}} \leq x \right\} = R_1(x)$$

where $R_1(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type $S(\alpha_1, 1, \Gamma(1-\alpha_1) \cos \frac{\alpha_1 \pi}{2}, 0)$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{P} \left\{ \frac{\chi_1 + \dots + \chi_n}{(na_2)^{1/\alpha_2}} \leq x \right\} = R_2(x)$$

where $R_2(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type $S(\alpha_2, 1, \Gamma(1-\alpha_2) \cos \frac{\alpha_2 \pi}{2}, 0)$.

Thus by (49.205) we obtain that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{P} \left\{ \frac{\chi(t)}{(a_2 t^{a_1/a_1})^{1/\alpha_2}} \leq x \right\} = Q(x)$$

where $Q(x) = \widetilde{P}\{\chi \theta^{-\alpha_1/\alpha_2} \leq x\}$ and θ and χ are independent random variables for which $\widetilde{P}\{\theta \leq x\} = R_1(x)$ and $\widetilde{P}\{\chi \leq x\} = R_2(x)$. Since $a_1/a_2 > 1$, it follows that $\eta(t)$ has the same asymptotic distribution as $\chi(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

65.9. Since $b < a$ and $0 < \sigma_a^2 + \sigma_b^2 < \infty$, it follows that $\underline{E}\{\theta_n\}$, $\underline{\text{Var}}\{\theta_n\}$ and $\underline{E}\{\sigma_n\}$, $\underline{\text{Var}}\{\sigma_n\}$ exist. Thus by Theorem 59.6 and by (59.107) we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P \left\{ \frac{\sigma(t) - \frac{B_1 t}{A_1 + B_1}}{\left(\frac{A_1}{A_1 + B_1}\right)^{3/2} t^{1/2}} \leq x \right\} = \underline{P} \left\{ \frac{A_1 B_2 \delta - B_1 A_2 \gamma}{A_1^{3/2}} \leq x \right\}$$

where $A_1 = \underline{E}\{\theta_n\}$, $A_2 = \sqrt{\underline{\text{Var}}\{\theta_n\}}$, $B_1 = \underline{E}\{\sigma_n\}$, $B_2 = \sqrt{\underline{\text{Var}}\{\sigma_n\}}$ and (δ, γ) has a normal distribution of type

$$N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ r & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

where $r = \underline{\text{Cov}}\{\theta_n, \sigma_n\} / A_2 B_2$. Accordingly (62.175) holds with

$$M_1 = \frac{B_1}{A_1 + B_1}$$

and

$$M_2 = \frac{\underline{E}\{(A_1 \sigma_n - B_1 \theta_n)^2\}}{(A_1 + B_1)^3}.$$

Denote by v_n the number of customers served in the n -th busy period. If $b < a$, then $\underline{E}\{v_n\}$ is finite and by Theorem 62.2 we have

$$\underline{E}\{v_n\} = 1/W(0) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\underline{P}\{x_1 + \dots + x_n > \tau_n\}}{n} \right\}.$$

Thus by Theorem 6.1 in the Appendix we have

$$\underline{E}\{\sigma_n + \theta_n\} = A/W(0)$$

and

$$E\{\sigma_n\} = b/W(0) ,$$

and by Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.3 in the Appendix we have

$$E\{(\sigma_n + \theta_n - v_n a)^2\} = \sigma_a^2/W(0) ,$$

$$E\{(\sigma_n - v_n b)^2\} = \sigma_b^2/W(0)$$

and

$$E\{(\sigma_n + \theta_n - v_n a)(\sigma_n - v_n b)\} = \text{Cov}\{\tau_n - \tau_{n-1}, x_n\}/W(0) = 0 .$$

Thus $A_1 + B_1 = a/W(0)$, $B_1 = b/W(0)$ and

$$E\{[a\sigma_n - b(\sigma_n + \theta_n)]^2\} = (a^2\sigma_b^2 + b^2\sigma_a^2)/W(0) .$$

In the last equation we used that

$$a\sigma_n - b(\sigma_n + \theta_n) = a(\sigma_n - v_n b) - b(\sigma_n + \theta_n - v_n a) .$$

The above formulas prove that (62.175) holds if M_1 is given by (62.176) and M_2 by (62.177) .

65.10. Let us use the same notation as in Theorem 62.9 and denote by v_n the number of customers served in the n -th busy period. Then by (62.106) we have

$$1 - E\{e^{-w\sigma_n - s\theta_n} \rho^{v_n}\} = \exp\left\{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n} E\{e^{-w\gamma_n - s(\tau_n - \gamma_n)} \delta(\tau_n \geq \gamma_n)\}\right\}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$, $\text{Re}(w) \geq 0$ and $|\rho| \leq 1$. Hence it follows that

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{\theta_n \rho^{v_n}\} = \frac{1}{2} [1 - \underline{\underline{E}}\{\rho^{v_n}\}] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n} \underline{\underline{E}}\{|\tau_n - \gamma_n|\}$$

for $|\rho| < 1$. Here we used that $\underline{\underline{E}}\{\tau_n - \gamma_n\} = 0$.

