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#### Abstract

. It was shown recently in [1] that, for small positive $a, V_{n}-f_{0}\left(\frac{n}{N} \sqrt{a}\right)=o(1)$, $N \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $n=0,1, \ldots, N$. Here $V_{n}, n=0,1, \ldots$, is defined recursively by $V_{0}=1, V_{1}=1+k ; \Delta_{2} V_{n}=V_{n+1}-2 V_{n}+V_{n-1}=k / V_{n}$, $n=1,2, \ldots$, with $k=a / N^{2}$, and $f_{0}(x), x \geq 0$, satisfies $f_{0}(0)=1, f_{0}^{\prime}(0)=0$; $f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)=1 / f_{0}(x), x \geq 0$. In the present note, we present a refined convergence result that emerges when the initial condition $V_{0}=1, V_{1}=1+k b$, with $b \in[1 / 2,1]$, is coupled to an appropriately sampled and shifted version $f_{0}\left(\frac{n+\beta}{N} \sqrt{a}\right)$ of $f_{0}$ with $\beta \in[0,1 / 2]$.


## 1 Introduction

In [1] a particular model (Distflow model) is investigated for charging electric vehicles at $N$ (large) charging stations connected by a power line, with the requirement that the ratio between the voltages at the root station and at the last station of the power line should stay below a level $1 /(1-\Delta)$, where the tolerance $\Delta$ is small (typically of the order 0.1). Under the Distflow model, see [1], Subsection 2.3.1 and in particular (2.12-13), the normalized voltages $V_{n}, n=0,1, \ldots, N-1, N$, with $V_{n}$ the voltage at the root station and $V_{0}$ the voltage at the last station of the power line, satisfy the recursion

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}=1, \quad V_{1}=1+k_{0} ; \quad V_{n+1}-2 V_{n}+V_{n-1}=\frac{k_{n}}{V_{n}}, \quad n=1, \ldots, N-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $k_{n}$, comprising given charging rates $p_{n}$ and a resistance value $r$, are normally small (of the order $a / N^{2}$ with $0<a<1 / 2$ ).

For analytically comparing the Distflow model to a linearized version (Linearized Distflow model, see [1], Subsection 2.3.2) of it, it is assumed that all $k_{n}$ are equal to $k=a / N^{2}$, with $a \in(0,1 / 2)$ independent of $n$. In [1], Section 5.3, (5.10-12) and Appendix C, a major effort is made to establish a relationship between the sequence $V_{n}, n=0,1, \ldots$, and the solution $f_{0}(x)$, $x \geq 0$, of the second-order boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{f_{0}(x)}, \quad x \geq 0 ; \quad f_{0}(0)=1, \quad f_{0}^{\prime}(0)=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, it is shown that $V_{n} \rightarrow f_{0}\left(\frac{n}{N} \sqrt{a}\right)=f_{0}(n \sqrt{k})$ uniformly in $n=0,1, \ldots, N$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ (with $a \in(0,1 / 2)$ fixed). It is shown in [1], see Section 5.4 and Appendix D, that the $f_{0}$ of (2) can be related to the inverse of the imaginary error function (see Section 2 below for details), and is, therefore, analytically more tractable than the sequence $V_{n}$ itself.

In [1], nothing has been said about the convergence speed in this main result (Proposition 5.1 in [1]). Moreover, the choice $f(t)=f_{0}(t \sqrt{k}), t \geq$ 0 , that satisfies $f^{\prime}(0)=0$, does not seem to account, as the continuous counterpart of the sequence $V_{n}, n=0,1, \ldots$, for the initial condition $V_{0}=1$, $V_{1}=1+k$. In the present note, we shall consider, more generally, the sequences, recursively defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}=1, \quad V_{1}=1+k b ; \quad V_{n+1}-2 V_{n}+V_{n-1}=\frac{k}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with fixed $b \in[1 / 2,1]$ and, as before, $k=a / N^{2}$ with $N$ large and fixed $a \in(0,1 / 2)$. The sequences will be compared to the sequences of sample
values $f_{0}((n+\beta) \sqrt{k}), n=0,1, \ldots$, of

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=f_{0}((t+\beta) \sqrt{k}), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta \in[0,1 / 2]$ is fixed and $f_{0}$ is given by (2).
It will be shown that for any pair of values $b \in[1 / 2,1], \beta \in[0,1 / 2]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(n)-\varepsilon_{1}-V_{n}=O(n k)=O\left(\frac{n a}{N^{2}}\right), \quad n=0,1, \ldots, N \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the choice $\beta=b-1 / 2$ yields the sharpening

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(n)-\varepsilon_{1}-V_{n}=O\left(n^{2} k^{2}\right)=O\left(\frac{n^{2} a^{2}}{N^{4}}\right), \quad n=0,1, \ldots, N \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (5) and (6) we have $\varepsilon_{1}=f(1)-V_{1}=O(k)$.
In the latter sharpening, we have $\beta=0$ for the case that $b=1 / 2$. In that case, we have $\varepsilon_{1}=f(1)-V_{1} \approx-\frac{1}{24} k^{2}$, see (29) below. Then (6) shows that $f(n)-V_{n}$ is very small, i.e., $V_{n}$ is a very accurate estimate for $f_{0}(n \sqrt{k})=$ $f_{0}\left(\frac{n}{N} \sqrt{a}\right)$. For instance, when $N=2, a=1$ (so that $k=a / N^{2}=1 / 4$ ), we compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}=1, \quad V_{1}=1+\frac{1}{2} k=\frac{9}{8}, \quad V_{2}=2 V_{1}+\frac{k}{V_{1}}-V_{0}=\frac{53}{36}=1.4722222 \ldots \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $f_{0}(1)$ has the numerical value $1.4657576 \ldots$, showing that $V_{2}$ is indeed an accurate estimate of $f_{0}(1)$. A similar exercise, with $N=10, a=0.1$, shows that the ensuing $V_{10}$ estimates $f_{0}(1)$ with absolute accuracy $2.45 \times 10^{-4}$.