Since

$$\frac{\underline{\underline{E}}\{(\tau_n - \gamma_n)^2\}}{n(\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_b^2)} = 1,$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\underline{\underline{E}}\{|\tau_n - \gamma_n|\}}{\sqrt{n(\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_b^2)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| e^{-x^2/2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}.$$

Thus by Theorem 9.3 in the Appendix we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1-0} (1-\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n} \underline{\underline{E}}\{|\tau_n - \gamma_n|\} &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1-0} (1-\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2\underline{\underline{E}}\{\theta_n \rho^{v_n}\}}{1 - \underline{\underline{E}}\{\rho^{v_n}\}} = \\ &= [2(\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_b^2)]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{(1-\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \underline{\underline{E}}\{\rho^{v_n}\}} = \exp\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n} [P\{\tau_n \geq \gamma_n\} - \frac{1}{2}] \right\}$$

for $|\rho| < 1$, it follows that

$$\underline{\underline{E}}\{\theta_n\} = A = \left(\frac{\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_b^2}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} [P\{\tau_n \geq \gamma_n\} - \frac{1}{2}] \right\}.$$

If we use the notation $\psi(s) = \underline{\underline{E}}\{e^{-s(\tau_n - \tau_{n-1})}\}$ for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$, then

$\psi(s) = 1 - as + o(s)$ as $s \rightarrow +0$.

Since

$$\frac{1 - E\{e^{-s\sigma_n}\}}{s^{1/2}} = \left(\frac{1 - \psi(s)}{s}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left\{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} [E\{e^{-s\gamma_n} \delta(\tau_n \geq \gamma_n)\} - \frac{1}{2} E\{e^{-s\gamma_n}\}]\right\}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$, we obtain that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +0} \frac{1 - E\{e^{-s\sigma_n}\}}{s^{1/2}} = A \left(\frac{2a}{\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_b^2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} P\{\sigma_n > x\} x^{1/2} = \frac{A}{\pi^{1/2}} \left(\frac{2a}{\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_b^2}\right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_n}{n^{1/2} a / (\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_b^2)} \leq x\right\} = 2\left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right)\right]$$

for $x > 0$. This limit theorem and the relation

$$\frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \dots + \theta_n}{n} \Rightarrow A$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by the solution of Problem 61.5 or by the 7-th statement of Theorem 59.2, imply that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\frac{a^{1/2} \theta(t)}{[(\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_b^2)t]^{1/2}} \leq x\right\} = 2\Phi(x) - 1$$

for $x \geq 0$ where $\phi(x)$ is the normal distribution function.

65.11. Let us use the same notation as in the solution of Problem 65.10. In this case by (61.191) and (61.192) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \frac{\tau_n - \gamma_n}{(nh)^{1/\alpha}} \leq x \right\} = R(x)$$

where $R(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type $S(\alpha, -1, \Gamma(1-\alpha) \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, 0)$.

Hence it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E\{|\tau_n - \gamma_n|\}}{(nh)^{1/\alpha}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| dR(x) = \frac{2[-\Gamma(1-\alpha)]^{1/\alpha}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha})}$$

(See (42.198).) Thus by Theorem 9.3 in the Appendix it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1-0} (1-\rho)^\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n} E\{|\tau_n - \gamma_n|\} &= \\ &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1-0} (1-\rho)^\alpha \frac{2E\{\theta_n^\rho\}}{1-E\{\rho^{v_n}\}} = 2h^{1/\alpha} [-\Gamma(1-\alpha)]^{1/\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{(1-\rho)^\alpha}{1-E\{\rho^{v_n}\}} = \exp\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n} [P\{\tau_n \geq \gamma_n\} - \frac{1}{\alpha}] \right\}$$

for $|\rho| < 1$, it follows that

$$E\{\theta_n\} = A = h^{1/\alpha} [-\Gamma(1-\alpha)]^{1/\alpha} \exp\left\{ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} [P\{\tau_n \geq \gamma_n\} - \frac{1}{\alpha}] \right\}.$$

If we use the notation $\psi(s) = \underset{\sim}{E}\{e^{-s(\tau_n - \tau_{n-1})}\}$ for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$, then we have

$$1 - \psi(s) = as + \Gamma(1-\alpha)hs^\alpha + o(s^\alpha)$$

as $s \rightarrow +0$. Since

$$\frac{1 - \underset{\sim}{E}\{e^{-s\sigma_n}\}}{s^{1/\alpha}} = \left[\frac{1 - \psi(s)}{s}\right]^{1/\alpha} \exp\left\{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} [\underset{\sim}{E}\{e^{-s\gamma_n} \delta(\tau_n \geq \gamma_n)\}] - \frac{1}{\alpha} \underset{\sim}{E}\{e^{-s\gamma_n}\}\right\}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$, we obtain that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +0} \frac{1 - \underset{\sim}{E}\{e^{-s\sigma_n}\}}{s^{1/\alpha}} = \frac{A a^{1/\alpha}}{h^{1/\alpha}[-\Gamma(1-\alpha)]^{1/\alpha}}.$$

Accordingly, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} P\{\sigma_n > x\} x^{1/\alpha} = \frac{A a^{1/\alpha}}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) h^{1/\alpha} [-\Gamma(1-\alpha)]^{1/\alpha}}$$

and thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\frac{(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_n)h[-\Gamma(1-\alpha)]}{a A^\alpha n^\alpha} \leq x\right\} = R^*(x)$$

where $R^*(x)$ is a stable distribution function of type $S(\frac{1}{\alpha}, 1, \cos \frac{\pi}{2\alpha}, 0)$.

Furthermore, we have

$$\frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \dots + \theta_n}{n} \Rightarrow A$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus by the solution of Problem 61.5 or by the 7-th statement of Theorem 59.2 we obtain that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P \left\{ \frac{\theta(t)a^{1/\alpha}}{h^{1/\alpha}[-\Gamma(1-\alpha)]^{1/\alpha} t^{1/\alpha}} \leq x \right\} = 1 - R^* \left(\frac{1}{x^\alpha} \right) = G_{1/\alpha}(x)$$

for $x > 0$ where $G_{1/\alpha}(x)$ is defined by (42.178). This result is in agreement with (62.194).