## 2 Preliminaries about $f_{0}$

By definition, we have for $x \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{f_{0}(x)} ; \quad f_{0}(0)=1, \quad f^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $f_{0}(x)$ is positive, increasing and convex. We have, see [1], Appendix D,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(x)=\exp \left(U^{2}(x)\right), \quad \int_{0}^{U(x)} e^{v^{2}} d v=\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad x \geq 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the asympotics of the Dawson integral $\exp \left(-y^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{y} \exp \left(v^{2}\right) d v, y \rightarrow \infty$, see [2], it can be shown that $f_{0}(x) \sim x(2 \ln x)^{1 / 2}, x \rightarrow \infty$.

There is the power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_{l} x^{l}=1+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-\frac{1}{24} x^{4}+\frac{7}{720} x^{6}-\frac{127}{40320} x^{8}+\ldots . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (8), the $c_{l}$ can be computed recursively via

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{0}=1, \quad c_{1}=0, \quad c_{2}=1 / 2 \\
& c_{l+2}=-\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{(j+2)(j+1)}{(l+2)(l+1)} c_{j+2} c_{l-j}, \quad l=1,2, \ldots \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

We have furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2 k+1}=0, \quad c_{2 k+2}=\frac{(-1)^{k} 2^{k} C_{k+1}}{(k+1) \pi^{k+1 / 2}}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [3], in particular Table II, where the $C_{j}$ are given numerically for $j=$ $1,2, \ldots, 200$. The $C_{j}$ are the power series coefficients in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{inverf}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_{j} z^{2 j-1}, \quad|z|<1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{inverf}(z)$ is the inverse of the error function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{erf}(w)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{w} e^{-t^{2}} d t, \quad w \in \mathbb{C} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $f_{0}(z)$ is analytic in the whole complex plane, with the exception of the branch cuts $\left[i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}, i \infty\right)$ and $\left(-i \infty,-i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\right]$. Hence, the power series in (10) has radius of convergence equal to $\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$. The $C_{j}$ in (13) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{j} \sim \frac{1}{2 j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln (2 j)}}, \quad j \rightarrow \infty \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(private communication N.M. Temme, November 2020).
Lower and upper bounds for $f_{0}(x)$, sharp for larger values of $x$, can be obtained from corresponding bounds for Dawson's function, see [2], Section 4.

We have the following formulas for the derivatives of $f_{0}$ (also see [1], Appendix D, (D.4)):

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{0}^{\prime}(x)=\left(2 \ln f_{0}(x)\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{f_{0}(x)},  \tag{16}\\
f_{0}^{(3)}(x)=\frac{-f_{0}^{\prime}(x)}{f_{0}^{2}(x)}, \quad f_{0}^{(4)}(x)=\frac{2\left(f_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}-1}{f_{0}^{3}(x)},  \tag{17}\\
f_{0}^{(5)}(x)=\frac{7 f_{0}^{\prime}(x)-6\left(f_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{3}}{f_{0}^{4}(x)}, \quad f_{0}^{(6)}(x)=\frac{7-46\left(f_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}+24\left(f_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{4}}{f_{0}^{5}(x)} . \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

By Taylor's theorem, we have for $x>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(x)=1+\frac{1}{2} x^{2} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{x, 2}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{24} x^{4} f_{0}^{(4)}\left(\xi_{x, 4}\right), \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\xi_{x, 2}, \xi_{x, 4} \in[0, x]$. Therefore, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \leq 1, \quad f_{0}^{(4)}(\xi) \geq-1, \quad \xi \geq 0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq 1+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-\frac{1}{24} x^{4} \leq f_{0}(x) \leq 1+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{12} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For instance, $1 \leq 1.4583 \ldots \leq f_{0}(1)=1.4657 \ldots \leq 1.50$ (case $x=1$ ).
The following table gives numerical values of $f_{0}(x)$ for $x=0.00(0.05) 1.05$

| $x$ | $f_{0}(x)$ | $x$ | $f_{0}(x)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.00 | 1.000000000 | 0.55 | 1.147682689 |
| 0.05 | 1.001249740 | 0.60 | 1.175007022 |
| 0.10 | 1.004995843 | 0.65 | 1.204458888 |
| 0.15 | 1.011229016 | 0.70 | 1.235986299 |
| 0.20 | 1.019933948 | 0.75 | 1.269536343 |
| 0.25 | 1.031089567 | 0.80 | 1.305059980 |
| 0.30 | 1.044669388 | 0.85 | 1.342490497 |
| 0.35 | 1.060641934 | 0.90 | 1.381787644 |
| 0.40 | 1.078971210 | 0.95 | 1.422894099 |
| 0.45 | 1.099617219 | 1.00 | 1.465757611 |
| 0.50 | 1.122536503 | 1.05 | 1.510326813 |

The numerical values of $f_{0}(x)$ were obtained by solving the second equation in (9) for $U(x)=\left(\ln f_{0}(x)\right)^{1 / 2}$ using Newton's method. As initialization, we used the recursion in (3) with $b=1 / 2$ and $b=1$, respectively, and $k=0.01$, to compute estimates $V_{n}$ of $f_{0}(0.1 n)$ and $f_{0}(0.1(n+1 / 2)), n=0,1, \ldots, 10$, respectively. Also see Section 6, where we employ (10) for a similar purpose.

## 3 Comparing $V_{n}$ and $f(n)$

We write the recursive relation in (3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n+1}=V_{n}+\left(V_{n}-V_{n-1}\right)+\frac{k}{V_{n}}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $f(t)=f_{0}((t+\beta) \sqrt{k}), t \geq 0$, see (4), we have $f^{\prime \prime}(t)=k / f(t), t \geq 0$, and so

$$
\begin{align*}
f(n+1) & =f(n)+f^{\prime}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\int_{-1 / 2}^{1} f^{\prime \prime}(n+v) r(v) d v \\
& =f(n)+f^{\prime}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\int_{-1 / 2}^{1} \frac{k r(v)}{f(n+v)} d v, \quad n=1,2, \ldots, \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
r(v)= \begin{cases}1 & -1 / 2 \leq v \leq 0  \tag{24}\\ 1-v, & 0 \leq v \leq 1 \\ 0 & , \quad \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Observe that $r(v) \geq 0$, and that $\int_{-1 / 2}^{1} r(v) d v=1$. We shall therefore compare for $n=1,2, \ldots$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(n) \text { to } V_{n}, \quad f^{\prime}(n-1 / 2) \text { to } V_{n}-V_{n-1}, \quad \int_{-1 / 2}^{1} \frac{k r(v)}{f(n+v)} d v \text { to } \frac{k}{V_{n}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n}=f(n)-V_{n}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the initial conditions $V_{0}=1, V_{1}=1+k b$ in (3), the definition of $f(t)$ as $f_{0}((t+\beta) \sqrt{k})$ in (4), and the Taylor expansion of $f_{0}(x)$ in (10), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{0}=f_{0}(\beta \sqrt{k})-1=\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} k-\frac{1}{24} \beta^{4} k^{2}+\frac{7}{720} \beta^{6} k^{3}-\ldots,  \tag{27}\\
\varepsilon_{1}= & f_{0}((\beta+1) \sqrt{k})-(1+k b) \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2}(\beta+1)^{2}-b\right) k-\frac{1}{24}(\beta+1)^{4} k^{2}+\frac{7}{720}(\beta+1)^{6} k^{3}-\ldots \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

For later use, we also mention that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}=\left(\beta+\frac{1}{2}-b\right) k-\frac{1}{24}\left((\beta+1)^{4}-\beta^{4}\right) k^{2}+\frac{7}{720}\left((\beta+1)^{6}-\beta^{6}\right) k^{3}-\ldots . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, for $n=1,2, \ldots$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\left(V_{n}-V_{n-1}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)-(f(n)-f(n-1))+\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{n-1} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, for $n=1,2, \ldots$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-1 / 2}^{1} \frac{k r(v)}{f(n+v)} d v-\frac{k}{V_{n}} \\
& =\frac{k}{f(n)}-\frac{k}{V_{n}}+\int_{-1 / 2}^{1} k r(v)\left[\frac{1}{f(n+v)}-\frac{1}{f(n)}\right] d v \\
& =-\frac{k \varepsilon_{n}}{f(n) V_{n}}+\int_{-1 / 2}^{1} r(v)\left[f^{\prime \prime}(n+v)-f^{\prime \prime}(n)\right] d v \\
& =-\frac{k \varepsilon_{1}}{f(n) V_{n}}-\frac{k\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{f(n) V_{n}}+\int_{-1 / 2}^{1} r(v)\left[f^{\prime \prime}(n+v)-f^{\prime \prime}(n)\right] d v \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we conclude from (22), (23), (26), (30) and (31) that for $n=1,2, \ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(n+1)= & f(n)+f^{\prime}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\int_{-1 / 2}^{1} \frac{k r(v)}{f(n+v)} d v \\
= & V_{n}+\left(V_{n}-V_{n-1}\right)+\frac{k}{V_{n}} \\
& +\left(f(n)-V_{n}\right)+\left(f^{\prime}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\left(V_{n}-V_{n-1}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(\int_{-1 / 2}^{1} \frac{k r(v)}{f(n+v)} d v-\frac{k}{V_{n}}\right) \\
= & V_{n+1}+\varepsilon_{n}+\left(f^{\prime}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\left(f(n)-f(n-1)+\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{n-1}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad+\left(-\frac{k \varepsilon_{1}}{f(n) V_{n}}-\frac{k\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{f(n) V_{n}}\right) \\
& \quad+\int_{-1 / 2}^{1} r(v)\left[f^{\prime \prime}(n+v)-f^{\prime \prime}(n)\right] d v \\
& =V_{n+1}+\varepsilon_{n+1}, \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n+1}=2 \varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{n-1}+\tau_{n} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{n}= & -\frac{k \varepsilon_{1}}{f(n) V_{n}}+\left(f^{\prime}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)-(f(n)-f(n-1))\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{-1 / 2}^{1} r(v)\left[f^{\prime \prime}(n+v)-f^{\prime \prime}(n)\right] d v\right) \\
& -\frac{k\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{f(n) V_{n}} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

We find from (33)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varepsilon_{i+1}-\varepsilon_{i}\right)-\left(\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{i-1}\right)=\tau_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so, by summation over $i=1,2, \ldots, j$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{j+1}-\varepsilon_{j}=\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} \tau_{i}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, by summation over $j=1,2, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n+1}-\varepsilon_{1}=n\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \tau_{i}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section, we shall put effort in estimating the quantities that occur in the expression (34) for $\tau_{n}$. We thus obtain estimates and bounds for $\varepsilon_{n+1}-\varepsilon_{1}$ that can be used to get an approximation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n+1}=-\varepsilon_{n+1}+f(n+1)=-\varepsilon_{1}+f(n+1)-\left(\varepsilon_{n+1}-\varepsilon_{1}\right), \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $n=1,2, \ldots, N-1$.

## 4 Estimating $\tau_{n}$

We recall that we have $k$ of the form $a / N^{2}$ with $a \in(0,1 / 2)$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$. We consider the quantity, see (34)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}:=f^{\prime}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)-(f(n)-f(n-1))+\int_{-1 / 2}^{1} r(v)\left[f^{\prime \prime}(n+v)-f^{\prime \prime}(n)\right] d v \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $f(t)=f_{0}((t+\beta) \sqrt{k})$, the analyticity properties of $f_{0}$, as noted in Section 2, we can safely use Taylor expansions of $f\left(n-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(n+v)$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(n-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)= & f\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{6} f^{(3)}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{24} f^{(4)}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)^{4} \\
& +\frac{1}{120} f^{(5)}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)^{5}+\ldots, \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)-(f(n)-f(n-1))=-\frac{1}{24} f^{(3)}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{1920} f^{(5)}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\ldots . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have similarly from

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}(n+v)-f^{\prime \prime}(n)=f^{(3)}(n) v+\frac{1}{2} f^{(4)}(n) v^{2}+\frac{1}{6} f^{(5)}(n) v^{3}+\ldots \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1 / 2}^{1} r(v)\left[f^{\prime \prime}(n+v)-f^{\prime \prime}(n)\right] d v=\frac{1}{24} f^{(3)}(n)+\frac{1}{16} f^{(4)}(n)+\frac{11}{1920} f^{(5)}(n) \ldots \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it has been used that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1 / 2}^{1} v^{j} r(v) d v=\frac{1}{24}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{11}{320}, \quad j=1,2,3 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we get for $Q_{n}$ in (39) the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{24} f^{(3)}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{1920} f^{(5)}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\ldots \\
& +\frac{1}{24} f^{(3)}(n)+\frac{1}{16} f^{(4)}(n)+\frac{11}{1920} f^{(5)}(n)+\ldots \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall now argue that we can neglect the terms involving $f^{(5)}$ in (45), compared to the combined terms involving $f^{(3)}$ and $f^{(4)}$, at the expense of a relative error of the order $\sqrt{k}$. We have by the mean value theorem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{-1}{24} f^{(3)}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{24} f^{(3)}(n)+\frac{1}{16} f^{(4)}(n) \\
& =\frac{1}{48} f^{(4)}\left(\xi_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{16} f^{(4)}(n)=\frac{1}{12} f^{(4)}\left(\vartheta_{n}\right), \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

with numbers $\xi_{n}, \vartheta_{n} \in\left[n-\frac{1}{2}, n\right]$. Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-1}{1920} f^{(5)}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{11}{1920} f^{(5)}(n) \text { is of the order } \frac{1}{192} f^{(5)}\left(\eta_{n}\right), \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a number $\eta_{n} \in\left[n-\frac{1}{2}, n\right]$. Thus we should bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{1}{192} f^{(5)}\left(\eta_{n}\right)}{\frac{1}{12} f^{(4)}\left(\vartheta_{n}\right)}=\frac{1}{16} \frac{f^{(5)}\left(\eta_{n}\right)}{f^{(4)}\left(\vartheta_{n}\right)} \approx \frac{1}{16} \frac{f^{(5)}(n)}{f^{(4)}(n)} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(j)}(t)=k^{\frac{1}{2} j} f_{0}^{(j)}((t+\beta) \sqrt{k}) . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $x=(n+\beta) \sqrt{k} \leq \sqrt{a} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}$, and the explicit expressions for $f_{0}^{(j)}$ in (16-18), we should bound

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\sqrt{k} f_{0}^{(5)}(x)}{f_{0}^{(4)}(x)} & =\frac{\sqrt{k}}{16 f_{0}(x)} \frac{7 f_{0}^{\prime}(x)-6\left(f_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{3}}{2\left(f_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}-1} \\
& =-\frac{\sqrt{k}}{16 f_{0}(x)} \frac{7 t-6 t^{3}}{1-2 t^{2}}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}, \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have set $t=f_{0}^{\prime}(x)$. The function $f_{0}(x)$ varies gently between 1 and $f_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}\right)=1.2406$ when $x \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}\right]$ and is therefore harmless. On the other hand, $t=f_{0}^{\prime}(x)$ increases from 0 at $x=0$ to 0.6567 at $x=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}$, and is therefore, because of the denominator $1-2 t^{2}$ in the second line of (50), of chief importance in (50) when $x$ is close to $\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}=0.7071$. We evaluate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{16 f_{0}(x)} \frac{7 t-6 t^{3}}{1-2 t^{2}}\right|_{x=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}}=1.0616 . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude that the ratio in (48) is bounded between 0 and $-c \sqrt{k}$ with $c$ of the order unity.

We thus approximate the quantity $Q_{n}$ in (39) by $\frac{1}{12} f^{(4)}\left(\vartheta_{n}\right)$ at the expense of a relative error $O(\sqrt{k})$. By (49) while the expression in (17) for $f_{0}^{(4)}(x)$ shows that $-1 \leq f_{0}^{(4)}(x) \leq 0$ when $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n} \approx \frac{1}{12} f^{(4)}\left(\vartheta_{n}\right)=O\left(k^{2}\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed by considering the quantity $-k \varepsilon_{1} / f(n) V_{n}$ in (34). We have $f(n) \geq 1, V_{n} \geq 1$, and so by (28)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-k \varepsilon_{1}}{f(n) V_{n}}=-\frac{\frac{1}{2}(\beta+1)^{2}-b}{f(n) V_{n}} k^{2}+O\left(k^{3}\right) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first quantity at the right-hand side has modulus $\leq \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2}(\beta+1)^{2}-\right.$ $b \mid k^{2}$; since $\beta \in[0,1 / 2], b \in[1 / 2,1]$, we have that $\frac{1}{2}(\beta+1)^{2}-b \in\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{8}\right]$. We conclude from (34), (39) and (52), (53)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n}=-\frac{k\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{f(n) V_{n}}+O\left(k^{2}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $O$ holding uniformly in $n=1,2, \ldots, N-1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
The contribution to the double series $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \tau_{i}$ of the $O$-term in (54) is $O\left(k^{2} n^{2}\right)=O\left(n^{2} a^{2} / N^{4}\right)$. In the next section it will be indicated that this is enough to establish the first main result in (5) from (37).

In the case that $\beta=b-1 / 2$ (the condition under which the second main result, see (6), is to be established), we must be more precise about the $O\left(k^{2}\right)$-term in (54). This $O\left(k^{2}\right)$-term arises as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i}:=\frac{1}{12} f^{(4)}\left(\vartheta_{i}\right)-\frac{k \varepsilon_{1}}{f(i) V_{i}} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (52) and (53), and gives rise to a contribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \sigma_{i} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the double series $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \tau_{i}$ in (37). Using that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{2}(\beta+1)^{2}-b\right) k+O\left(k^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(b-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} k+O\left(k^{2}\right) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\beta=b-1 / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i} \approx \frac{1}{12} f_{0}^{(4)}\left(x_{i}\right) k^{2}-\frac{(b-1 / 2)^{2}}{f(i) V_{i}} k^{2} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{i}=\left(\vartheta_{i}+\beta\right) \sqrt{k}$ is close to $i \sqrt{k}$. We then approximate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} f_{0}^{(4)}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(n+1-i) f_{0}^{(4)}\left(\frac{i+\beta}{N} \sqrt{a}\right) \\
& \approx \sum_{i=0}^{n}(n-i) f_{0}^{(4)}\left(\frac{i \sqrt{a}}{N}\right)=\frac{N^{2}}{a} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{a}}{N}\left(\frac{n \sqrt{a}}{N}-\frac{i \sqrt{a}}{N}\right) f_{0}^{(4)}\left(\frac{i \sqrt{a}}{N}\right) \\
& \approx \frac{N^{2}}{a} \int_{0}^{y}(y-x) f_{0}^{(4)}(x) d x, \quad y=\frac{n \sqrt{a}}{N} \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

By partial integration

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{y}(y-x) f_{0}^{(4)}(x) d x & =\left.(y-x) f_{0}^{(3)}(y)\right|_{0} ^{y}+\int_{0}^{y} f_{0}^{(3)}(x) d x \\
& =0+\left.f_{0}^{(2)}(x)\right|_{0} ^{y}=\frac{1}{f_{0}(y)}-1 \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

where it has been used that $f^{(3)}(0)=0, f_{0}^{(2)}(x)=1 / f_{0}(x)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} f_{0}^{(4)}\left(x_{i}\right) \approx-\frac{N^{2}}{a}\left(1-\frac{1}{f_{0}(y)}\right), \quad y=\frac{n \sqrt{a}}{N} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also approximate

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{1}{f(i) V_{i}} & \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n+1-i}{f_{0}^{2}\left(\frac{i+\beta}{N} \sqrt{a}\right)} \\
& \approx \frac{N^{2}}{a} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y-x}{f_{0}^{2}(x)} d x, \quad y=\frac{n \sqrt{a}}{N} \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from (61) and (62), we get

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \sigma_{i} \approx-\frac{1}{12} k^{2} \frac{N^{2}}{a}\left(1-\frac{1}{f_{0}(y)}\right)-\left(b-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} k^{2} \frac{N^{2}}{a} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y-x}{f_{0}^{2}(x)} d x
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=-\frac{1}{12} k^{2} n^{2} \frac{1}{y^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{f_{0}(y)}\right)-\left(b-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} k^{2} n^{2} \frac{1}{y^{2}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y-x}{f_{0}^{2}(x)} d x \\
y=\frac{n \sqrt{a}}{N} . \tag{63}
\end{gather*}
$$

The second line of (63) can be further approximated by using that, see (10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(x)=1+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-\frac{1}{24} x^{4}+\ldots . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{y^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{f_{0}(y)}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{7}{12} y^{2}+\ldots\right), \quad \frac{1}{y^{2}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y-x}{f_{0}^{2}(x)} d x=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{6} y^{2}+\ldots\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \sigma_{i} \approx-\frac{1}{24} k^{2} n^{2}\left(1-\frac{7}{12} y^{2}+\ldots\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(b-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} k^{2} n^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{6} y^{2}+\ldots\right) \\
y=\frac{n \sqrt{a}}{N} \tag{66}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 5 Proof of the main results

We start with the proof of (5) that takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}=O(n k), \quad 0,1, \ldots, N \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cases $n=0,1$ are trivial or settled by (29), so we may restrict to the cases $n=2,3, \ldots, N$. According to (37) and (54), we have for $n=1,2, \ldots, N-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n+1}-\varepsilon_{1}=n\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)-k \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{1}}{f(i) V_{i}}+O\left(\frac{n^{2} a^{2}}{N^{4}}\right) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}=\left(\beta+\frac{1}{2}-b\right) k+O\left(k^{2}\right), \quad \frac{n^{2} a^{2}}{N^{4}}=k \frac{n^{2} a}{N^{2}} \leq k a . \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by induction in (68) using that $f(i), V_{i} \geq 1$, we have that $\varepsilon_{n+1}-\varepsilon_{1}=$ $O(n k)$. In the induction step we use that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{O((i-1) k)}{f(i) V_{i}}=O\left(k^{2} n^{3}\right)=O\left(n k \frac{n^{2} a^{2}}{N^{2}}\right) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

This already shows (5).
The above argument applies to both the case that $\beta+\frac{1}{2}-b=0$ (which we consider in detail later), and the case that $\beta+\frac{1}{2}-b$ is not close to 0 which we consider now. In the latter case, see (69), the $O\left(\frac{n^{2} a^{2}}{N^{4}}\right)$-term in (68) is at least a factor $\frac{n a}{N^{2}}$ smaller than $\left|n\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)\right|$. Ignoring this smaller term, we see by induction that $\varepsilon_{n+1}-\varepsilon_{1}$ has the same sign as $\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}$, and that $\left|\varepsilon_{n+1}-\varepsilon_{1}\right| \leq n\left|\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right|$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{1}}{f(i) V_{i}} \text { has the same sign as } \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|k \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{1}}{f(i) V_{i}}\right| & \leq k\left|\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j}(i-1) \\
& =\frac{1}{6} k n\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left|\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right| \leq \frac{1}{6} n\left|\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right| \frac{n^{2} a}{N^{2}} . \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we find that for $n=1,2, \ldots, N-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n+1}-\varepsilon_{1}=n\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)\left(1-\delta_{n}\right), \quad 0 \leq \delta_{n} \leq \frac{1}{6} \frac{n^{2}}{N^{2}} a \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

a result that yields a sharpening of (5) in the sense that we are more precise about the implicit constant in the $O$ of (5).

We now consider the case that $\beta+\frac{1}{2}-b=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}=-\frac{1}{24}\left((\beta+1)^{4}-\beta^{4}\right) k^{2}+O\left(k^{3}\right), \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $n\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ is much smaller than $\frac{n^{2} a^{2}}{N^{4}}$, see (68). In (55)-(66), we have been much more precise about the $O\left(\frac{n^{2} a^{2}}{N^{4}}\right)$-term in (68), with (63) or (66) as final result. The second term at the right-hand side of (68) is even smaller than $n\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)$, compare the developments to obtain (73) by incorporating this second term in the case that $\beta+\frac{1}{2}-b$ is away from 0 . Ignoring this smaller term, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n+1}-\varepsilon_{1} \approx n\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)-C k^{2} n^{2}, \quad n=1, \ldots, N-1 \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C(y)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
C & =\frac{1}{12 y^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{f_{0}(y)}\right)+\frac{(b-1 / 2)^{2}}{y^{2}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y-x}{f_{0}^{2}(x)} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{24}\left(1-\frac{7}{12} y^{2}+\ldots\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(b-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{6} y^{2}+\ldots\right), \quad y=\frac{n \sqrt{a}}{N} . \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, (75) gives a sharp form of the result (6), in the sense that we are more precise about the implicit constant in the $O$ of (6), also including the lowerorder term $n\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)$. Observe that the case $b=1 / 2$ is special for then the second term on the second line of (76) vanishes.

## 6 Numerical illustration

We recall (38), so that we have for $n=1,2, \ldots, N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n}=-\varepsilon_{n}+f(n)=-\varepsilon_{1}+f(n)-\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}\right) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the case $n=1$ in (77), not covered by (38), holds trivially). Accordingly, we can consider both $f(n)$ and $-\varepsilon_{1}+f(n)$ as an approximation of $V_{n}$. We present in this section numerical results for the following 4 cases:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b=\frac{1}{2}, \beta=0\right), \quad\left(b=\frac{1}{2}, \beta=\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad(b=1, \beta=0),\left(b=1, \beta=\frac{1}{2}\right), \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

see (3) and (4), and we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=0.25, \quad N=10, \quad k=\frac{a}{N^{2}}=0.0025, \quad \sqrt{k}=0.05 . \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

We thus require for the $f(n)$ in (77) the numerical values of $f(n)=f_{0}((n+$ $\beta) \sqrt{k}$ ) with $\beta=0,1 / 2 ; \sqrt{k}=0.05$ and $n=0,1, \ldots, 10$. In the table below we display these values.

| $n$ | $f_{0}(0.05 n)$ | $f_{0}(0.05(n+1 / 2))$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1.000000000 | 1.000312484 |
| 1 | 1.001249740 | 1.002811183 |
| 2 | 1.004995843 | 1.007802364 |
| 3 | 1.011229016 | 1.015273698 |
| 4 | 1.019933948 | 1.025206954 |
| 5 | 1.031089567 | 1.037578307 |
| 6 | 1.044669388 | 1.052358702 |
| 7 | 1.060641934 | 1.069514393 |
| 8 | 1.078971210 | 1.089007264 |
| 9 | 1.099617219 | 1.110795538 |
| 10 | 1.122536503 | 1.134834231 |

The numerical evaluatoin of $f_{0}(x)$ is done by solving the second equation in (9) for $U(x)=\left(\ln f_{0}(x)\right)^{1 / 2}$, where the 5 terms of the series on the right-hand side of (10) are used to get a high-accuracy approximation for $f_{0}(x)$ that can
be used for initialization of a Newton iteration to compute $U(x)$.
Case $b=1 / 2, \beta=0$. We evaluate $V_{n}, n=0,1, \ldots, 10$, according to the recursion
$V_{0}=1, \quad V_{1}=1+\frac{1}{2} k=1.00125 ; \quad V_{n+1}=V_{n}+\frac{0.0025}{V_{n}}-V_{n-1}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots, 9$.
Furthermore, $f(n)=f_{0}(0.05 n)$, see first column in the above table. Since $\beta-b+1 / 2=0$ in this case, the result (75), yielding an approximation of $\varepsilon_{n+1}-\varepsilon_{1}$, is relevant. We have in the present case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{0}=0, \quad \varepsilon_{1}=-0.000000260, \quad \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}=-0.000000260 \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{1}$ is extremely small in this case, we can consider both $f(n)$ and $-\varepsilon_{1}+f(n)$, see (77), as an approximation of $V_{n}$. The other case in (78) that has $\beta-b+1 / 2=0$ is $(b=1, \beta=1 / 2)$, and this case happens to have a large value of $\varepsilon_{1}$ so that then the approximation $-\varepsilon_{1}+f(n)$ of $V_{n}$ is more appropriate. To treat the two cases with $\beta-b+1 / 2=0$ on an equal footing, we choose in both cases $-\varepsilon_{1}+f(n)$ as an approximation of $V_{n}$. Thus in the table below, we display $V_{n}$ and $-\varepsilon_{1}+f(n)$, and we consider $\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}$ as the error in approximating $V_{n}$ by $-\varepsilon_{1}+f(n)$. This latter error is approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}}=\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)(n-1)-C k^{2}(n-1)^{2}, \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

see (75), where we take $C=1 / 25$ for convenience (the actual $C$ as given by (76) varies between $1 / 24$ and $1 / 27.5$ when $n=0,1, \ldots, 10$ ).

| $n$ | $V_{n}$ | $-\varepsilon_{1}+f(n)$ | $\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}$ | $\widehat{\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1.004996879 | 1.004996103 | -0.000000776 | -0.000000510 |
| 3 | 1.011231328 | 1.011229276 | -0.000002052 | -0.000001520 |
| 4 | 1.019938010 | 1.019934208 | -0.000003802 | -0.000003030 |
| 5 | 1.031095822 | 1.031089827 | -0.000005995 | -0.000005040 |
| 6 | 1.044678238 | 1.044669648 | -0.000008590 | -0.000007550 |
| 7 | 1.060653736 | 1.060642194 | -0.000011542 | -0.000010560 |
| 8 | 1.078986271 | 1.078971470 | -0.000014801 | -0.000014070 |
| 9 | 1.099635796 | 1.099617479 | -0.000018317 | -0.000018080 |
| 10 | 1.122558800 | 1.122536763 | -0.000022037 | -0.000022590 |

Case $b=1 / 2, \beta=1 / 2$. We compute $V_{n}, n=0,1, \ldots, 10$, according to the recursion in (80). Furthermore, $f(n)=f_{0}(0.05(n+1 / 2))$. Since $\beta-b+1 / 2=$
$1 / 2 \neq 0$ in this case, the result (73), yielding an approximation of $\varepsilon_{n+1}-\varepsilon_{1}$, is relevant. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{0}=0.000312484, \quad \varepsilon_{1}=0.0001561183, \quad \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}=0.0001248699 \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side of (73) shows that the error $\varepsilon_{n}$ grows approximately as $\varepsilon_{1}+(n-1)\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)$, with $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}$ of comparable magnitude. In the table below, we display $V_{n}$ and $f(n)$, together with the quantity $\varepsilon_{n}$ as the error in approximating $V_{n}$ by $f(n)$. This latter error $\varepsilon_{n}=\varepsilon_{1}+\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)$ is approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\varepsilon}_{n}=\varepsilon_{1}+(n-1)\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right) \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

in accordance with (73), where we have replaced $\delta_{n-1}$ by 0 .

| $n$ | $V_{n}$ | $f(n)$ | $\varepsilon_{n}$ | $\hat{\varepsilon}_{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1.004995843 | 1.007802364 | 0.002806521 | 0.002809882 |
| 3 | 1.011229016 | 1.015273698 | 0.004044682 | 0.004058581 |
| 4 | 1.019933948 | 1.025206954 | 0.005273006 | 0.005307280 |
| 5 | 1.031089567 | 1.037578307 | 0.006488740 | 0.006555979 |
| 6 | 1.044669388 | 1.052358702 | 0.007689314 | 0.007804678 |
| 7 | 1.060641934 | 1.069514393 | 0.008872459 | 0.009053377 |
| 8 | 1.078971210 | 1.089007264 | 0.010036054 | 0.010302076 |
| 9 | 1.099617219 | 1.110795538 | 0.011178319 | 0.011550775 |
| 10 | 1.122536503 | 1.134834231 | 0.012299201 | 0.012799474 |

$\underline{\text { Case } b=1, \beta=0}$. We evaluate $V_{n}, n=0,1, \ldots, 10$, according to the recursion
$V_{0}=1, \quad V_{1}=1+k=1.0025 ; \quad V_{n+1}=V_{n}+\frac{0.0025}{V_{n}}-V_{n-1}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots, 9$.
Furthermore, we have $f(n)=f_{0}(0.05 n)$. Since $\beta+1 / 2-b=-1 / 2 \neq 0$ in this case, the result (73), yielding an approximation of $\varepsilon_{n+1}-\varepsilon_{1}$, is relevant. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{0}=0, \quad \varepsilon_{1}=-0.001250260, \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}=-0.01250260 \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the table below, we display $V_{n}$ and $f(n)$, together with the quantity $\varepsilon_{n}$ as the error in approximating $V_{n}$ by $f(n)$. This latter error is approximated as in (84).

| $n$ | $V_{n}$ | $f(n)$ | $\varepsilon_{n}$ | $\hat{\varepsilon}_{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1.007493766 | 1.004995843 | -0.002497923 | -0.002500520 |
| 3 | 1.014968936 | 1.011229016 | -0.003739920 | -0.003750780 |
| 4 | 1.024907236 | 1.019933948 | -0.004973288 | -0.005001040 |
| 5 | 1.037284781 | 1.031089567 | -0.006195214 | -0.006251300 |
| 6 | 1.052072465 | 1.044669388 | -0.007403077 | -0.007501560 |
| 7 | 1.069236411 | 1.060641934 | -0.008594477 | -0.008751820 |
| 8 | 1.088738474 | 1.078971210 | -0.009767264 | -0.010002080 |
| 9 | 1.110536773 | 1.099617219 | -0.010919554 | -0.011252340 |
| 10 | 1.134586235 | 1.122536503 | -0.012049732 | -0.012502600 |

Case $b=1, \beta=1 / 2$. We compute $V_{n}, n=0,1, \ldots, 10$, according to the recursion in (83), and we have $f(n)=f_{0}(0.05(n+1 / 2))$. Since $\beta+1 / 2-b=0$, we use the result (75). Thus, we approximate $V_{n}$ by $-\varepsilon_{1}+f(n)$, at the expense of an error $\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}$. The latter error is approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}}=(n-1)\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{6}(n-1)^{2} k^{2}, \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to (75), where we have taken $C=1 / 6$ (the actual $C$, see (63) and (66), varies between $\frac{1}{6}=\frac{1}{24}+\frac{1}{2}(b-1 / 2)^{2}$ at $y=0$ and $1 / 6.385$ at $\left.y=1 / 2\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{0}=0.000312484, \quad \varepsilon_{1}=0.000311183, \quad \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}=0.000001301 \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the following table

| $n$ | $V_{n}$ | $-\varepsilon_{1}+f(n)$ | $\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}$ | $\widehat{\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1.007493766 | 1.007491181 | -0.000002585 | -0.000002342 |
| 3 | 1.014968936 | 1.014962515 | -0.000006421 | -0.000006768 |
| 4 | 1.024907236 | 1.024895771 | -0.000011465 | -0.000013278 |
| 5 | 1.037284781 | 1.037267124 | -0.000017657 | -0.000021870 |
| 6 | 1.052072465 | 1.052047519 | -0.000024946 | -0.000032546 |
| 7 | 1.069236411 | 1.069203210 | -0.000033201 | -0.000045306 |
| 8 | 1.088738474 | 1.088696081 | -0.000042393 | -0.000060148 |
| 9 | 1.110536773 | 1.110484355 | -0.000052410 | -0.000077074 |
| 10 | 1.134586235 | 1.134523048 | -0.000063187 | -0.000096084 |

Observations. The tables for the two cases with $\beta-b+1 / 2$ not close to 0 , cases $(b=1 / 2, \beta=1 / 2)$ and ( $b=1, \beta=0$ ) in (78), show that the error $\varepsilon_{n}=f(n)-V_{n}$ is well approximated by the linear function $\hat{\varepsilon}_{n}=\varepsilon_{1}+(n-$ 1) $\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ on the range $n=2,3, \ldots, 10$. In these cases the term $n\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)$
with $\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}=(\beta+1 / 2-b) k+O\left(k^{2}\right)$, is dominant in the expression at the right-hand side of (68). The residual error is due to the deleted two other terms at the right-hand side of (68), and manifest themselves mainly for larger $n$.

In the two cases where $\beta-b+1 / 2=0$, cases $(b=1 / 2, \beta=0)$ and ( $b=1, \beta=1 / 2$ ) in (78), we have that $\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}=O\left(k^{2}\right)$, causing the term $n\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ to be dominated by the term $O\left(n^{2} a^{2} / N^{4}\right)$ at the right-hand side of (68). It is now necessary to be more precise about the implicit constant in the $O$-term. In estimating this implicit constant, there are several places where approximations had to be made, such as deletion of the terms involving $f^{(5)}$ in (45) (leading to a relative error of order $\sqrt{k}$ ), and replacement of two double series, see (59) and (62), by Riemann integrals with integration ranges that are slightly shifted to achieve a convenient form of the end result. As a result the estimated error $\widehat{\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}}$ of the error $\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}=f(n)-\varepsilon_{1}-V_{n}$ cannot be expected to be as accurate as in the cases with $\beta-b+1 / 2$ away from 0 . This is evident from the two tables for the cases with $\beta-b+1 / 2=0$ : in the table for the case $b=1, \beta=1 / 2$, we have $\left|\varepsilon_{n-\varepsilon_{1}}\right|$ is about $50 \%$ larger than $\left|\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{1}\right|$ for $n=10$.
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